

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_115153
Title of the Manuscript:	INTERNATIONAL TOURISTS' SATISFACTION ON THE DEPARTURE NON - PROCESSING SERVICE PERFORMANCE AT KILIMANJARO INTERNATIONAL AIRPORTS IN TANZANIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The manuscript explores a crucial aspect of tourism satisfaction, focusing on departure non-processing service performance at Kilimanjaro International Airport in Tanzania.</p> <p>2. The title accurately reflects the scope and focus of the manuscript. It clearly communicates the subject matter, location, and target audience of the study, which is essential for attracting the attention of relevant stakeholders in the tourism industry and academia.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article provides a concise yet comprehensive overview of the research objectives, methodology, key findings, and implications.</p> <p>4. The manuscript follows a logical structure with clearly defined subsections, which enhances readability and organization. Each section flows smoothly into the next, guiding the reader through the research process from introduction to conclusion.</p> <p>5. The manuscript demonstrates a high level of scientific accuracy, as evidenced by its rigorous methodology, data analysis, and interpretation of results. The research methods employed are appropriate for investigating tourists' satisfaction with departure non-processing services, ensuring reliability and validity of the findings.</p> <p>6. The references cited in the manuscript are sufficient and recent, providing a solid foundation for the research and demonstrating familiarity with relevant literature. However, to further enrich the study, I suggest considering additional references that explore related topics such as airport service quality, tourist satisfaction, and destination management.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>YES</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Nasar Iqbal
Department, University & Country	Lahore School of Behavioural Sciences, The University of Lahore, Pakistan