

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JESBS_109221</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Understanding Barriers to Development and Growth in Women, Ethnic, and Minority Entrepreneurship</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>Yes, manuscripts are significant to the scientific community.</p> <p>The article's title is good (12 words).</p> <p>The abstract is good ( 156 words). Keywords are good.</p> <p>The article's structure is reasonable—the title, abstract, keywords, and introduction. The material, method, and conclusion are good. Materials and methods are good. It is recommended to add 2-3 references. The research results are good, but the discussion is suggested to add 1-2 references in each discussion sub-chapter.</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>References are good. Sufficient and up-to-date references and recommended that reference citations use Mendeley IEEE or APA and a maximum of 20 years of reference.</p> <p>It is suggested to add references, as an example:</p> <p>Smith, Helen Lawton, and Beldina Owalla. "Mapping ethnic minority women entrepreneurs' support initiatives: experiences from the UK." <i>Women's Entrepreneurship Policy: A Global Perspective</i> (2023): 103.</p> <p>Raimi, L., Panait, M., Gigauri, I., &amp; Apostu, S. A. (2023). Thematic review of motivational factors, types of uncertainty, and entrepreneurship strategies of transitional entrepreneurship among ethnic minorities, immigrants, and women entrepreneurs. <i>Journal of Risk and Financial Management</i>, 16(2), 83.</p>	
<p><b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The quality of the article's English is suitable for scientific communication, but it is recommended to use grammarly.com</p>	
<p><b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments</p>	<p>The paper is Accepted but needs minor Revision.</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Endang Noerhartati</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universitas Wijaya Kusuma Surabaya, Indonesia</b>