

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_JOCAMR_117731
Title of the Manuscript:	ACANTHOSIS NIGRICANS: A Comprehensive Study on Metabolic Associations
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljocamr.com/index.php/JOCAMR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes. The manuscript is important for the scientific community. The correlation between AN and metabolic parameters can predict early identification and management of metabolic syndrome.</p> <p>2. No. The title of the article is not suitable. The alternative title is "The correlation between severity Acanthosis nigricans and metabolic syndrome"</p> <p>3. No The abstract of the article is not comprehensive. The background and the purpose of this study is not clear. The conclusion must be briefly</p> <p>4. Yes. The structure and subsections are appropriate. The structure of this manuscript consist of abstract, background, methods, result, discussion and conclusion.</p> <p>5. No I think the manuscript is scientifically is not correct because according my opinion this manuscript is not a cohort study but cross sectional study. There is no ethical clearance and informed consent.</p> <p>6. No. The reference are not recent. There are two reference more than last twenty years..</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. The quality of English is not suitable for scholarly communication. The authors need to revise the entire manuscript for grammar and technicalities</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>This paper aimed to correlate between Acanthosis nigricans (AN) and various metabolic parameters. Unfortunately, I regret to inform that this manuscript has to undergo major revision due to some extensive issues.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Imam Subadi
Department, University & Country	Airlangga University, Indonesia