Impact of organic fertilizers on guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) yield

ABSTRACT

An investigation was done to study was conducted at horticulture farm, Aroma College, Haridwar during summer season of 2022-23 to evaluate the performance of different organic source of nutrients on growth of Thai guava cv. VNR bihi. Therefore, the biofertilizers were applied as per various treatments under the tree canopy. This experiment was designed in Randomized Block Design with three replicates. The highest Fruit length (10.08cm), Fruit breadth (12.90cm), Average fruit weight (616.30g), Number of fruits per plant (35.00), Yield (21.60 kg/plant), Number of seeds per fruit (287.00) to were found in T_{12} (FYM + Poultry manure + Azotobacter + PSB) From March to December every month followed by T_{11} (FYM + Poultry manure + PSB). While and the lowest of all these were found in control T_{14} (8.77 cm, 9.97cm, 524.02g, 25.33 Number of fruits/ plant,13.28 kg/plant, 225.25 Number of seeds/ fruit) was recorded.

Keywords - Guava , Farmyard Manure, Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria.

INTRODUCTION

The Myrtaceae family fruit guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) is one of the most important fruits in tropical and subtropical India. Guavas are native to Tropical America. The guava tree is distinguished by its smooth bark. They contain globose berries that range in colour from greenish-brown to brown, along with an inferior ovary, scaly, angular juvenile stems, and an abundance of stamens. The meat has several seeds embedded in it that can be red, pink, yellow, or white. The genus "*Psidium*" contains about 150 species, of which about 20 produced edible fruits. Grown up to 1500 meters above sea level, guavas are grown. It may thrive in a wide range of soil types, from extremely light sandy soil to deep clay soil. Because of its high vitamin C concentration (75–260 mg/100 g pulp) and plenty of minerals, guavas are referred to as the "*apple of the tropics*". Dietary fiber is one of the most important parts of the seed (Anonymous, 2009). Vitamin C fortifies our defenses against common illnesses and pathogens. Guavas contain appropriate levels of thiamine (0.03-0.07 mg/100 g pulp) and riboflavin (0.02-0.04 mg/100 g pulp). Together with minerals including phosphorus (22.5–40.0 mg/100 g pulp), calcium (10.0–30.0 mg/100 g pulp), and iron (20–25 mg/100 g pulp), guava 9 pulp also contains carbs, pectin (0.5–1.8%), and sugars. It also contains polyphenols, omega-3 and omega-6 fatty acids, and a class of potent antioxidants called carotenoids, which are derivatives of unsaturated fatty acids. Because guavas are consumed raw together with their pulp and skin, growing them organically is an option. The majority of Indian farmers are organic, but since the start of the green revolution a few years ago, artificial fertilizers and insecticides have been used much more frequently. This had negative effects on human health as well as the environment. Organic farming is slowly making a comeback. It uses organic resources including oil cakes, farmyard manure, leftover agricultural products, and animal feces. Synthetic agrochemicals are not used in organic farming.

MATERIALS AND METHOD

The experiment was conducted during summer season of 2022-23 at experimental site of Horticulture Farm, Distt Haridwar, and Uttarakhand.1.FYM (100% replacement of nitrogen through FYM) 2. Vermicompost (100% replacement of nitrogen through Vermicompost) 3. FYM + Poultry manure (80% replacement of nitrogen through FYM + 20% replacement of nitrogen through poultry manure) 4. FYM + Azotobacter (150 ml/plant) 5. FYM + PSB (150 ml/plant) 6. FYM + Azotobacter + PSB (75 ml + 75 ml/plant) 7. Vermicompost + Azotobacter (150 ml/plant) 8. Vermicompost + PSB (150 ml/plant) 9. Vermicompost + Azotobacter + PSB (75 ml + 75 ml/plant) 10. FYM + Poultry manure +

Azotobacter (80% replacement of nitrogen through FYM +20% replacement of nitrogen through poultry manure) 11. FYM + Poultry manure + PSB (80% replacement of nitrogen through FYM + 20%replacement of nitrogen through poultry manure) 12. FYM + Poultry manure + Azotobacter + PSB (80% replacement of nitrogen through FYM + 20% replacement of nitrogen through poultry manure) 13. 50% FYM + Jeevamrit (4 litre per plant in 21 days interval) 14. Control (no application. Full dose of organic manures and biofertilizers were incorporated in first week of March. Jeevamrit is applied in the field at 21 days interval. During March, after applying water through drip irrigation, the biofertilizers were applied as per various treatments under the tree canopy.

List 1 : The chemical composition of different organic manures used for the experiment

Organic manure	**Nitrogen %**	**Phosphorus %**	**Potassium%**
Farmyard Manure	0.5	0.5	0.5
Vermicompost	1.8	0.7	1.5
Poultry Manure	2.8	2	2.2

Results & Discussion :

1.Fruit length (cm): It is clear from the data presented in Table 1 that during rainy season, FYM + poultry manure + Azotobacter + Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria resulted in maximum fruit length of 9 cm, which was at par with FYM + poultry manure + Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria (8.83 cm), FYM + poultry manure + Azotobacter (8.77 cm), FYM + poultry manure (8.70 cm), and vermicompost + Azotobacter + Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria (8.60 cm), whereas, control resulted in minimum fruit length of 7.73 cm. Similarly, in the winter season, (Table .1) FYM + poultry manure + Azotobacter + Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria resulted in maximum fruit length of 10.08 cm which was at par with FYM + poultry manure + Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria (9.90 cm), FYM + poultry manure + Azotobacter (9.85 cm), whereas, the minimum fruit length (8.77 cm) was observed in control. Results revealed that in rainy season, fruit length was recorded highest (9 cm) with 80% replacement of nitrogen through FYM + 20% replacement of nitrogen through poultry manure + *Azotobacter* + Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria which was at par with FYM + poultry manure + Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria (8.83 cm), FYM + poultry manure + *Azotobacter* (8.77 cm), FYM + poultry manure (8.70 *cm)*, vermicompost + *Azotobacter* + Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria (8.60 cm), whereas, control had resulted in minimum fruit length of 7.73 cm. Similarly, in the winter season, 80% replacement of nitrogen through FYM + 20% replacement of nitrogen through poultry manure + *Azotobacter* + Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria had resulted in maximum fruit length of 10.08 cm which was at par with FYM + poultry manure + Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria (9.90 *cm)*, FYM + poultry manure + *Azotobacter* (9.85 cm), whereas, the minimum fruit length (8.77 cm) was recorded in control.

The present findings are in agreement with the findings that all the essential plant nutrients that are used by plants for growth and development are present in the poultry manure (Amanullah *et al.* 2010). More uptake of nutrients leads to better filling of fruits. As a result, fruit length increases. Microbial inoculants also play a significant role in increasing fruit size by releasing phytohormones especially gibberellins. Also,the efficient partitioning of photosynthesis towards the sink by *Azotobacter* inoculation increased the fruit size and weight (Rana and Chandel, 2003). The above results are in conformity with the verdicts of Hassan *et al.* (2015) in olive; Moustafa (2002) in

Washington Navel orange; Dadashpour and Jouki (2012) in strawberry; Panelo and Diza (2017) in banana; Osman and El-Rhman (2010) in fig. Hegazi *et al.* (2007) observed that among different organic sources of nutrients, poultry manure was the most efficient in improving fruit physical properties of olive trees.

2. Fruit breadth (cm): It is perceptible from data revealed in Table .1 hat the application of FYM + poultry manure + Azotobacter + Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria resulted in maximum fruit breadth of 9.56 cm during the rainy season, which was at par with FYM + poultry manure + Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria (9.17 cm), FYM + poultry manure + Azotobacter (9.00 cm), FYM + poultry manure (8.93 cm) and vermicompost + Azotobacter + Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria (8.90 cm), whereas, control had resulted in minimum fruit breadth of 8.13 cm. Similarly, in the winter season, (Table 1) the application of FYM + poultry manure + Azotobacter + Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria had resulted in maximum fruit breadth of 12.90 cm, which was at par with FYM + poultry manure + Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria (12.73 cm), FYM + poultry manure + Azotobacter (12.43 cm) and FYM + poultry manure (12.43 cm), whereas, minimum fruit breadth was observed in control (9.97 cm). Fruit breadth differed significantly among all the treatments during both the rainy and winter season. Concerning the fruit breadth, data showed that fruit breadth was obtained more in the winter season as compared to rainy season fruits. In rainy season, maximum fruit breadth (9.56 cm) was recorded in 80% replacement of nitrogen through FYM + 20% replacement of nitrogen through poultry manure + *Azotobacter* + Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria, which was at par with FYM + poultry manure + Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria (9.17 cm), FYM + poultry manure + *Azotobacter* (9.00 cm), FYM + poultry manure (8.93 cm) and vermicompost + *Azotobacter* + Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria (8.90 cm), whereas, control resulted in minimum fruit breadth of 8.13 cm. In winter season, 80% replacement of nitrogen through FYM + 20% replacement of nitrogen through poultry manure + *Azotobacter* + Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria had resulted in maximum fruit breadth of 12.90 cm, which was at par with FYM + poultry manure + Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria (12.73 cm), FYM +poultry manure + *Azotobacter* (12.43 cm) and FYM + poultry manure (12.43 cm), whereas, minimum fruit breadth was recorded in control (9.97 cm). According to Hegazi *et al.* (2007), poultry manure was the most efficient manure as compared to other organic sources, in influencing fruit physical properties of olive trees. As a result, more up take of nutrients takes place, which enhances carbohydrate synthesis and cell enlargement, hence fruit breadth increases. Dadashpour and Jouki, (2012) reported that marked improvement in fruit size is due to balance of nutrient availability to the plant and secretion of growth-promoting hormones by the biofertilizers. According to Sidahmed and Kliewer (1980) growth regulators also play a significant role in the mobilization of carbohydrates to the developing fruit and help in increasing berry size. This can be supported with findings by Hassan *et al.* (2015) in olive; Moustafa (2002) in Washington Navel orange; Dadashpour and Jouki (2012) in strawberry; Panelo and Diza (2017) in banana and Osman and El-Rhman (2010) in fig.

3. **Average fruit weight (g):** An analysis of the data produced in Table .1 revealed that the average fruit weight from different sources of organic nutrients ranged from 460.83-531.43 g in the rainy season. The highest average fruit weight of 531.43 g was noticed with FYM + poultry manure + Azotobacter + Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria which was at par with FYM + poultry manure +Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria (524.32 g), FYM + poultry manure + Azotobacter (513.96 g), FYM + poultry manure (511.41g) and vermicompost + Azotobacter + Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria (510.40 g), while, control showed lowest average fruit weight of 460.83g. Similarly, in the winter season (Table 1), it ranged from 524.02-616.30 g. The highest fruit weight of 616.30 g was noticed with FYM + poultry manure + Azotobacter + Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria which was at par with FYM + poultry manure + Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria (613.47 g), FYM + poultry manure + Azotobacter (596.05 g), FYM + poultry manure (589.33 g) and vermicompost + 52 Azotobacter + Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria (580.38 g), while, control showed lowest average fruit weight of 524.02g. Different organic treatments had a significant effect on average fruit weight. It was recorded that the average fruit weight in winter season was more than in the rainy season. The maximum average fruit weight (531.43 g) in rainy season was recorded in 80% replacement of nitrogen through FYM + 20% replacement of nitrogen through poultry manure + *Azotobacter* + Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria which was at par with FYM + poultry manure + Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria (524.32 g), FYM + poultry manure + *Azotobacter* (513.96 g), FYM + poultry manure (511.41g) and vermicompost + *Azotobacter* + Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria (510.40 g), while, control showed minimum average fruit weight of 460.83 g. In the winter season, the highest fruit weight of 616.30 g was noticed with 80% replacement of nitrogen through FYM + 20% replacement of nitrogen through poultry manure + *Azotobacter* + Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria, which was at par with FYM + poultry manure + Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria (613.47 g), FYM + poultry manure + *Azotobacter* (596.05 g), FYM + poultry manure (589.33 g) and vermicompost + *Azotobacter* + Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria (580.38 g), while, control showed lowest average fruit weight of 524.02 g. This might be due to the reason that among different organic sources, poultry manure contains more nutrients and minerals. More up take of these nutrients by the plant enhances the carbohydrate synthesis and hence fruit weight increases. Microbial inoculants play role in the mobilization of carbohydrates to the developing fruit. As a result, fruit size and weight increase. These results are in accordance with the results of Hassan *et al.* (2015) and Hegazi *et al.* (2007) in olives; Zothansiami and Mandal (2021); Panelo and Diza (2017) in banana and Dadashpour and Jouki (2012) in strawberry.

4.**Number of fruits per plant** : significant effects with respect to the number of fruits per plant due to the different organic sources of nutrients are presented in Table .2 In rainy season, the maximum number of fruits per plant (54.33) was recorded in FYM + poultry manure + Azotobacter + Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria, which was at par with FYM + poultry manure + Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria (51.67), FYM + poultry manure + Azotobacter (50.67) and FYM + poultry manure (48.67), while minimum number of fruits per plant (39) was observed in control. In winter season, the maximum number of fruits per plant (35) was recorded in FYM + poultry manure + Azotobacter + Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria, which was at par with FYM + poultry manure + Azotobacter (34.67), FYM + poultry manure + Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria (34), and FYM + poultry manure (33) and number of fruits per plant were minimum (25.33) in control. It was observed that organic treatments significantly affected the number of fruits per plant. The number of fruits per plant was more in rainy season as compared to winter season.

The maximum number of fruits per plant in summer season (54.33) was recorded in 80% replacement of nitrogen through FYM + 20% replacement of nitrogen through poultry manure + *Azotobacter* + Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria which was at par with FYM + poultry manure + Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria (51.67), FYM + poultry manure + *Azotobacter* (50.67) and FYM + poultry manure (48.67), while minimum number of fruits per plant (39) was observed incontrol and in winter season, the maximum number of fruits per plant (35) was recorded in FYM + poultry manure + *Azotobacter* + Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria, which was at par with FYM + poultry manure + *Azotobacter* (34.67), FYM + poultry manure + Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria (34) and FYM + poultry manure (33) and number of fruits per plant wereminimum (25.33) in control. The production of more number of fruits might be due to improvement in physical, biological and chemical properties of soil. As a result, plants receivethe required nutrition for the conversion of flowers to fruits. This enhances the fruit set and ultimately increased the number of fruits per tree. These results are in harmony with the report of Moustafa (2002) in orange and Osman and El-Rhman (2010) in fig.

5.Yield (kg/plant): The observations recorded on the effect of the application of organic manures and biofertilizers on fruit yield (kg/ha) are presented in Table .2. During the experimentation in rainy season, the maximum fruit yield (29.41 kg/ha) was obtained under FYM + poultry manure + *Azotobacter* + Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria. The fruit yield of this treatment was statistically at par with FYM + poultry manure + Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria (27.11 kg/ha), FYM + poultry manure + *Azotobacter* (26.04 kg/ha), FYM + poultry manure (24.90 kg/ha) and vermicompost + *Azotobacter* + Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria (24.00 kg/ha). However, minimum fruit yield of 17.98 kg/ha was recorded under control. In the winter season, maximum fruit yield (21.60 kg/ha) was obtained with the application of FYM + poultry manure + *Azotobacter* + Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria. The fruit yield of this treatment was statistically at par with treatment of FYM + poultry manure + Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria (20.87 kg/ha), FYM + poultry manure + *Azotobacter* (20.68 kg/ha) and FYM + poultry manure (19.45 kg/ha). However minimum fruit yield of 13.28 kg/ha was recorded under control. The yield during both seasons varied significantly among the treatments. The yield was more in rainy season as compared to winter season. The maximum yield in rainy season (29.41 kg/plant) was recorded in 80% replacement of nitrogen through FYM + 20% replacement of nitrogen through poultry manure + *Azotobacter* + Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria, which was statistically at par with FYM + poultry manure + Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria (27.11 kg/ha), FYM + poultry manure + *Azotobacter* (26.04 kg/ha), FYM + poultry manure (24.90 kg/ha) and vermicompost + *Azotobacter* + Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria (24.00 kg/ha). However, minimum fruit yield of 17.98 kg/ha was recorded under control. While in the winter season, highest fruit yield (21.60 kg/ha) was noticed with the application of FYM + poultry manure + *Azotobacter* + Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria. The fruit yield of this treatment was statistically at par with treatment of FYM + poultry manure + Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria (20.87 kg/ha), FYM + poultry manure + *Azotobacter* (20.68 kg/ha) and FYM + poultry manure (19.45 kg/ha). However lowest fruit yield of 13.28 kg/ha was recorded under control. The maximum yield is due to the interaction between organic manures and biofertilizers, which helped in improving soil nutrient availability and hence more uptake of nutrients by the plant. This enhanced the vegetative growth of the plant. As a result, a higher quantum of carbohydrates was produced for the development of fruits, thereby increasing size, number, and weight of fruits which leads towards getting higher fruit yield. These results agreed with those obtained by Moustafa (2002) in orange; Zothansiami and Mandal (2021) in banana; Kurer *et al.* (2017) in pomegranate; Osman and Abd El-Rhman (2010) in fig; Yadav *et al.* (2013) in guava; Hassan *et al.* (2015) in olives and Dadashpour andJouki (2012) in strawberry.

6. Number of seeds per fruit: Significant effects with respect to the number of seeds per fruit were observed with different treatments and are presented in Table .2. The maximum number of seeds per fruit (276.67) was recorded in FYM + poultry manure + *Azotobacter* + Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria, which was statistically at par with treatment of FYM + poultry manure + Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria (272.29) and it was minimum (207.45) in control during the rainy season. In the winter season, the maximum number of seeds per fruit (287) was recorded in treatment FYM + poultry manure + *Azotobacter* + Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria, which was statistically at par with treatment of FYM + poultry manure + Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria (282.36) and minimum number of seeds per fruit (225.25) were recorded in control. Data showed that the number of seeds per fruit was significantly influenced by various treatments. The maximum number of seeds per fruit (276.67) in rainy season was recorded with 80% replacement of nitrogen through FYM + 20% replacement of nitrogen through poultry manure + *Azotobacter* + Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria, which was statistically at par with treatment of FYM + poultry manure + Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria (272.29) and it was minimum (207.45) in control. While the maximum number of seeds per fruit (287) in the winter season, was recorded in treatment FYM + poultry manure + *Azotobacter* + Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria was statistically at par with treatment of FYM + poultry manure + Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria (282.36) and minimum number of seeds per fruit (225.25) were recorded in control. All the treatments proved significantly superior over control with respect to the number of seeds per fruit. This might be due to the fact that seed character is associated with fruit growth and development. According to Keulemans *et al.* (1996), new seeds synthesize auxin, which enhance cell growth. So, there are positive linear correlations between seed number and fruit size.

Table .1: Effect of organic source of nutrients on fruit length, fruit breadth and average fruit weight in guava *cv*. VNR bihi

Treatments	Fruit length (cm)		Fruit breadth (cm)		Avg. fruit weight (g)	
	Summer	Winter	Summer	Winter	Summer	Winter
T_1 (FYM)	8.04	8.93	8.23	10.70	485.43	528.28
T_2(Vermicompost)	8.10	9.03	8.27	11.00	478.00	543.00
T_3 (FYM + Poultry manure)	8.70	9.83	8.93	12.43	511.41	589.33
T_4 (FYM + *Azotobacter*)	8.20	9.13	8.40	11.03	482.03	551.47
T_5 (FYM + PSB)	8.25	9.27	8.57	11.17	485.72	561.66
T_6 (FYM + *Azotobacter* + PSB)	8.31	9.47	8.60	11.63	489.34	564.36
T (Vermicompost + *Azotobacter*)	8.40	9.57	8.70	11.90	493.66	572.21
T8 (Vermicompost + PSB)	8.57	9.63	8.77	11.97	500.04	577.43
T9 (Vermicompost + *Azotobacter* + PSB)	8.60	9.70	8.90	12.13	510.40	580.38
T10 (FYM + Poultry manure + *Azotobacter*)	8.77	9.85	9.00	12.43	513.96	596.05
T11 (FYM + Poultry manure + PSB)	8.83	9.90	9.17	12.73	524.32	613.47
T12 (FYM + Poultry manure + *Azotobacter* + PSB)	9.00	10.08	9.56	12.90	531.43	616.30
T13 (50% FYM + Jeevamrit)	7.80	8.84	8.17	10.10	465.39	537.37
T14 (Control)	7.73	8.77	8.13	9.97	460.83	524.02
C.D. at 5%	0.42	0.23	0.69	0.66	30.55	37.34

Table .2 : Effect of organic source of nutrients on number of fruits per plant, yield and number of seeds per fruit in guava *cv*. VNR bihi

Treatments	No. of fruits per plant		Yield (kg/plant)		No. of seeds per fruit	
	Summer	Winter	Summer	Winter	Summer	Winter
T1 (FYM)	40.67	26.00	19.77	13.72	221.54	231.06
T2 (Vermicompost)	42.33	27.03	20.20	14.69	225.49	231.30
T3 (FYM + Poultry manure)	48.67	33.00	24.90	19.45	263.50	255.43
T4 (FYM + *Azotobacter*)	42.67	27.33	20.52	15.04	235.01	235.23
T5 (FYM + PSB)	44.67	28.33	21.66	15.81	236.32	241.68
T6 (FYM + *Azotobacter* + PSB)	45.00	30.00	22.01	16.93	237.80	244.50
T7 (Vermicompost + *Azotobacter*)	45.67	31.00	22.55	17.74	240.40	244.98
T8 (Vermicompost + PSB)	46.67	31.67	23.34	18.27	249.35	248.43
T9 (Vermicompost + *Azotobacter* + PSB)	47.00	32.33	24.00	18.77	257.71	251.37
T10 (FYM + Poultry manure + *Azotobacter*)	50.67	34.67	26.04	20.68	267.29	259.09
T11 (FYM + Poultry manure + PSB)	51.67	34.00	27.11	20.87	272.29	282.36
T12 (FYM + Poultry manure + *Azotobacter* + PSB)	54.33	35.00	29.41	21.60	276.67	287.00
T13 (50% FYM + Jeevamrit)	41.00	26.67	19.07	14.32	220.61	230.26
T14 (Control)	39.00	25.33	17.98	13.28	207.45	225.25
C.D. at 5%	6.04	2.45	5.97	2.70	7.58	6.99

CONCLUSION

Maximum fruit length and breadth of guava recorded under FYM + poultry manure + *Azotobacter* + Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria and minimum was recorded in control during both rainy and winter season. It was observed that during both rainy and winter season, the highest number of fruit per plant and average fruit weight was recorded with treatment FYM + poultry manure + *Azotobacter* + Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria and the lowest was observed in control. The observations recorded the effect of application of organic manures and biofertilizers on yield in rainy and winter season. The maximum fruit yield was obtained under FYM + poultry manure + *Azotobacter* + Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria treatment. However minimum fruit yield was recorded under control treatment. In rainy and winter season both, the maximum number of seeds was recorded in the fruits produced by plants treated with FYM + poultry manure + *Azotobacter* + Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria. The minimum number of seeds was found in fruits produced by the treatment of control.

References

Amanullah, Mohamed M., Sekar, S. and Muthukrishnan, P. (2010). Prospects and potential of poultry manure. *Asian Journal of Plant Sciences*, **9**: 172-182.

Anonymous, (2018a). Horticultural Statistic at a Glance. Department of Agriculture, Cooperation and Farmer Welfare, Ministry of Agriculture and Farmer Welfare, Government of India.

Costa Araujo da R., Bruckner, C.H., Martinez, H.E.P., Salomão, L.C.C, Alvarez, V.H., Pereira de Souza A., Pereira, W.E. and Hizumi, S. (2006). Quality of yellow passion fruit (*Passiflora edulis* Sims *f. flavicarpa* Deg.) as affected by potassium nutrition. *Fruits*, **61** (2): 109-115.

Dadashpour, A. and Jouki, M. (2012). Impact of integrated organic nutrient handling on fruit yields and quality of strawberry *cv*. Kurdistan in Iran. *Journal of Ornamental and Horticultural Plants*, **2**(4): 251- 256.

Devadas, V. S. and Kuriakose, K.P. (2002). Evaluation of different organic manures on yield and quality of pineapple var. Mauritius. *In IV International PineappleSymposium*, **666**: 185-189.

Devi, H.L., Mitra, S.K. and Poi, S.C. (2012). Effect of different organic and biofertilizer sources on guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) 'Sardar'. In *III International Symposium on Guava and other Myrtaceae*, **959**: 201-208.

Dwivedi, V. (2013). Effect of integrated nutrient management on yield, quality and economics of guava. *Annals of Plant Soil Research*, **15:** 149-151.

Hazarika, T.K., Nautiyal, B.P., Bhattacharya, R.K. (2011). Effect of integrated nutrient management onproductivity and soil characteristics of tissue cultured banana *cv*. Grand Naine in Mizoram, India. *Progressive Horticulture*, **43**(1): 30-35.

Jain, N., Mani, A., Kumari, S., Kasera, S. and Bahadur, V. (2017). Influence of integrated nutrient management on yield, quality, shelf life and economics of cultivation of strawberry (*Fragaria*× *ananassa* Duch.) *cv*. Sweet Charlie. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, **6**(5): 1178-1181.

Jeyabaskaran, K.J., Pandey, S.D., Mustaffa, M.M. and Sathiamoorthy, S. (2001). Effect of different \organic manures with graded levels of inorganic fertilizers on ratoon of Poovan banana. *South Indian Horticulture*, **49**: 105-108.

Kamatyanatti, M., Kumar, A. and Dalal, R.P.S. (2019). Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth, flowering and yield of subtropical plum *cv*. Kala Amritsari. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry,* **8**(1): 1904-1908.

Katiyar, P.N., Tripathi, V.K., Sachan, R.K., Singh J.P. and Chandra R. (2012). Integrated nutritional management affects the growth, flowering and fruiting of rejuvenated ber. *Hort Flora Research Spectrum*, **1**: 38-41.

Lahav, E., Bareket, M. and Zamet, D. (1981). The effects of organic manure, KNO_3 and poly-feed on the nutritional balance of a banana plantation under drip irrigation. *Fruits*, **36**(4): 209-216.

Lindner, R.C. (1944). Rapid analytical method for some of the more common inorganic constituents of plant tissues. *Plant Physiology,* **19**: 76-89.

Lodaya, B.P. and Masu, M.M. (2019). Effect of biofertilizer, manures and chemical fertilizers on fruit quality and shelf life of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) *cv*. Allahabad Safeda. *International Journal of Current Science*, **7**(4): 1209-1211.

Magwaza, L. S. and Opara, U. L. (2015). Analytical methods for determination of sugars andsweetness of horticultural products-A review. *Scientia Horticulturae*, **184**: 179-192.

Marathe, R.A., Sharma, J., Murkute, A.A. and Babu, K.D. (2017). Response of nutrient supplementation through organics on growth, yield and quality of pomegranate. *Scientia Horticulturae*, **214**: 114-121.

Marwaha, B.C. (1995). Biofertilizers- A supplementary source of plant nutrient. *FertNews,* **40**: 39-50.

Mitra, S.K., Gurung, M.R., and Pathak, P.K. (2008). Guava production and improvement in India: An overview. In: Proceedings of *International Workshop on Tropical and Subtropical Fruits,***787**: 59-66.

Moustafa, M.H., 2002. Studies on fertilization of Washington Navel orange trees (Doctoral dissertation, Ph.D. Dissertation Faculty of Agriculture, Moshtohor, Zagazig University, Benha Branch, Egypt).

Naik, M.H. and Sri Hari Babu, R. (2005). Feasibility of organic farming in guava(Psidium guajava L.). *In International Guava Symposium*, **735**: 365-372.

Ojewole, J.A., Awe, E.O. and Chiwororo, W.D. (2008). Anti diarrhoeal activity of *Psidium guajava* Linn. (Myrtaceae) leaf aqueous extract in rodents. *Journal of Smooth Muscle Research*, **44**(6): 195-207.

Osman, S.M. and Abd El-Rhman, I.E. (2010). Effect of organic and Bio N- fertilization on growth, productivity of Fig tree (*Ficus carica*, L.). *Research Journal of Agriculture and Biological Sciences*, **6**(3): 319-328.

Pal, A.K., Mishra, S., Singh, S., Kumar, R. and Vikram, B. (2019). Effect of different organic manure on vegetative growth, flowering and fruiting of intercropped strawberry (*Fragaria X ananassa* Duch.) *cv*. Sweet Charley inside Banana Orchard. *Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research*, **3**(4): 1-5.

Panelo, B.C., Diza, M.T. (2017). Growth and yield performance of banana (*Musa acuminata* L.) as affected by different farm manures. *Asia Pacific Journal of Multidisciplinary Research*, **5**(2): 199-203.

Poonia, K.D., Bhatnagar, P., Sharma, M.K. and Singh, J. (2018). Efficacy of biofertilizers on growth and development of mango plants *cv*. Dashehari. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry,* **7**(5): 2158-2162.

Prabakaran, C. and Pichal, G.J. (2003). Effect of different organic nitrogen sources on pH, total soluble solids, titrable acidity, crude protein reducing and non- reducing sugars and ascorbic acid content of tomato fruits. *Journal of Soils and Crops*, **13**(1): 172-175.

Ram, R.A. and Nagar, A.K. (2003). Effect of different organic treatments on yield and quality of guava *cv*. Allahabad Safeda. *Organic Farming in Horticulture for Sustainable Production*, 29-30.

Ram, R.A., Bhriguvanshi, S.R., Garg, N. and Pathak, R.K. (2005). Studies on organic production of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) *cv*. Allahabad Safeda. *In International Guava Symposium*, **735**: 373-379.

Rana, H., Sharma, K. and Negi, M. (2020). Effect of organic manure and biofertilizers on plant growth, yield and quality of Sweet orange (*Citrus sinensis* L.). *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, **9**: 2064- 2070.

Rana, R.K. and Chandel, J.S. (2003). Effect of bio-fertilizer and nitrogen on growth yield and fruit quality of strawberry. *Progressive Hort*iculture, **35**(1): 25-30.

Ranganna, S. (1979). Handbook of analysis and quality control for fruit and vegetables products, 2nd Edition. Tata McGraw Hill Publication Company Limited,West Patel Nagar, New Delhi, : 9-10 and 105-106.

Rashid, M.H.A. (2018). Optimisation of growth yield and quality of strawberry cultivars through organic farming. *Journal of Environmental Science and Natural Resources*, **11**(1-2): 121-129.

Rathore, D.S. and Singh, R.N. (1974). Flowering and fruiting in three cropping patterns of guava. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*, **3**: 331-336.

Ratna, S.M. and Bahadur, V. (2019). Effect of chemical fertilizers, bio-fertilizers and organic manure on growth, yield and quality of guava under Prayagraj agro- climatic condition. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, **8**(4): 3154-3158.

Sahu, P. and Sahu, T.R. (2019). Biodiversity and Sustainable Utilization of Biological Resources. Scientific Publisher, Jodhpur, India. **205**

Sharma, A., Kher, R., Wali, V.K. and Bakshi, P. (2009). Effect of biofertilizers and organic manures on physico-chemical characteristics and soil nutrient composition of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) *cv*. Sardar. *Journal of Research, SKUAST-J*, **8**(2): 150-156.

Sharma, A., Wali, V.K., Bakshi, P. and Jamwal, M. (2011). Effect of organic manures and biofertilizerson leaf and fruit nutrient status in guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) *cv*. Sardar. *Journal of Horticultural Sciences*, **6**(2): 169-171.

Sharma, A., Wali, V.K., Bakshi, P. and Jasrotia, A. (2013). Effect of integrated nutrient management strategies on nutrient status, yield and quality of guava. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*, **70**(3): 333-39.

Sharma, A., Wali, V.K., Bakshi, P., Sharma, V., Sharma, V., Bakshi, M. and Rani, S. (2016). Impact of poultry manure on fruit quality attributes and nutrient status of guava (*Psidium guajava*) *cv*. L- 49 plant. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, **86**(4): 533-40.

Sunita, Meena. M. L.,Choudhary A, and Meena N ,2021 Impact of plant growth regulator on root development of dragon fruit cutttings (*Hylocereus constaricens* (Web.) britton and rose) *Journal of Plant Development Sciences* Vol. **14**(1): 51-58.

Sunita, Meena. M. L.,Choudhary A, Nagori, A. Nishad, U. 2022 Impact of Plant Growth Regulator on Development of Dragon fruits cutting. *Annals of Horticulture*.,**15**(2):162-167.

Shukla, S.K., Adak, T., Singha, A., Kumar, K., Singh, V.K. and Singh, A. (2014). Response of guava trees (*Psidium guajava*) to soil applications of mineral and organic fertilisers and biofertilisers under conditions of low fertile soil. *Journal of Horticultural Research*, **22**(2): 105-11

Sidahmed, O.H. and Kliewer, W.M. (1980). Effects of defoliation, gibberellic acid and 4-chlorophenoxyacetic acid on growth and composition of Thompson Seedless grape berries. *American Journal of Enology and Viticulture*, **31**: 149.

Singh, A., Patel, R.K. and Singh, R.P. (2003). Correlation studies of chemical fertilizers and biofertilizers with growth, yield and nutrient status of olive trees(*Olea europea)*. *Indian Journal of Hill Farming*, **16**: 99-100.

Singh, G. (2013). Guava. Westville Publishing House, New Delhi, 64-65

Singh, J.P., Tomar, S., Chaudhary, M. and Shukla, I.N. (2018). Effect of organic, inorganic and bio- fertilizers on physic-chemical properties of fruits of guava *cv*. L-49. *International Journal of Current Science*, **6**(3): 3233-3238.

Singh, Kirad, K., Barche, S. and Singh, D.B. (2008). Integrated nutrient management in papaya (*Carica papaya* L.) *cv*. Surya. *In II International Symposium on Papaya*, **851**: 377-380.

Singh, R., Sharma, R. R., Kumar, S., Gupta, R.K. and Patil, R.T., (2008). Vermicompost substitution influences growth, physiological disorders, fruit yield and quality of strawberry (*Fragaria* x *ananassa* Duch.). *Bioresource technology*, **99**(17): 8507-8511.

Soni, S., Amit, K., Rajkumar, C., Praval, S.C. and Rahul, K.S.D. (2018). Effect of organic manure and biofertilizers on growth, yield and quality of strawberry (*Fragaria* X *ananassa* Duch) *cv*. Sweet Charlie. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, **2**: 128-132.

Subba Rao, N.S. (1993). Biofertilizers in Agriculture and Forestry. Oxford Publishing Company Private Limited, New Delhi,72-73.

Ulrich, R. (1970). Organic acids, the biochemistry of fruit and their products. Hulme., **1**: 89-115.

Yadav, R.I., Singh, R.K., Jat, A.L., Choudhary, H.R., Pal, V. and Kumar, P. (2013). Effect of nutrient management through organic sources on productivity and profitability of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) under Vindhyan region. *Environment and Ecology,* **31**(2A): 735-737.

Zothansiami, A. and Mandal, D. (2021). Organic Nutrition with Biofertilizer Enriched Poultry Manure Caused High Yield of Quality Giant Cavendish Banana. *Research Journal of Agricultural Sciences,* **12**(1): 303-306.