

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_117619
Title of the Manuscript:	INVESTIGATION OF NITROGEN LEVELS AND ROW SPACING ON GROWTH AND YIELD OF MUSTARD CROP VARIETY VNR 509: A CASE STUDY
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarrb.com/index.php/ARRB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes it is important for scientific community</p> <p>Yes "Optimizing Nitrogen Levels and Row Spacing for Enhanced Growth and Yield in Mustard Crop Variety VNR 509: A Comprehensive Study</p> <p>abstract is not a structured abstract. It is recommended that the abstract follows a structured format to help readers quickly understand the abstract.</p> <p>Manuscript is short Your manuscript should be between 2,500 and 4,000 words.</p> <p>manuscript doesn't follow the IMRaD structure. It is recommended that your manuscript follows the IMRaD to ensure your research is conveyed in an effective</p> <p>It looks like you have a few too many older references. For original research it is recommended that you avoid citing too many old references</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Mechanics and style covers issues like capitalization, hyphenation, punctuation, spacing etc. We have identified all 37 issues in this category.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nwosu Nkemakolam Bright
Department, University & Country	Abia State University Uturu, Nigeria