

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_117104
Title of the Manuscript:	CHITOSAN COATED IMMOBILIZED ALGAL CELLS AS PGPR ISOLATED FROM SOUTH GUJARAT
Type of the Article	RESEARCH

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajsspn.com/index.php/AJSSPN/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1-The present manuscript is important because it provide scientific literature and methodology as earlier study. This is very helpful when someone conduct this type of studies.</p> <p>2- The title for the present manuscript is more suitable</p> <p>3- The abstract is more comprehensive</p> <p>4-Yes the author try to mention all the aspect related the study. Whereas the sub section and structure of present manuscript is appropriate.</p> <p>5-Yes, the present manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6--The present manuscript gives recent citation for the effective, reliable and authentic scientific study</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Quality of language is better for communication</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>No</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Suryawanshi Vijay Digambar
Department, University & Country	Dr.Babasaheb Ambedkar Marathwada University, India