

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_AJEE_118033
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Heavy Metal Distribution After Bauxite Mining in Kara Kara Blue Lake and Active Tailing Pond
Type of the Article	JOURNAL

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajee.com/index.php/AJEE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>YES INDEED. ONE OF THE SUBTLE CAUSES OF HEALTH RISK IS THE CONTINUOUS INFILTRATION OF HEAVY METALS AS A RESULTS OF HUMAN ACTIVITIES</p> <p>IT IS SUITABLE AND INFORMATIVE, BUT COULD BE MADE BETTER: "IMPACT OF BAUXITE MINING ON HEAVY METAL LEVELS IN KARA KARA BLUE LAKE AND ASSOCIATED ACTIVE TAILING POND" YES IT IS COMPRENSIVE. IT CAPTURES ALL OF THE CONCERNED AREAS BRIEFLY.</p> <p>I WOULD RATHER, THE MAPS OF THE LOCATIONS COME UNDER STUDY AREA NOT UNDER GEOLOGY (FIG. 1 AND 2).</p> <p>YES, THE RESULTS ARE IN AGREEMENT WITH SCIENTIC FACTS. IT CONFORMS WITH TREND OF ACTIVITIES OF A PLACE WITH HISTORY OF MINING ACTIVITIES.</p> <p>THE REFRENCES WERE QUITE SUFFICIENT AND RECENT ONLY THAT I CAN'T FIND THE CITATIONS OF REFERENCE NUMBER 8,9, 11, 15 AND 22. REF. NO. 10 IS A TINY SUPERScript (Pg.3).</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>VERY GOOD AND ETHICAL</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>THE WORK WAS THOROUGHLY DONE AND WELL PRESENTED. I DON'T KNOW YOUR STANDARD FOR HORIZONTAL LINES IN A TABLE.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sani Mohammed Sambo
Department, University & Country	Federal College of Education (Technical) Gombe, Nigeria