

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_JSRR_118027
Title of the Manuscript:	Significance of different drying techniques on quality attributes of Ready to reconstitute coffee mix.
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The executed study may help the new researchers to gain basic knowledge on coffee drying in different methods.</p> <p>Yes, it is found suitable.</p> <p>It is written well. However, a few suggestions are provided to improve the abstract.</p> <p>Yes it is good.</p> <p>Yes, the analyses are carried out well and are portrayed in good manner. The lack of information may be corrected on the first revision.</p> <p>The references are good. Suggestion has been given to refer some suitable literature regards to the energy analysis.</p> <p>Significant suggestion has been provided. Authors must take suggestions seriously and make the possible corrections to improve the quality of the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The manuscript needs English correction.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	W. Beno Wincy
Department, University & Country	Chennai Institute of Technology, India