

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_117515
Title of the Manuscript:	A Visualized Analysis of Legal Translation in the West
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Yes, because the paper provides valuable insights for future legal translation research. 'Mini review article' should be deleted or if it is used as part of the title then it can be written continuously, 'A visualized ... : A mini review article'. When writing an abstract, you must at least comply with writing rules, such as: problem, objectives, methods (research design/approach, data collection techniques, data validity, data analysis techniques), and research results. The systematics are correct, but the content in the introduction and discussion is very lacking. Yes, but still needs a lot of revision. References are still very lacking, it is best to add them from reputable journals that are up to date, from the last 5 years, highly recommended. use Mendeley or similar. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	clear	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohamad Joko Susilo
Department, University & Country	Islamic University of Indonesia, Indonesia