

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_IJBCRR_118086
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF CUCUMBER (CUCUMIS SATIVUS) FRUIT HOMOGENATE ON HYPOTONICITY – INDUCED HAEMOLYSIS OF RED BLOOD CELL
Type of the Article	Structured

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>Yes</b>, this research work will be helpful for the doctors, researcher and others to get benefits from its outcome and can also be implemented for further study.</p> <p><b>yes</b></p> <p><b>yes</b>, it is comprehensive and concise, author has followed the journal guideline while writing this.</p> <p><b>yes</b></p> <p><b>yes</b>, introduction, methodology, result and discussion are well written and explained.</p> <p><b>Yes</b>, but the author has not followed journal guideline while writing references. Reference should be written in number with bracket closed.</p> <p>Keywords is less according to journal guideline. It should be 4-8. In methodology part, Table 1 is not understandable, in table 6,9 &amp; 10 no. is not true. In result part, Author has mentioned about Table 6 but it is missing. Author has not followed the total word for the research paper, it should be in between 3000-6000 words but it is only 2464 words.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p><b>yes</b></p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The author has done good work on this. It will add knowledge in body of knowledge.</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Tanwir Alam</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Bihar University of Health Sciences, India</b>