

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_118202
Title of the Manuscript:	EXPLORING THE INFLUENCE OF TEACHER WORK VALUES AND LEADERSHIP STYLE ON ORGANIZATIONAL POLICIES AND PRACTICES
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes. The researcher/author tried to explore the influences of teachers' work values and leadership style on organizational policies and practices.</p> <p>Yes. Title is just, apt and appropriate.</p> <p>Yes. Abstract is comprehensive.</p> <p>Yes. Sub-sections and structure of the manuscripts are appropriate.</p> <p>Yes. The manuscript is scientifically and technically correct.</p> <p>No. Most of the references do not have ISSN. A few more recent references would have been mentioned.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language used in manuscript is lucid, simple and easy to understand and technically correct.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The paper is technically suitable to be published as its present form. But, graphical representations would have been better to be representing at a glance.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sunil Kumar Baskey</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Rabindra Bharati University, India</b>