

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJESS_118201</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>DELVING ON THE EXPERIENCES OF EDUCATORS ON PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT OF NATIONAL EDUCATORS ACADEMY OF THE PHILIPPINES: A CASE STUDY</b>
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. The manuscript I guess is important for scientific community. This case aims to know how professional development of national educators academy of the philippines. Hopefully this manuscript is accepted to publish.</p> <p>2. Ya, the title of the article suitable</p> <p>3. The abstract is uncompleted. The author miss the methodology research, please put the methodology research that importantly to complete abstract.</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate</p> <p>5. Ya, I think this manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>6. The author can use the application harzing's publish or perish. The application can be linked to several pages of search for scientific work, the author just folds down keywords and limits the year of search, for example the year search for the scientific work is for the last 5 years. use the most specific keyword so that the source is complementary to the author in collecting scientific theory in the manuscript. As an academian, The writer should be very attentive to basic things like using a completely new source that could be used by other researchers later on, so that the world of education progresses and makes people literate.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>As an academic, I am not focused on grammar, but after reading the whole, as a reviewer I can understand the meaning of the purpose and results of this research, so that the grammar in this writing is good and can be understood by the person who reads it.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Nurwinanda</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Alauddin Islamic State University, Indonesia</b>