

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_AFSJ_117821
Title of the Manuscript:	Utilization of Sorghum, Sweet Potato and Chickpea for Production of High Nutritional Value Gluten Free Cookies
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Without any doubt the manuscript is important for scientific community.</p> <p>Please write an impressive title of the manuscript.</p> <p>Please modify the abstract , Aim of the study is not clear. It's a introduction part. Please write the main objectives of the study Yes</p> <p>Except Grammarly and language error the manuscript and results of the study are correct.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Singh, S., Riar, C.S. and Saxena, D.C. Effect of incorporating sweet potato flour to wheat flour on the quality characteristics of cookies. African J. Food Sci. 2008; 2: 065-072. Is in duplicate form. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Quality of language and grammar is poor, Please improve the manuscript' s grammar especially result's part.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Aim of the study is not clear. It's a introduction part. Result and discussion parts are not clear. Please rewrite it For example: WHC (g of water/g dry matter)-----whc unit is g/g ----in results you written in %.</p> <p>Results part is so confusing.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vikendra Dabash
Department, University & Country	University of Porto, Portugal