

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRB_117299
Title of the Manuscript:	GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY-FLAME IONIZATION DETECTION ASSESSMENT OF PHYTOCHEMICAL CONSTITUENTS OF CNIDOSCOLUS ACONITIFOLIUS LEAVES EXTRACTS
Type of the Article	Original Research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://journalajrb.com/index.php/AJRB/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>		
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p><u>Reviewer comments:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mention the statistical methods that are applied in this manuscript in the material and method portions. • In the result portion, describe the percentage yield of the extract of <i>Cnidocolus aconitifolius</i> leaves in text form because you have only mentioned the table and not the text. • The conclusion is very short; please mention some results from the conclusion section. • In the reference portion, I see that you have mentioned old references in the paper. Please provide a few current references for the related work in the manuscript. • I see that you have not mentioned the DOI number in any references. If there is a DOI number available in the reference, please mention the DOI number in the reference. • Correct some grammar mistakes in this manuscript. 	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rajesh Dewangan
Department, University & Country	Guru Ghasidas Vishwavidyalaya (A Central University), India