

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_117264</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>A Comparison of the Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI) and the Rainfall Anomaly Index (RAI) during the Meteorological Drought across Harohar-Punpun Basin (India).</b>
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>Yes the paper is relevant for the scientific community. Because it is a global concern.</b></p> <p><b>It is OK</b></p> <p><b>Include important findings</b></p> <p><b>It has some shortcomings. It has to follow. It has to follow the standard manuscript writing procedures. The manuscript is scientifically correct but do the writers have some limitations Lacks coherence and signifying the problem and the results</b></p> <p><b>Still, more citation is needed. The citations are OK</b></p> <p><b>Please signify the importance of SPI and RAI as they are important in drought prediction. In research significance, relevance, and replicability need to be considered.</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>There are a lot of grammatical and construction errors that need to be improved. The citation of figures and tables is intolerable. It is better to refer to other manuscripts. Before sending a manuscript to a journal it is better to read a hard copy of it two to three times</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Hailu Regassa Bedane</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>College of Natural and Computational Sciences, Center for Environmental Sciences, Addis Ababa University, Ethiopia</b>