

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_117268
Title of the Manuscript:	Incorporating low glycemic index ingredients in bakery products: A review
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1 Yes, the manuscript on incorporating low glycemic index (GI) ingredients in bakery products is important for the scientific community.</p> <p>2 The title "Incorporating Low Glycemic Index Ingredients in Bakery Products: A Review" seems suitable for an article discussing the integration of low glycemic index ingredients into bakery goods</p> <p>3 It introduces the topic by discussing the various factors contributing to diabetes and the potential benefits of consuming low glycemic index (GI) foods. It highlights the effects of low-GI meals on blood lipid levels, glucose levels, insulin demand, colon fermentation, and satiety, which are crucial for understanding the significance of incorporating low-GI ingredients into bakery products.</p> <p>4 Yes, the subsections and overall structure of the manuscript seem appropriate</p> <p>5 Based on the information provided, the manuscript appears to be scientifically correct. It includes references to various studies, experiments, and case studies conducted by researchers in the field.</p> <p>6 The references provided in the manuscript cover a range of studies relevant to the topic of glycemic index, low-GI bakery products, and their impact on health suggestions for additional references:</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language and English quality of the article are generally suitable for scholarly communications. However, there are a few areas where improvement could enhance clarity and readability:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Grammar and Syntax: Ensure that sentences are grammatically correct and well-structured. Pay attention to subject-verb agreement, tense consistency, and sentence coherence. Word Choice: Use precise and appropriate vocabulary to convey ideas effectively. Avoid overly complex language or jargon that may confuse readers. Clarity and Precision: Clearly articulate concepts and findings to ensure they are easily understood by the target audience. Avoid ambiguity or vague language that could lead to misinterpretation. Conciseness: Strive for clarity and conciseness by eliminating redundant phrases and unnecessary words. This helps maintain the reader's interest and focus. Transition Words and Phrases: Use transition words and phrases to create smooth transitions between ideas and sections of the manuscript. This enhances the flow of the text and improves readability. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>In addition to the specific feedback provided in the review form, here are some general comments to consider:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Introduction Clarity: Ensure that the introduction clearly outlines the significance of the research problem, provides sufficient background information, and clearly states the research objectives or hypotheses. Data Analysis: Provide a detailed description of the data analysis methods employed, including any statistical techniques or models used. This helps readers understand how the results were obtained and interpreted. Discussion Depth: Expand on the implications of the findings and relate them to the broader research context. Discuss any limitations of the study and avenues for future 	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>research to provide a comprehensive understanding of the topic.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">Visual Aids: Consider including visual aids such as tables, figures, or graphs to present data and findings in a clear and visually appealing manner. Ensure that these visual aids are properly labeled and explained in the text.Conclusion Recap: Summarize the key findings and their implications in the conclusion section. Reinforce the significance of the research and its contribution to the field.Peer Review: Consider seeking feedback from colleagues or mentors in the field through a peer-review process to further refine the manuscript before submission. <p>Overall, the manuscript shows promise, but addressing these areas can further enhance its clarity, rigor, and impact within the scholarly community.</p>	
--	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rehan Haider
Department, University & Country	University of Karachi, Pakistan