

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Biotechnology Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_BJI_117897
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of culture medium, growth regulators, and organic additives on shoot regeneration from hybrid-seeds of Phalaenopsis
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalbjournal.com/index.php/BJI/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, It is very important, because cultivation using tissue culture is the future, which is a challenge for scientific community.</p> <p>2. Yes, it is suitable because objectivity, specificity and the objectives of research are clearly visible.</p> <p>3. The abstract is comprehensive enough. It needs to be added to the result of the highest value obtained from each parameters.</p> <p>4. Yes, The are appropriate.</p> <p>5. Yes, It is the scientifically correct</p> <p>6. The are added journal references the last 5 years related to orchid plant research using culture medium.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. Yes, language is suitable for scholarly communications,</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>In methodology. Why not use experimental design tools</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Inka Dahlianah
Department, University & Country	Sjakhyakirti University, Indonesia