

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9

TIME PERSPECTIVES AND PERSONALITY TRAITS OF COLLEGE STUDENTS IN DAVAO REGION: A QUANTITATIVE ANALYSIS

ABSTRACT

This study investigated the relationship between time perspectives (past, present, future) and personality traits among 350 university students in the Davao Region using a quantitative-predictive research design. Shapiro-Wilk test confirmed normal distribution and measured variable levels. Findings revealed that students had high present hedonistic, past negative, and past positive time perspectives, along with high openness to experience and agreeableness, and moderate neuroticism, conscientiousness, and extraversion. A positive correlation between time perspectives and personality traits was identified, with linear regression indicating that future, present hedonistic, and past positive perspectives were significant positive predictors. This research offers clear recommendations for utilizing diverse time perspectives and associated personality traits in personal development. Encouraging self-awareness and reflection can assist students in understanding how their time outlook shapes their behavior. This research also highlights potential drawbacks such as excessive future-oriented thinking leading to anxiety or rigidity, present hedonism resulting in neglect of long-term goals or consequences, and dwelling excessively on past positive experiences hindering present growth. It also underscores the importance of addressing these negatives through balanced approaches tailored to individual needs. Future research should include larger sample sizes and consider additional factors such as life satisfaction, parenting styles, and subjective well-being.

10
11
12
13
14

Keywords: Time perspectives, personality traits, college students, correlation

1. INTRODUCTION

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Time perspectives and personality traits have garnered significant attention in recent research, with studies exploring their interrelationship and their impacts on various aspects of human behavior and well-being. Time perspective refers to an individual's subjective experience and orientation towards the past, present, and future. This concept, developed by Zimbardo and Boyd (1999, 2008), elucidates how individuals perceive and interpret events within the framework of time. It is considered a crucial aspect of personality, influencing diverse psychological processes and behaviors. Zimbardo and Boyd (2008) proposed five subscales of time perspective: past positive, past negative, present fatalism, present hedonism, and future. These subscales represent distinct orientations towards time, shaping individuals' perceptions and interpretations of significant life events.

26 Past Positive reflects a positive orientation towards the past, emphasizing pleasant
27 memories, nostalgia, and a focus on past achievements. Past Negative, on the other hand,
28 embodies a negative orientation towards the past, centering on unpleasant memories,
29 regrets, and past failures. Present Fatalism encapsulates the belief that life is predetermined
30 by destiny or external forces, resulting in a sense of helplessness and a perceived lack of
31 control over both the present and the future. Present Hedonism reflects a tendency to
32 prioritize immediate pleasure and gratification in the present moment. Lastly, the Future
33 orientation entails a focus on future goals, planning, and delayed gratification. These
34 subscales offer a comprehensive framework for understanding individuals' temporal
35 orientations and how they shape attitudes, behaviors, and perceptions of time.

36 In contrast, personality traits represent enduring patterns of thoughts, feelings, and
37 behaviors that characterize individuals over time. The widely accepted Big Five personality
38 trait model, proposed by Costa and McCrae (2008), comprises Openness,
39 Conscientiousness, Extraversion, Agreeableness, and Neuroticism. These traits significantly
40 influence various aspects of an individual's behavior, emotions, and cognitive processes.
41 Often abbreviated as OCEAN, these characteristics form the foundation of the Five Factor
42 Model (FFM) (Anchor et al., 2024). Furthermore, the trait of openness to new experiences,
43 which indicates artistic, imaginative, and intellectual inclinations, exhibits a varied
44 relationship with academic success. While some studies suggest a positive correlation
45 between openness and a student's GPA, others find no such association (Gerbino et al.,
46 2017; Verbee et al., 2021). Recent meta-analyses indicate a low yet statistically significant
47 positive connection between openness and a student's GPA. Conversely, agreeableness,
48 reflecting warmth, care, support, and cooperativeness, influences positive peer and family
49 relationships. It promotes the modeling of gratitude and forgiveness, facilitates job
50 attainment, contributes to long and satisfying lives, enhances relationship contentment, and
51 encourages community volunteering.

52
53 Moreover, conscientiousness, characterized by traits such as organization, responsibility,
54 and thoroughness, manifests in competence, orderliness, duty, achievement drive, self-
55 discipline, and carefulness (Costa and McCrae, 2008; Hu et al., 2023). Individuals with
56 conscientious traits are perceived as reliable, displaying high self-control and motivation
57 towards goals (Rahman et al., 2022). Conscientiousness emerges as the most influential
58 predictor of academic success among the Big Five traits (Aido et al., 2022). On the flip side,
59 neuroticism involves emotional instability, encompassing anxiety, aggression, sadness, self-
60 consciousness, impulsiveness, and vulnerability (Costa and McCrae, 2008; Hu et al., 2023).
61 Low neuroticism indicates emotional stability and a healthy stress response (Soomro et al.,
62 2023), yet it may lead to unfavorable coping mechanisms under pressure (Soomro et al.,
63 2023). Furthermore, extraversion, as delineated by Li et al. (2019), encompasses qualities
64 such as energy, sociability, and talkativeness. High levels of extraversion facilitate the
65 establishment of friendships and enjoyment of social interactions. However, individuals may
66 also prioritize thoughtful decision-making and consideration for others' needs, valuing
67 achievement and stimulation over adherence to tradition. Neuroticism and extraversion exert
68 differential effects on academic performance; initially, neuroticism correlates with higher
69 grades in middle school but lower grades in college, whereas extraversion is associated with
70 better middle school grades but lower college grades (Aido et al., 2022). Conscientiousness
71 and openness to experience, as highlighted by Aidoo et al. (2022), positively correlate with
72 academic achievement.

73 Additionally, a crucial study published in 2019, titled "Time perspective dimensions:
74 Temporal orientations and substance use in adolescents," in the journal *Identity and*
75 *Individual Differences* (Assylkhan et al., 2021), examined the associations between time
76 perspective dimensions and substance use among adolescents, while controlling for

77 personality traits. This study revealed that expressing negative feelings about time and
78 possessing orientations towards both the past and future were strongly associated with
79 increased contentment. The findings suggest that time perspective dimensions are distinct
80 from personality traits in adolescents and may serve as modifiable factors to influence
81 human behaviors, including substance use among teenagers. Furthermore, another pertinent
82 inquiry delves into "The Connection Between Identity, Time Viewpoint, and Positive
83 Introduction in Chile, Hong Kong, and Poland," as published in the Journal of Happiness
84 Studies (Przepiórka et al., 2019). This study investigated the relationships between
85 personality traits, time perspective (TP), and positive orientation (PO) across three nations:
86 Chile, Hong Kong, and Poland. The research revealed that TP dimensions predicted PO
87 even after controlling for personality traits. Additionally, the study found that adjusted time
88 perspective (BTP) acted as a mediator between personality traits and PO. These findings
89 underscore the importance of considering both TP and personality traits in understanding
90 positive orientation and well-being.

91 Moreover, recent studies in the Philippines have explored the relationship between time
92 perspectives and personality traits, particularly Long-Run Time Perspective (FTP), to
93 comprehend how these variables influence behavior and well-being among Filipinos. This
94 research aims to understand how time perspectives shape emotional responses in specific
95 situations. It also reveals concurrent associations between time perspectives and personality
96 traits, with future-oriented individuals exhibiting values and goal-directed behavior, while
97 present-oriented individuals display spontaneity and impulsivity. This comprehension
98 provides valuable insights into how these factors interact and influence behavior and well-
99 being among Filipinos, emphasizing the significance of considering both perspectives in
100 research and interventions (De Guzman et al., 2019). Additionally, researchers in the Davao
101 Region have explored the relationship between personality traits, particularly neuroticism,
102 extraversion, and conscientiousness, and their impact on emotional states and well-being
103 among Filipinos. A study by Salazar et al. (2019) found that individuals with high scores on
104 neuroticism experience higher levels of anxiety, stress, sadness, and worry, while those with
105 low scores exhibit calmness and confidence. The study also investigated the relationship
106 between extraversion and conscientiousness, revealing individuals with higher levels of
107 extraversion experience more positive emotions and a greater sense of well-being, while
108 those with higher levels of conscientiousness report higher life satisfaction and overall well-
109 being.

110 Additionally, this thought raises an inquiry about gaps, emphasizing the significance of
111 further examination into the relationship between personality traits and time perspective.
112 While existing research, such as Stolarski et al. (2020), suggests a strong connection, much
113 more research is needed, particularly to understand how different perspectives of time relate
114 to specific aspects of personality (Mioni et al., 2022), especially in educational contexts.
115 Although the inquiry suggests a positive relationship, some aspects remain unexplained and
116 require further investigation (Mioni et al., 2022). Future inquiries should uncover the
117 mechanisms by which time perspective and personality traits are connected, enhancing our
118 understanding of their combined impact on human behavior and mental outcomes.
119 Moreover, exploring the influence of cultural differences on this relationship and
120 understanding the intervening and moderating factors may provide a more comprehensive
121 understanding of mental functioning, especially among college students. There is a clear
122 absence of both national and local research examining how these variables are
123 interconnected, particularly within the specific context of this institution.

124
125 However, there is a significant gap in understanding these dynamics, especially concerning
126 Filipino youths, particularly in regions like Davao Region. While international studies have
127 explored the relationships between these factors and outcomes such as academic

128 performance, substance use, and mental health, there is limited research focusing on the
129 Philippines. Investigating how Filipino culture interacts with time perspectives and
130 personality traits could enhance our understanding of behavior and well-being. Additionally,
131 conducting studies in local settings could uncover insights into the influence of regional
132 culture. Consequently, there is a critical need for both national and local investigations to
133 understand the effects of time perspectives and personality traits on Filipinos' lives.

134

135 **1.1 RESEARCH OBJECTIVES**

136

137 This research study aims to explore the association between different time perspectives and
138 personality traits among college students. Specifically, the study seeks to address the
139 following objectives:

140

141 **1 To describe the demographic profile of college students, including:**

142

1.1 Gender

143

1.2 Year Level

144

1.3 Department

145

2 To assess the levels of different Time Perspectives among college students, categorized

146

as:

147

2.1 Past Positive

148

2.2 Past Negative

149

2.3 Present Fatalistic

150

2.4 Present Hedonistic

151

2.5 Future

152

3 To evaluate the levels of various Personality Traits among college students, including:

153

3.1 Openness

154

3.2 Conscientiousness

155

3.3 Extraversion

156

3.4 Agreeableness

157

3.5 Neuroticism

158

**4.To determine whether there is a significant relationship between Time Perspectives
159 and Personality Traits of college students.**

160

**5.To identify which specific domains of Time Perspectives have an influence on
161 Personality Traits.**

162

163 **2. METHODOLOGY**

164

165 This chapter highlights the process and methods employed to perform the study. This
166 section covers research respondents, research instruments, design and procedure,
167 statistical treatment, ethical considerations, and limitations of the study. This provides an
168 understanding of how the study was executed and how the results were acquired, ensuring
169 transparency and replicability.

170

171 **2.1 RESEARCH RESPONDENTS**

172 The study focused on students from one university in Davao region during the 2024 school
173 year, covering various genders, year levels, and departments. A sample size of 350 was
174 determined using a Sloven's test to ensure reliable results. Researchers employed simple
175 random sampling from a total population of 3,868 students. The majority of respondents
176 were first-year students, followed by second-year, third-year, and fourth-year students. The

177 Department of Arts and Sciences had the highest number of participants, with other
178 departments such as Technology, Business Administration, Criminal Justice Education,
179 Teacher Education, and Engineering also represented. The majority of respondents
180 identified as female, with a smaller proportion identifying as male and LGBTQ.

181

182 **2.2 RESEARCH INSTRUMENT**

183

184 The researchers employed a structured research instrument for data collection. This
185 instrument consisted of two primary components: an adapted survey questionnaire on time
186 perspectives and another on personality traits. The time perspectives component, adapted
187 from Zimbardo and Boyd (1999), includes 56 items designed to assess respondents'
188 orientations toward past, present, and future time perspectives. The purpose of this
189 instrument is to measure how these temporal orientations influence individuals' behaviors
190 and attitudes. Respondents were required to rate each item on a 5-point Likert scale ranging
191 from 1 (Very Uncharacteristic) to 5 (Very Characteristic).

192 To assess personality traits, the researchers utilized an adapted version of the Big Five
193 Inventory (BFI) based on the psychometric properties established by John and Srivastava
194 (1999). This component comprises 44 items aimed at evaluating the five major dimensions
195 of personality: Openness, Conscientiousness, Extraversion, Agreeableness, and
196 Neuroticism. Participants were asked to respond to each item on a 5-point Likert scale
197 ranging from 1 (Strongly Disagree) to 5 (Strongly Agree).

198

199 ***Table 1. Time Perspectives Mean Interpretation***

Mean Interval	Description	Interpretation
4.21-5.00	Very Characteristic	The time perspective is very highly evident
3.41-4.20	Characteristic	The time perspective is highly evident
2.61-3.40	Neutral	The time perspective is moderately evident
1.81-2.60	Uncharacteristic	The time perspective is slightly evident
1.00-1.80	Very Uncharacteristic	The time perspective is very slightly evident

200

201

202

203

204

205 ***Table 2. Personality Traits Mean Interpretation***

206

Mean Interval	Description	Interpretation
4.21-5.00	Agree Strongly	The personality trait is very highly evident
3.41-4.20	Agree a little	The personality trait is high evident
2.61-3.40	NeitherAgreenor Disagree	The personality trait is moderately evident
1.81-2.60	Disagree a little	The personality trait is slightly evident
1.00-1.80	Disagree Strongly	The personality trait is very slightly evident

2.3 DESIGN AND PROCEDURE

This study employed a quantitative approach with a descriptive-predictive research design. Initially, the researchers conducted a thorough review of relevant literature. They utilized validated questionnaires from Zimbardo and Boyd (1999) on Time Perspectives, and Goldberg (1993) on Personality Traits. Printed questionnaires were distributed, and permission letters, endorsed by the Dean of the Professional School, ensuring ethical considerations, were obtained. Finally, the data were tabulated and analyzed using appropriate statistical methods, with assistance from Jamovi Statistical tool version 2.4.14.

2.4 STATISTICAL TREATMENT

Data from 350 respondents were collected and analyzed using the statistical software JAMOVI version 2.4.14. Descriptive statistics, including the mean and standard deviation, were employed to summarize the responses. To assess the normality of the data, the Shapiro-Wilk test was conducted, yielding a p-value of 0.077. Since this p-value exceeds 0.05, it suggests that the data are not normally distributed. Consequently, the researchers utilized Spearman's rank correlation analysis to explore the relationships between the two variables.

2.5 ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS

2.5.1 Voluntary Participation. The respondents in the study have the freedom to join voluntarily and can exit at any point. Researchers must respect their choices, ensuring ethical treatment and upholding autonomy.

2.5.2 Right to Revoke. Respondents can join and leave the study freely, with researchers respecting their choices for ethical treatment and autonomy.

2.5.3 Privacy and Confidentiality. Personal information of respondents is kept confidential within the study, with proper security measures in place to safeguard privacy and prevent unauthorized access.

2.5.4 Informed Consent Process. Respondents in the study were provided with all the information they needed to understand whether they wanted to participate or not. Each respondent was given an overview of the study's key points, including what was expected from both them and the researchers. This included information about the benefits and risks of participating, as well as any approvals from relevant institutions. The researchers made sure that respondents knew their data would be kept private and that their decisions would be respected throughout the study.

2.5.5 Risk. Respondents were informed about the study's details, risks, and privacy measures to ensure understanding and protection of their rights and information.

2.5.6 Benefits. The study does not offer immediate benefits to respondents, its results could indirectly benefit them by improving how information about time perspective and personality traits is shared. This could be particularly helpful for college students, enhancing their understanding in this area

2.5.7 Plagiarism. The study was conducted in a way that avoids any possibility of plagiarism. The researchers made sure to accurately cite any ideas or results from other authors and scholars mentioned in the study, following the appropriate methods for citing sources. This ensures that the study maintains academic integrity and respects the work of others.

2.5.8 Fabrication. The researchers ensured that they didn't present anyone else's work as their own, nor did they intend to fake data, fabricate outcomes, or draw conclusions that did not align with existing literature. They maintained integrity by accurately representing their sources and ensuring their findings were consistent with established knowledge.

2.5.9 Falsification. The research is built upon trustworthy and precise studies, with the authors duly recognized and their work referenced in the manuscript. This ensures the research is grounded in reliable sources and contributes to the academic discourse by building upon existing knowledge.

2.5.10 Conflict of Interest. The researchers are committed to ensuring the quality of their findings and conducting the study with honesty and integrity. Their dedication ensures that the research outcomes are reliable and trustworthy.

2.5.11 Deceit. The study ensured respondents provided truthful responses without causing harm, using ethical techniques and avoiding misleading volunteers. Moral dilemmas were handled appropriately, maintaining ethical standards and respondent well-being.

2.5.12 Permission from Organization/Location. Before distributing questionnaires to college students, the researcher obtained signatures from advisors and a positive endorsement from the Professional School Dean. This was done to ensure proper authorization for conducting the study within the college, whether it was related to the students' field of study or the location where data were being collected.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This chapter presents the data, findings, interpretations, discussion, and analysis based on respondents' answers regarding their time perspectives and personality traits. The tables are organized under the following subheadings: the demographic profile of the respondents, the levels of time perspectives and personality traits, the significant relationship between time perspectives and personality traits, and a regression analysis predicting students' time perspectives.

3.1 THE DEMOGRAPHIC OF THE RESPONDENTS

This table outlines the demographic characteristics of the surveyed individuals, encompassing their sex, year level, and department affiliation. The majority of respondents identify as female, constituting 65.4% ($n = 229$) of the sample. Male respondents make up 33.4% ($n = 117$), while individuals identifying as LGBTQ represent a smaller proportion at 1.1% ($n = 4$). In terms of year level distribution, first-year students comprise the largest segment, accounting for 44% of the sample ($n = 154$). Second-year students follow, representing 31.7% ($n = 111$), while third-year students account for 16.9% ($n = 59$). Fourth-year students are the least represented group, with only 7.4% ($n = 26$) of respondents falling into this category.

Table 3. Characteristics of Respondents ($n=350$)

Profile	f	%
GENDER		
Male	117	33.4%
Female	229	65.4%
LGBTQ	4	1.1%
YEAR LEVEL		
1 st	154	44.0%
2 nd	111	31.7%
3 rd	59	16.9%
4 th	26	7.4%
DEPARTMENT		
DAS	96	27.4%
DTE	64	18.3%
DBA	59	16.9%
DCJE	53	15.1%
DTP	41	11.7%
DAE	37	10.6%
TOTAL	350	100%

Regarding departmental distribution, the Department of Arts and Sciences (DAS) has the highest representation, comprising 27.4% ($n= 96$) of the sample. This is followed by the Department of Technology and Engineering (DTE) at 18.3% ($n= 64$), the Department of Business Administration (DBA) at 16.9% ($n= 59$), and the Department of Criminal Justice Education (DCJE) at 15.1% ($n= 53$). The Department of Teacher Preparation (DTP) and the Department of Agricultural Education (DAE) account for 11.7% ($n= 41$) and 10.6% ($n= 37$) of the sample, respectively. These figures highlight that the majority of respondents are affiliated with the DAS. The distribution of respondents across different categories reflects the utilization of a random sampling method, which inherently results in variability in demographic representation.

3.2 THE LEVEL OF TIME PERSPECTIVES AND PERSONALITY TRAITS

Tables 4 and 5 present a summary of the statistical analysis results regarding the evaluated levels of Time Perspectives and Personality Traits among college students. Table 4 assesses five dimensions of Time Perspectives: Present Hedonistic, Present Fatalistic, Future, Past Negative, and Past Positive. Correspondingly, Table 5 summarizes the survey findings on Personality Traits, evaluating five criteria: Openness, Conscientiousness, Extraversion, Agreeableness, and Neuroticism.

Items	Mean	Std. Deviation	Description
-------	------	----------------	-------------

Present Hedonistic	3.61	.587	Highly evident	Table 4. Level of Time Perspectives Among Respondents
Present Fatalistic	3.36	.512	Moderately evident	
Future	3.36	.376	Moderately evident	
Past Negative	3.59	.548	Highly evident	
Past Positive	3.49	.400	Highly evident	
Overall Students Time Perspectives	3.48	.378	Highly evident	

Among Respondents.

Based on the presented results, the overall level of time perspectives among respondents is notably high ($\bar{x}= 3.48, SD= 0.378$). Upon examining individual items, present hedonistic ($\bar{x}=3.61, SD= 0.587$), past negative ($\bar{x}= 3.59, SD= 0.548$), and past positive ($\bar{x}= 3.49, SD= 0.400$) perspectives are all deemed highly evident. This aligns with previous research, which suggests that a greater emphasis on present-hedonistic temporal perspective is linked to a decreased likelihood of receiving future rewards, leading to delayed pleasure (Kim et al., 2020). Conversely, present fatalistic ($\bar{x}= 3.36, SD= 0.512$) and future ($\bar{x}= 3.36, SD= 0.376$) perspectives are relatively evident. Another study found that a present fatalistic perspective is associated with feelings of hopelessness and powerlessness over life events (Papastamatelou, 2015). Individuals with a fatalistic focus on the present believe that everything in life is predetermined by fate, leaving them to passively exist. Personal values such as achievement and self-direction influence future-oriented behavior.

Table 5. Level of Personality Traits Among Respondents

Items	Mean	Std. Deviation	Description
Openness	3.47	.422	Highly evident
Conscientiousness	3.10	.445	Moderately evident
Extroversion	3.05	.477	Moderately evident
Agreeableness	3.41	.394	Highly evident
Neuroticism	3.22	.508	Moderately evident
Overall Students Personality Traits	3.25	.231	Moderately evident

The overall level of personality traits among respondents is moderately evident, with openness ($\bar{x}= 3.47, SD= 0.422$) being highly prominent, reflecting qualities such as intellectual curiosity and creativity, indicating a desire for new experiences and intellectual pursuits (Kekäläinen et al., 2020). High agreeableness ($\bar{x}= 3.41, SD= 0.394$) suggests that college students possess traits like compassion, cooperation, and empathy, facilitating positive relationships and effective teamwork. This characterization highlights an individual with notable levels of openness to experience and agreeableness, signaling curiosity, empathy, and a proclivity for exploration and collaboration. With moderate levels of conscientiousness ($\bar{x}= 3.10, SD= 0.445$) extraversion, ($\bar{x}= 3.05, SD= 0.477$). and neuroticism ($\bar{x}= 3.22, SD= 0.508$), they achieve a balance between organization and spontaneity, social interaction and introspection, and emotional stability and resilience. This implies adaptability, empathy, and the capacity to forge meaningful connections while upholding stability and resilience amidst life's challenges.

3.3 SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN TIME PERSPECTIVES AND PERSONALITY TRAITS

This table summarizes the results of the correlation analysis between time perspectives and personality traits. The correlation coefficients presented in the table indicate the strength and direction of the relationship between time perspectives and personality traits.

Table 6. Summary of Spearman's Rho Correlation between Time Perspectives and Personality Traits.

<i>Independent variable</i>	<i>Overall Time Perspective</i>		
<i>Personality Traits</i>	Spearman's rho	p-value	Remarks
	.416	<.001	Significant

This table depicts the relationship between time perspectives and overall time perspectives among college students. The findings indicate a positive correlation coefficient of 0.416, suggesting that as personality traits increase, time perspective tends to increase as well. The moderate strength of the correlation (0.416) implies a moderate association between the two variables. Previous research indicates that time perspectives contribute to self-concepts beyond the Big Five personality traits (Matthews & Stolarski, 2015; Rudzinska-Wojciechowska et al., 2021). Miceli et al. (2021) discovered that past positive, present hedonistic, and future orientations positively correlate with extraversion, agreeableness, conscientiousness, and openness, but negatively with neuroticism. Conversely, past and present fatalistic tendencies have negative associations with these traits but positive ones with neuroticism (Chen et al., 2024). However, some studies present contradictory findings on the relationship between personality traits and time perspectives (Diaconu-Gherasim & Mardari, 2021).

3.4 REGRESSION ANALYSIS FOR VARIABLES

The table 7 shows the regression analysis for variables predicting the time perspectives of students. The table shows that four significant predictors contributed to the students' time perspectives, namely, Future, Present Hedonistic, Past Positive, and Present Fatalistic.

Table 7. Regression Analysis for Variables Predicting Students Time Perspectives (n= 350)

Variable	B	SE	t	p
Present Hedonistic	0.0854	0.0328	2.60	0.0010
Present Fatalistic	-0.0557	0.0257	-2.17	0.031
Future	0.1416	0.0373	3.80	<.001
Past Negative	0.0385	0.0287	1.34	0.181
Past Positive	0.0799	0.0357	2.24	0.026
R2	0.209	df1	df2	p
F	18.2	5	344	<.001

This table presents the results of a linear regression analysis, incorporating coefficients of correlation, was conducted with a significance test at the $\alpha=0.05$ level. The subscales examined include Future ($\beta= 0.1416$, $t= 3.80$, $p<.001$), Present Hedonistic ($\beta= 0.0854$, $t= 2.60$, $p<0.001$), Past Positive ($\beta= 0.0799$, $t= 2.24$, $p<0.02$), Present Fatalistic ($\beta= -0.0557$, $t= -2.17$, $p<0.031$), and Past Negative ($\beta= 0.0385$, $t= 1.34$, $p<0.181$). The analysis revealed that Future, Present Hedonistic, Past Positive, and Present Fatalistic have a significant positive relationship with Personality Traits. In other words, as Future, Present Hedonistic, Past Positive, and Present Fatalistic increases, Personality Traits increases as well. On the contrary, the other predictor variable, Past Negative, does not demonstrate a statistically significant impact on predicting Personality Traits in this analysis. Additionally, Future with a standard error (SE) of 0.0373, suggesting that the estimate for it is less precise or reliable compared to present fatalistic, Past Positive with a standard error (SE) of 0.0357 suggesting that the estimate for it is slightly lower precision or reliable compared to future, Present Hedonistic with a standard error (SE) of 0.0328 suggesting that the estimate for it is slightly higher precision or reliable compared to past positive but still less precise than present fatalistic, and Present Fatalistic has the smallest standard error (SE) of 0.0257, suggesting that the estimate for it is most

precise or reliable among the four predictors. On the other hand, the t-value of Future and Present Hedonistic values all exceed the critical value, while the Present Fatalistic and Past Positive value does not; therefore, Future ($t = 3.80 > 2$) and Present Hedonistic ($t = 2.60 > 2$) have a significant positive relationship with Personality Traits. Furthermore, Future and Present Hedonistic accounts for 21% of the variance in personality traits $F(5, 344) = F, p < .001$. In other words, only 21% predict the personality traits of college students. The 79% can be explained by other factors or variables.

Research indicates that individuals possess the ability to live in the present while also drawing upon past experiences and planning for the future (Lens et al., 2012; Diaconu-Gherasim & Mardari, 2021). Time perspective undergoes developmental changes and remains distinct from personality traits (Carstensen, 2006; Lewin, 1939; Mello, 2019). Studies yield diverse findings regarding the connections between time perspectives and personality traits, with some indicating minimal correlations (Zhang & Howell, 2011). For college students, reflecting on the past aids in learning and decision-making, while anticipating the future enables goal setting and maintaining direction. Sobol-Kwapinska and Jankowski (2016) found that a current fatalistic time perspective correlates with a lack of life significance, often stemming from feelings of external control. Griffin and Wildbur (2020) corroborate this, noting its association with diminished future concern, lower self-esteem, reduced energy, and heightened depression and susceptibility to suicide risks (Culajara, 2023). Conversely, Sobol et al. (2022) discovered that introverts with a fatalistic perspective exhibit higher self-esteem compared to those without it. Personality traits such as neuroticism and pessimism strongly predict fatalistic beliefs, influencing college experiences and fostering feelings of powerlessness and pessimism (Apostolov & Geldenhuys, 2022). Ertina and Ibrahim (2019) confirmed a rising hedonistic lifestyle among college students, driven by curiosity and influenced by family and friends (Trimartati, 2014). This lifestyle correlates with low conscientiousness and high extraversion, fostering high engagement and diverse interests (Rudzinska-Wojciechowska et al., 2021). However, modern hedonism carries negative motivational and learning consequences (de Bilde et al., 2011; Rudzinska-Wojciechowska et al., 2021). Sirois and Pychyl (2013) found that while present hedonism offers immediate pleasure, it hampers long-term planning and goal achievement, ultimately impacting overall success and well-being.

Our result surpasses the variance of previous study, indicating a 13.7% of life satisfaction beyond personality traits (Zhang & Howell, 2011). This elaborated by (Wood et al., 2009), that individuals who have a positive outlook on the past tend to experience higher feelings of appreciation, pleasure, and overall well-being. College students with positive experiences, such as successful group work, tend to have more positive perceptions and engage in similar activities in the future (Massah et al., 2020). This aligns with prior research showing that reliving positive memories enhances gratitude (Burzynska & Stolarski, 2020). Zhang (2020) found that appreciative people are happier due to higher levels of past-positive time perspective. Initial inquiries lacked evidence on how future time perspective (FTP) motivates behavior beyond known contexts (André et al., 2018). This might be because individuals in politically or economically unstable nations are less motivated to pursue educational goals (Morselli, 2013). Motivation derives from the ability to foresee future outcomes (cognitive aspect) and value long-term objectives (emotional aspect) (André et al., 2018). Short-term-oriented societies focus on immediate results, while long-term-oriented cultures emphasize future goals (André et al., 2018). According to Seligman et al. (2013), those with a strong future time perspective show higher resilience and goal-directed behavior, leading to greater academic and professional success.

4. CONCLUSION

This study investigated the correlation between time perspectives and personality traits among college students. The findings revealed that respondents exhibited a high overall level of time perspectives, with a moderate level of personality traits. This suggests that the majority of respondents possess a strong perspective on considering past, present, and future events in their decision-making and behavior toward the world around them, shaping their goals, priorities, and responses to various situations. However, time perspectives are not the sole determinant of personality characteristics among respondents. Instead, personality traits may be influenced by a multitude of factors beyond time perspective, such as genetics, environmental influences, and individual experiences.

Additionally, the study revealed that respondents displayed a moderate level of overall personality traits, with agreeableness and openness appearing as the highest among the five subscales at a high level. This suggests that respondents possess positive personality traits, which may contribute to favorable interpersonal relationships, adaptability to new experiences, and overall well-being. It's important to highlight that although agreeableness and openness scored highly, the research did reveal notable connections between time perspectives and personality traits, indicating the presence of mentioned contributions of these personalities to an individual; this adaptability can be particularly valuable in today's rapidly changing and interconnected world, where individuals are often confronted with evolving societal norms, technological advancements, and global issues. This could imply that respondents may cultivate a sense of agency, fulfillment, and flourishing in their personal and professional aspirations.

Moreover, while it is widely recognized that time perspectives can influence personality traits, the findings revealed a distinct relationship wherein individuals with higher time perspectives levels may also experience increased personality traits. This could be because their awareness of time may lead them to have more experiences, learn more lessons, and thus develop a particular personality. In the linear regression analysis conducted to ascertain the significant influence between time perspective domains and personality traits, four predictors emerged as significant, while one was non-significant. Among the significant predictors, Future, Present Hedonistic, and Past Positive were identified as significant positive predictors, indicating a positive correlation or effect with personality traits. Conversely, Present Fatalistic emerged as a negative predictor, suggesting a negative correlation that was statistically significant with personality traits.

In conclusion, this study offers divergent findings compared to existing research, emphasizing the need for balance across various aspects regardless of an individual's time perspectives. It underscores that personality traits are influenced by multiple factors, with time perspective being just one component. Conversely, the study identifies potential drawbacks associated with each time perspective. Excessive focus on the future may lead to anxiety and perfectionism, while present hedonism could result in impulsivity and neglect of long-term goals. Overemphasizing past positive experiences may hinder personal growth, and rigid time management approaches might limit adaptability. These findings stress the importance of addressing imbalances and adopting a holistic approach that integrates reflection, goal setting, and mindfulness practices tailored to individual needs. Additionally, interventions targeting negative past tendencies and mitigating fatalistic influences can contribute to overall well-being and personal development.

5. RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the findings and conclusions presented, the following recommendations and suggestions are proposed. The school and other universities, institutions should integrate programs aimed at fostering a balanced time perspective among students. This could involve offering workshops or courses that emphasize the importance of future orientation while also addressing present-hedonistic tendencies. Moreover, understanding the correlation between personality traits and time perspectives can help educators tailor interventions that promote academic success and emotional well-being. For instance, incorporating strategies to enhance conscientiousness and future orientation may mitigate present-fatalistic attitudes and improve students' overall outlook towards their academic and personal development. To students and teachers, students can benefit from self-awareness exercises to recognize their dominant time perspectives and personality traits, helping them understand how these factors influence their behavior and decision-making. Teachers can incorporate discussions on these topics into the curriculum to deepen understanding of how individual differences impact learning and interpersonal dynamics in the classroom. To the readers, being aware of exploring existing literature on time perspectives and personality traits offers valuable insights into human behavior, motivation, and well-being. Understanding these constructs' nuances can inform practical applications in personal development, counseling, and organizational management. Lastly, future researchers, researchers may focus on enhancing the strength of their findings by expanding sample sizes to ensure inclusivity across diverse demographic groups. Additionally, investigating additional factors such as life satisfaction, subjective well-being, and dimensions of parenting could yield a more extensive comprehension of the correlation between time perspectives and personality traits. By integrating a wider spectrum of factors, researchers can uncover nuanced patterns underlying individuals' perceptions of time and personality characteristics, contributing to a deeper understanding of human behavior and well-being. Longitudinal studies can further explore the dynamic nature of these constructs over time, while exploring cultural variations can provide insights into human psychology across diverse populations. Moreover, interdisciplinary research integrating insights from psychology, sociology, and education can shed light on the complex interactions between individual characteristics and societal influences on behavior and outcomes.

LIMITATIONS

The study encountered limitations in its research approach and sample selection. By focusing solely on 350 college students, the findings may not be broadly applicable. Future research should aim for more diverse samples with varied characteristics to improve the generalizability of results. Participant engagement and honesty present challenges that could impact the quality and reliability of the data collected. Furthermore, the use of a cross-sectional approach may not fully capture the dynamic nature of time perspectives and personality traits among college students, underscoring the importance of longitudinal research in future studies.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study would not have been effectively undertaken without the collaborative effort and dedication of many people, whose contributions are greatly valued and recognized. We express our deepest gratitude to everyone involved in this research. First and foremost, we thank God for His guidance, providing us with the knowledge and strength to complete this study successfully. We also extend our sincere appreciation to our instructor for her invaluable guidance, mentorship, and motivation, which have been instrumental in shaping our research through critical thinking and exploration. Our heartfelt thanks go to the esteemed panelists for their time, expertise, and constructive feedback, which significantly enhanced the quality and depth of our work. Additionally, we are deeply grateful to our respondents for their participation and insights, which have been crucial to our understanding. Lastly, we thank our families and friends for their unwavering support, encouragement, and moral guidance, which have been invaluable in motivating us to overcome challenges throughout this journey.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

The work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Ethical Approval:

As per international standards or university standards written ethical approval has been collected and preserved by the author(s).

Consent

As per international standards or university standards, **respondents' written** consent has been collected and preserved by the author(s).

REFERENCES

1. Assylkhan, K., Moon, J., Tate, C. C., Howell, R. T., & Mello, Z. R. (2021). Time beyond traits: Time perspective dimensions, personality traits, and substance use in adolescents. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 179, 110926. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2021.110926>
2. Andretta, J. R., & Worrell, F. C. (2021). Attitudes toward the past, present, and Future: Associations with Self-Reported Academic Outcomes in Academically Talented Adolescents. *Gifted Child Quarterly*, 66(1), 62–76. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00169862211019425>
3. Andre, L., Vianen, A., Peetsma, T., & Oort, F. (2018). Motivational power of future time perspective: Meta-analyses in education, work, and health. *PLoS ONE*, 13. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0190492>.
4. Aspinwall, L. G., Richter, L., & Hoffman, R. R. (2001). *Understanding how optimism works An examination of optimists' adaptive moderation of belief and behavior*. In E. D. Chand (Ed.), *Optimism and pessimism. Implications for theory research and practice* (pp. 217-238). Washington DC American Psychological Association. - References - Scientific Research Publishing. (n.d.). <https://www.scirp.org/reference/referencespapers?referenceid=950042>
5. Atherton, O. E., Grijalva, E., Roberts, B. W., & Robins, R. W. (2020). Stability and change in personality traits and major life goals from college to midlife. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 47(5), 841–858. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167220949362>

6. Bruso, J., Stefaniak, J., & Bol, L. (2020). An examination of personality traits as a predictor of the use of self-regulated learning strategies and considerations for online instruction. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 68(5), 2659–2683. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-020-09797-y>
7. Barnett, M. D., Melugin, P. R., & Hernández, J. (2018). Time perspective, intended academic engagement, and academic performance. *Current Psychology*, 39(2), 761–767. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12144-017-9771-9>
8. Chen, Y., Liu, T., Xia, Y., & Ma, Z. (2024). Psychometric properties of the Chinese version of 20-Item Zimbardo Time Perspective Inventory (C-ZTPI-20) in Chinese adolescent population. *Psychology Research and Behavior Management*, Volume 17, 1271–1282. <https://doi.org/10.2147/prbm.s436735>
9. Codina, N., Castillo, I., Pestana, J. V., & Valenzuela, R. (2024). Time perspectives and procrastination in university students: exploring the moderating role of basic psychological need satisfaction. *BMC Psychology*, 12(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40359-023-01494-8>
10. Codina, N., Castillo, I., Pestana, J. V., & Valenzuela, R. (2024). Time perspectives and procrastination in university students: exploring the moderating role of basic psychological need satisfaction. *BMC Psychology*, 12(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40359-023-01494-8>
11. Culajara, C. L. (2023). Long Story Short, I Survived: An Exploration of the Meaning-making Stories of Suicide Attempt Survivors. *Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies*, 49(3), 10–28. <https://doi.org/10.9734/ajess/2023/v49i31130>
- 11.
12. De Guzman, A. B., Labrador, S. E. Z., & Rodil, F. A. (2019). Understanding Filipino tourists' future time perspective and emotional experiences during delayed international flights through design triangulation. *International Journal of Tourism Sciences*, 19(4), 324–336. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15980634.2019.1706127>
13. Diaconu-Gherasim, L. R., & Mardari, C. (2021). Personality Traits and Time Perspectives: Implications for Adolescents' Mental health and Mental illness. *Journal of Happiness Studies*, 23(1), 101–124. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10902-021-00390-5>
14. Diaconu-Gherasim, L. R., Mardari, C., & Măirean, C. (2021). The relation between time perspectives and well-being: A meta-analysis on research. *Current Psychology*, 42(7), 5951–5963. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12144-021-01949-4>
15. Ertina, S., & Ibrahim, A. (2019). The Students' Hedonism Lifestyle. Proceedings of the 4th ASEAN Conference on Psychology, Counselling, and Humanities (ACPCH 2018). <https://doi.org/10.2991/ACPCH-18.2019.31>.
16. Fabbri, M., Beracci, A., & Martoni, M. (2022). Insomnia, Time Perspective, and Personality Traits: A Cross-Sectional Study in a Non-Clinical population. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19(17), 11018. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph191711018>
17. Gács, B., Birkás, B., & Csathó, Á. (2020). Time perspectives and pain: Negative time perspective profile predicts elevated vulnerability to pain. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 153, 109616. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2019.109616>
18. Gutral, J., Cyprińska, M., & Nezlek, J. B. (2022). Normative based beliefs as a basis for perceived changes in personality traits across the lifespan. *PLOS ONE*, 17(2), e0264036. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0264036>
19. Han, S., Xu, J., Yan, M., & Liu, Z. (2022). Using multiple linear regression and BP neural network to predict critical meteorological conditions of expressway bridge pavement icing. *PLOS ONE*, 17(2), e0263539. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0263539>
20. Hu, A., Li, X., & Song, H. (2023). The influence of Big Five personality traits on college students' key competencies: the mediating effect of psychological capital. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 14. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1242557>
21. Hamzah, S. R., Krauss, S. E., Suandi, T., Hamzah, A., & Tamam, E. (2013). The Moderating Effect of Parent and Peer Influences on Hedonistic Behavior among Undergraduate Students in Malaysia. *Asian Social Science*, 9(13). <https://doi.org/10.5539/ass.v9n13p137>

22. Janse, R. J., Hoekstra, T., Jager, K. J., Zoccali, C., Tripepi, G., Dekker, F. W., & Van Diepen, M. (2021). Conducting correlation analysis: important limitations and pitfalls. *Ndt Plus*, 14(11), 2332–2337. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ckj/sfab085>
- 23P. John, O., & Srivastava, S. (1999). *The Big-Five Trait Taxonomy: history, measurement, and theoretical perspectives: Vol. (Vol. 2, pp. 102–138) (2nd ed.)*. <https://pages.uoregon.edu/sanjay/pubs/bigfive.pdf>
24. Kim, S., Kim, H., & Kim, K. (2020b). <p>*Time Perspectives and Delay of Gratification – The Role of Psychological Distance Toward the Future and Perceived Possibility of Getting a Future Reward*</p> *Psychology Research and Behavior Management*, Volume 13, 653–663. <https://doi.org/10.2147/prbm.s246443>
25. Kuan, T. J., & Zhang, L. (2022). *Educating students about time perspective and its effect on subjective well-being*. *Educational Psychology*, 42(5), 644–668. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01443410.2022.2060496>
26. Kang, J., Shin, D., Baek, J., & Chung, K. (2019). *Activity Recommendation model using rank correlation for chronic stress management*. *Applied Sciences*, 9(20), 4284. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app9204284>
27. Kumari, K., & Yadav, S. (2018). *Linear regression analysis study*. *Journal of the Practice of Cardiovascular Sciences*, 4(1), 33. https://doi.org/10.4103/jpcs.jpcs_8_18
28. Kenny, M. E., Blustein, D. L., Chaves, A., Grossman, J. M., & Gallagher, L. A. (2003). The role of perceived barriers and relational support in the educational and vocational lives of urban high school students. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 50(2), 142–155. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-0167.50.2.142>
29. Liang, J., Wang, D., Lin, H., Chen, X., Yang, H., Zheng, Y., & Li, Y. (2020). *A Novel Ferroptosis-related Gene Signature for Overall Survival Prediction in Patients with Hepatocellular Carcinoma*. *International Journal of Biological Sciences*, 16(13), 2430–2441. <https://doi.org/10.7150/ijbs.45050>
30. Loose, T., & Vásquez-Echeverría, A. (2021). *Academic performance and feelings of belonging: indirect effects of time perspective through motivational processes*. *Current Psychology*, 42(6), 4531–4542. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12144-021-01779-4>
31. Lutfi, L., Firdaus, D. G. R., Dwiyanti, E. A., & Renta, Y. D. (2022). *The Effects of Materialism and Financial Knowledge on Financial Stress: The Role of Present Fatalistic and Marital Status*. *Jurnal Ekonomi, Bisnis Dan Kewirausahaan: JEBIK*, 11(3), 276. <https://doi.org/10.26418/jebik.v11i3.54726>
32. Miceli, S., Cardaci, M., Scrima, F., & Caci, B. (2021). *Time perspective and Facebook addiction: The moderating role of neuroticism*. *Current Psychology*, 41(12), 8811–8820. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12144-021-01355-w>
33. Mioni, G., Cellini, N., Romola, M., & Bruno, F. (2022). *The Association between Balanced Time Perspective, Personality Traits, Subjective Well-Being, and Distress during the COVID-19 Pandemic*. *Timing & Time Perception*, 1–24. <https://doi.org/10.1163/22134468-bja10062>
34. Mohamed, T. N., & Bendania, A. (2023). *Investigating the relationship of actively open-minded thinking in future time perspectives among Saudi undergraduate students*. *Psychological Research*, 88(1), 222–237. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00426-023-01851-7>
35. Miceli, S., Cardaci, M., Scrima, F., & Caci, B. (2021). *Time perspective and Facebook addiction: The moderating role of neuroticism*. *Current Psychology*, 41(12), 8811–8820. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12144-021-01355-w>
36. Nystrand, B. T., Olsen, S. O., & Tudoran, A. A. (2021). *Individual differences in functional food consumption: The role of time perspective and the Big Five personality traits*. *Appetite*, 156, 104979. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2020.104979>
37. Park, I., Gu, M., & Hai, S. (2020). *How can personality enhance sustainable career management? The mediation effects of future time perspective in career decisions*. *Sustainability (Basel)*, 12(3), 1167. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12031167>
38. Peterson, C., & De Avila, M. E. (1995). *Optimistic explanatory style and the perception of health problems*. *Journal of clinical psychology*, 51(1), 128–132. [https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-4679\(199501\)51:1<128::aid-jclp2270510120>3.0.co;2-1](https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-4679(199501)51:1<128::aid-jclp2270510120>3.0.co;2-1)

39. Piechurska-Kuciel, E. (2020). *The Big Five study in SLA: Future directions and pedagogical implications*. In the Big Five in SLA (pp. 151–176). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-59324-7_4
40. Przepiórka, A., Siu, N. Y., Szczęśniak, M., Timoszyk-Tomczak, C., Le, J. J., & Muñoz, M. (2019). The relation between personality, time perspective and positive orientation in Chile, Hong Kong, and Poland. *Journal of Happiness Studies*, 21(3), 1081–1101. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10902-019-00113-x>
41. Rahman, I. K., Rosidah, N. S., Faizah, T. A., & Hamidah, R. N. (2023). An investigation of the Five Factor Personality Traits and innovation skills of students in theology education. *International Journal of Education in Mathematics, Science, and Technology (IJEMST)*, 11(4), 881-897. <https://doi.org/10.46328/ijemst.3512>
42. Rudzinska-Wojciechowska, J., Wojciechowski, J., & Stolarski, M. (2021). Do time perspectives predict school performance beyond intelligence and personality? *Personality and Individual Differences*, 172, 110594. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2020.110594>
43. Sekścińska, K., Rudzińska-Wojciechowska, J., & Maison, D. (2018). Future and present Hedonistic time perspectives and the propensity to take investment risks: the interplay between induced and chronic time perspectives. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 9. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2018.00920>
44. Sobol-Kwapińska, M., Przepiórka, A., Meisner, M., & Kuppens, P. (2021). Destiny or control of one's future? Fatalistic time perspective and self-esteem in extraverts and introverts. *The Journal of General Psychology*, 149(4), 443–455. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00221309.2021.1878486>
45. Soomro, L. A., Tunio, S., Khan, W. A., & Farrukh, H. (2023). Relationship between test anxiety and personality traits among college students. *Pakistan Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences*, 11(3). <https://doi.org/10.52131/pjhss.2023.1103.0609>
46. Stolarski, M., Zawadzki, B., Matthews, G., Pruszczyk, D., & Wojciechowski, J. (2023). Behavioral genetics of temporal framing: Heritability of time perspective and its common genetic bases with major personality traits. *Journal of Personality*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jopy.12870>
47. Sobol-Kwapińska, M., Przepiórka, A., & Zimbardo, P. (2016). The structure of time perspective: Age-related differences in Poland. *Time & Society*, 28(1), 5–32. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0961463x16656851>
48. Sirois, F., & Pychyl, T. (2013). Procrastination and the priority of short-term mood regulation: Consequences for future self. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass*, 7(2), 115–127. <https://doi.org/10.1111/spc3.12011>
49. Chen, T., Liu, L., Cui, J., Chen, X., Wang, J., Zhang, Y., Wang, Y., Li, X., Neumann, D. L., Shum, D. H., Wang, Y., & Chan, R. C. (2016c). Present-fatalistic time perspective and life satisfaction: The moderating role of age. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 99, 161–165. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2016.05.017>
50. Sobol, M., Przepiórka, A., Meisner, M., & Kuppens, P. (2022). Destiny or control of one's future? Fatalistic time perspective and self-esteem in extraverts and introverts. *The Journal of general psychology*, 149(4), 443–455. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00221309.2021.1878486>
51. Sircova, A., Van De Vijver, F. J. R., Osin, E., Milfont, T. L., Fieulaine, N., Kislali-Erginbilgic, A., Zimbardo, P. G., Djarallah, S., Chorfi, M. S., Leite, U. D. R., Lin, H., Lv, H., Bunjevaca, T., Tomaš, T., Punek, J., Vrlec, A., Matić, J., Bokulić, M., Klicperová-Baker, M., . . . Boyd, J. N. (2014). A global look at time. *SAGE Open*, 4(1), 215824401351568. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244013515686>
52. Thomas, S., & Krishna, A. V. N. (2022). Impulse noise recuperation from grayscale and medical images using supervised curve fitting linear regression and mean filter. *Indonesian Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science*, 28(2), 777. <https://doi.org/10.11591/ijeecs.v28.i2.pp777-786>
53. Trimartati, N. (2014). Studi Kasus Tentang Gaya Hidup Hedonisme Mahasiswa Bimbingan dan Konseling Angkatan 2011 Universitas Ahmad Dahlan. <https://doi.org/10.12928/psikopedagogia.v3i1.4462>
54. Täuber, S., Gausel, N., & Flint, S. W. (2018). Weight Bias Internalization: The maladaptive effects of moral condemnation on intrinsic motivation. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 9. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2018.01836>
55. Wang, J., & Sun, Y. (2023). Time flies, but you're in control: the mediating effect of self-control between time attitude and academic procrastination. *BMC Psychology*, 11(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40359-023-01438-2>

56. Wolters, C. A., & Brady, A. C. (2020). College Students' Time Management: A Self-Regulated Learning Perspective. *Educational Psychology Review*, 33(4), 1319–1351. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-020-09519-z>
57. Wood, J. V., Perunovic, W. Q. E., & Lee, J. W. (2009). Positive Self-Statements. *Psychological Science*, 20(7), 860–866. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9280.2009.02370.x>
58. Zakaria, A., Ahamd, S., & Alhady, S. (2023). The Effects of Personality Traits towards University Students' Performance. *Advanced International Journal of Business, Entrepreneurship and SMEs*. <https://doi.org/10.35631/aijbes.516003>
59. Iddrisu AB, Bornaa CS, Alagbela AA, Kwakye DO, Gariba A, Ahusah ET-W, Badger TNA. Students' Characteristics and Academic Performance in Mathematics. *J. Educ. Soc. Behav. Sci.* [Internet]. 2023 Mar. 2 [cited 2024 May 15];36(3):54-67. Available from: <https://journaljesbs.com/index.php/JESBS/article/view/1214>
60. Amissah EK, Twenefour FBK, Nduro K, Ayim EA. Situational Analysis of the Willingness to Accept Interns, Benefits and Challenges of Academic Internship Programmes to Host Organisations: Empirical Evidence from Ghana. *Asian Res. J. Arts Soc. Sci.* [Internet]. 2023 Mar. 3 [cited 2024 May 15];19(2):7-18. Available from: <https://journalarjass.com/index.php/ARJASS/article/view/422>
61. Komarraju M, Karau SJ, Schmeck RR. Role of the Big Five personality traits in predicting college students' academic motivation and achievement. *Learning and individual differences*. 2009 Jan 1;19(1):47-52.

