

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Microbiology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Original Manuscript_JAMB_117691</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Bio-computational prediction of novel epitopes on VP2 protein of infectious bursal disease virus</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamb.com/index.php/JAMB/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>No, because there is a proper epitope vaccine targeting VP2 and VP3 protein has already been reported: <a href="https://doi.org/10.3389%2Ffvets.2022.1116400">https://doi.org/10.3389%2Ffvets.2022.1116400</a></p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, just need proofreading</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No, they need to cite more, 25 references aren't enough for an original research article.</p>	
<p><b>Minor REVISION</b> comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>YES</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>1. The article involves predicting epitopes targeting the VP2 protein. However, previous research has reported a complete epitope-based vaccine targeting VP2 and VP3 proteins. Therefore, further research is necessary to enhance the novelty of this work. (Lack of novelty and article need more work, only predictions of epitopes isn't enough for research article publication as there are so many advances had already been done in the field of vaccine designing.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Allah Rakha Yaseen
Department, University & Country	University of the Punjab, Pakistan