

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_OR_117187</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Malignant hypertension: ocular involvement in a young woman</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Case report</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. Any manuscript that addresses the early diagnosis of a pathology is useful for the scientific community. This case is a basic manuscript, it is not a novel or high-impact topic. Conceptual errors must be corrected. It is useful as a learning tool that demonstrates the importance of a fundus examination in emergency services.</p> <p>2. Yes, partly: it could be "Malignant hypertension. Importance of the fundus in emergency services"</p> <p>3. Yes</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. The manuscript has conceptual errors that must be corrected: Papilledema is inflammation of the optic nerve caused by increased intracranial pressure. If intracranial hypertension is not demonstrated, then we would have to refer to the inflammation of the nerve as optic nerve edema.</p> <p>6. References are sufficient, although not all of them are recent. I suggest adding other authors in studies with OCT and retinal alterations.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Sufficient quality	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Yannara Columbié Garbey</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Havana, Cuba</b>