

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_117605
Title of the Manuscript:	“Chemically modified Terminalia arjuna (Arjuna) bark for removal of lead ions synthetic wastewater”
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>There are many grammatical and structural errors in the manuscript, reformulate the abstract and verify the language of the manuscript.</p> <p>Yes, the scientific background is good, but the language is bad.</p> <p>The author can add more recent papers</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The authors must verify the language.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>References must be added to the equations, the authors can use these references: 10.1007/s41207-017-0016-y, 10.1007/s11356-020-09976-7, 10.1016/j.envres.2022.114294</p> <p>The quality of the figures is very bad especially the effect of parameters try to refine them, and put points in place of lines.</p> <p>The authors must find the link between the characteristics done and the results, and try to give a deep description of your results.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> NO	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amina Othmani
Department, University & Country	CNETE Centre National enÉlectrochimie et en Technologies Environnementales, Canada