

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_117586
Title of the Manuscript:	Medicinal Properties and Health Benefits of Bael (Aegle marmelos): A Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Review was done on bael, but was not captured in the title (Suggestion: "Bael (<i>Aegle marmelos</i>) and its Medicinal Properties and Health Benefits: A Review")</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. No 2. The subsections are not properly numbered, and the structure needs improvement. Subsection titles should make scientific meaning. 3. I am in doubt. 4. The volume of the reference is relatively high, yet references for some statements (that need references) were not provided. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The English quality needs to be improved to eliminate ambiguity and demonstrate scientific and logical presentation of the article.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● The author(s) should have concentrated only on doing a review on the medicinal properties and health benefits of bael, and capture a brief review of the important/relative aspects of the plant in the "Introduction" section, but there were prominent sections where review of the plant were done, hence the suggestion on the change in "Title" ● There were critical information gap on most aspects of the medicinal properties and health benefits of bael reviewed. Eg; method, animal model or human, used in the studies. ● Some statements/sentences were not properly constructed, leading to ambiguity, poor scientific format, and lack of logic. 	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tobechukwu Chijioko Iwuji
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Technology, Nigeria