

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_115165
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of bio fertilizers and micro nutrients on growth and yield of black gram
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, it is very important. Though the there are so many research findings are available elsewhere, yet we are not in a position to properly apply bioinoculats. Therefore, it is very important for the scientific community.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The tile is suitable <p>The abstract is not comprehensive. A given abstract should contain: background, objectives, methods, results, conclusion and recommendation. This manuscript is not in line with this principles. Particularly it lacks conclusion and recommendation.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Sub section structures are Ok, but, the need update - Though application micronutrients found to favour plant growth and productivity, Iron application may have negative effects particularly in Phosphorus nutrition. The second macronutrient, phosphorus, in plant growth and productivity could be entangled/ fixed with the application of Iron. This is not well described in the manuscript. - The references used are mostly recent. But, some findings are not substantiated with the findings. Therefore, it needs addition of some recent once. - All the tables are not cited in the text and their position in the manuscript is not indicated. - Conclusion is not appropriate and sufficient 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language throughout the manuscript should be revised. Some of the sentences are below the expected standard. It must be revised.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>the manuscript is not well articulated, so many language problems, the abstract is not well done, the introduction needs well articulated sentences and conceptual standards, methods and materials are not explicit(i.e. methods in measurement of the growth parameters is not shown, measurement units are not), etc.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Beyene Dobo
Department, University & Country	Hawassa University, Ethiopia