

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_JAMMR_117664
Title of the Manuscript:	SURVEY OF MAIN PAINFUL COMPLAINTS IN PHYSIOTHERAPY STUDENTS
Type of the Article	Review article

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes, this manuscript is important for the scientific community as it sheds light on the prevalence and characteristics of musculoskeletal pain among physiotherapy students, offering valuable insights for promoting their well-being and developing preventive strategies.</p> <p>Yes, the title "Survey of Main Painful Complaints in Physiotherapy Students" is suitable as it accurately reflects the focus and scope of the study.</p> <p>Yes, the abstract provides a comprehensive overview of the study's aims, methodology, key findings, and implications for promoting the well-being of physiotherapy students. However, it could be improved by providing specific percentages or numerical data regarding the prevalence of musculoskeletal pain and sedentary behaviors among students.</p> <p>Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate for organizing the content and facilitating readability. But need major improvements:</p> <p>1. Introduction:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Background: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - While the global prevalence of pain is a good starting point, consider focusing more on the national and local context. - Briefly mention the economic burden of MSD in Brazil (e.g., healthcare costs, lost productivity). - You could also state the specific physiotherapy program at UnirG and its potential link to MSD (e.g., heavy practical component). • Literature Review: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - While you mention studies from 2010-2020, it would be stronger to cite specific, relevant studies within that timeframe. - Briefly summarize the key findings of these studies, highlighting the types of pain most common in physiotherapy students and potential contributing factors. - Identify the research gap - is there a lack of studies on physiotherapy students in Brazil? Or is there a need for more specific data on pain types or contributing factors at UnirG? • Study Rationale: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Clearly state the significance of this study. How will understanding pain complaints in physiotherapy students at UnirG benefit the students, the university, or the field of physiotherapy? - Consider logical manner of presenting the statement of the problem/purpose of the study (Add Major /Specific goal of the study or General/Specific question) <p>2. Methodology:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide a brief discussion on the limitations of the study, such as potential biases or constraints in data collection. • Elaborate on the process of questionnaire development, including steps taken to ensure validity and reliability. • Consider utilizing statistical software beyond Excel for more sophisticated data analysis, and specify the statistical tests employed. Mentioned specific statistical tests for analysis. <p>3. Results & Discussion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Instead of repeating results, directly discuss their significance and how they relate to the study's objectives. • Expand the discussion to explore the implications of demographic diversity and lifestyle habits on study outcomes. • Consider incorporating qualitative data or perspectives to enrich the discussion and provide a 	

Review Form 1.7

<p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>more comprehensive understanding of the topic.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clearly articulate the implications of the findings for healthcare education and practice, emphasizing the importance of addressing musculoskeletal health and promoting healthy lifestyle habits among physiotherapy students. Embed citations within the discussion to strengthen your arguments and showcase the context of your findings within existing research and offering specific recommendations for interventions or programs. <p>4. Conclusion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provide a clear and concise statement of the main objective of the study at the beginning of the conclusion. Summarize the key findings of the study in a structured manner to facilitate understanding. Discuss the theoretical implications of the findings to connect them with existing literature and theoretical frameworks. Offer insights derived from the study and conclude with a strong statement emphasizing the importance of addressing the identified issues. Explicitly state the major weakness or limitation of the study and provide suggestions for future research to address these limitations. Clearly outline future research directions to guide further inquiry into the topic. <p>By incorporating these suggestions and properly citing your sources, you can strengthen your manuscript.</p> <p>Yes, the manuscript appears scientifically correct with thorough research and references to support its findings.</p> <p>The references provided are sufficient and recent, covering a range of relevant studies on musculoskeletal pain, physical activity, and related topics. No additional references are needed at this point.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, the language and English quality of the article are suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Iqra Asif</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Riphah International University, Pakistan</p>