

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_110661
Title of the Manuscript:	Exploring the Impact of Biological and Chemical Elements on Plant and Soil: A Comprehensive Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Importance for Scientific Community: The manuscript is significant as it provides a comprehensive review of food allergies, a pertinent public health concern. It delves into the complex interplay of biological and chemical factors, offering insights into allergen recognition, genetic predisposition, and the impact of food processing. This thorough exploration is valuable for researchers and healthcare professionals seeking to enhance prevention, diagnosis, and management of food allergies.</p> <p>2. Title Suitability: The title "Exploring the Impact of Biological and Chemical Elements on Plant and Soil: A Comprehensive Review" aptly reflects the manuscript's content. However, it might be more precise to include a direct reference to food allergies, such as "Comprehensive Review of Food Allergies: The Impact of Biological and Chemical Factors."</p> <p>3. Abstract Comprehensiveness: The abstract provides a succinct overview of the key aspects of the manuscript. It outlines the main topics, such as the role of the gut microbiome, food processing, and emerging trends, which align with the manuscript's focus and goals.</p> <p>4. Manuscript Structure: The subsections and overall structure appear to be well-organized, facilitating a logical flow of information. Each section methodically addresses different aspects of food allergies, enhancing the manuscript's clarity and comprehensiveness.</p> <p>5. Scientific Accuracy: The manuscript seems to be scientifically correct, presenting well-researched information that aligns with current knowledge in the field. The use of reputable sources and adherence to scientific methodologies contributes to its credibility.</p> <p>6. References: The references are sufficient and recent, covering a broad range of relevant studies. To further strengthen the manuscript, inclusion of the latest research or meta-analyses in food allergy studies could be considered, ensuring the most up-to-date information is presented.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>To suggest improvements for the article "Exploring the Impact of Biological and Chemical Elements on Plant and Soil: A Comprehensive Review," one could consider:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Simplifying Technical Language: To make the content more accessible to a wider audience, simplifying complex scientific terms and concepts could be beneficial. - Inclusion of Case Studies or Examples: Adding real-world examples or case studies could illustrate the practical implications of the research and make the material more relatable. - Visual Aids: Including diagrams, infographics, or charts could help in visualizing complex interactions and data, enhancing reader comprehension. - Comparative Analysis: A section comparing the findings with previous studies or contrasting different viewpoints within the field could provide a more nuanced understanding. - Recommendations for Future Research: Offering suggestions for future studies could guide subsequent research and highlight the current gaps in knowledge. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language and English quality of the article "Exploring the Impact of Biological and Chemical Elements on Plant and Soil: A Comprehensive Review" is generally suitable for scholarly communication. It employs technical and academic language appropriate for its subject matter and target audience. The use of scientific terminology and structured composition aligns with the standards expected in academic publications. However, a thorough proofreading for grammatical consistency and clarity might further enhance its readability and effectiveness in scholarly communication.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The methodology of the article "Exploring the Impact of Biological and Chemical Elements on Plant and Soil: A Comprehensive Review" appears to be thorough and suitable for its subject matter. It employs a comprehensive review approach, which is appropriate for collating and analyzing existing research on the complex and multi-dimensional topic of food allergies. This method enables the article to cover a wide range of factors, from biological to chemical, and their interactions in the context of plants, soil, and human health. By integrating diverse research findings, the methodology provides a holistic understanding of the subject.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Khajornsak Nakpan
Department, University & Country	Silpakorn University, Thailand