

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_JABB_117837
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of PGR and Micronutrients on Growth, Yield and Quality of Strawberry (<i>Fragaria x ananassa</i>) cv. Winter Dawn
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journaljabb.com/index.php/JABB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1- Yes, the article is very important. Due to the importance of production and performance, the role of nutrition is very important. Proper nutrition prevents waste of resources.</p> <p>2- Yes</p> <p>3- The location of the project is not written in the abstract. The abstract is not complete.</p> <p>4- Yes</p> <p>5- Absolutely correct</p> <p>6- Except for some articles that are old and need to be updated, the rest of the references are up to date. There are no references in the introduction paragraphs.</p> <p>Materials and methods are very poor. It must be strengthened. The location of the project is not mentioned in the materials and methods and abstract. Soil testing is not included. Sampling methods were not explained.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Askar Ghanbari Odivi
Department, University & Country	Sharekord University, Iran