

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_116329
Title of the Manuscript:	A Foundational Study of the Dehram River's Aquatic Ecosystem: Bridging Fresh and Brackish water
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript provides valuable insights into the dynamics of river aquatic ecosystems. Overall, this manuscript is poised to advance the scientific community's understanding of river ecology and inform future research and conservation efforts.</p> <p>2. No suggestion</p> <p>3. The abstract provides a concise overview of the study's objectives, methods, results, and conclusions</p> <p>4. Subsections are little bit different from the guideline.</p> <p>5. The research is scientifically sound, and the findings contribute valuable insights to the field.</p> <p>6. Recent references (2023-24) need to be incorporated into the manuscript, and the references should be arranged according to the guidelines of the journal.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Need some grammatical corrections, chemical compounds should be write in proper manner (Superscript & Subscript).</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>However, there are areas where the manuscript could be strengthened: the mechanisms underlying observed patterns and potential future research directions would enhance the depth of the discussion. To corroborate the result and discussion recent references should be incorporated.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Arvind Sawane
Department, University & Country	Anand Niketan College, India