

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_AJEE_117864
Title of the Manuscript:	Chennai Flood revealed the importance of Natural Resources Conservation and Disaster Management
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajee.com/index.php/AJEE/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is</i>
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p>	<p>1. The manuscript has shown great contribution to the community, especially to India's population.</p> <p>2. The title could be better to introduce the topic of flood – be more descriptive</p> <p>3. Abstract is good</p> <p>4. Subsection division is appropriate, but not numbered correctly or in orderly manner</p> <p>5. Reviewer have limited knowledge in the discussed areas, and reviewer cannot based my readings on the articles alone.</p> <p>6. The manuscript references list should be improved. 10 articles are not enough.</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Major revision is needed	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Farah Syazwani Hayrol Aziz
Department, University & Country	Netherlands Maritime University College, Malaysia