

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_116475
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Conophor nut (<i>Tetracarpidium conophorum</i>) protein isolates, hydrolysate and ethanolic extract ameliorating potential on Hepathological and Renal dysfunction of Streptozocin-induced Diabetic Wistar Rats.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaar.com/index.php/AJAAR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The article provides valuable insights into the potential therapeutic effects of conophor nut extracts on diabetes-induced hepatic and renal dysfunction.</p> <p>YES. But Correct the spelling of "Hepathological". It shud be Hepatological.</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>The article could benefit from a discussion of potential limitations of the study, such as sample size, animal model relevance to human diabetes, and possible confounding factors. Addressing these limitations would strengthen the interpretation of the findings.</p> <p>Providing suggestions for future research based on the current findings would enhance the article's contribution to the field, guiding further investigations into the mechanisms of action and potential clinical applications of conophor nut extracts in diabetes management.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>YES</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S.Thamizharasan
Department, University & Country	VMCGH, Dr.YSR University of Health Sciences, India