

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_JABB_117834
Title of the Manuscript:	INDIAN CHICKEN BREEDS AND CONSERVATION STRATEGIES
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. the subject of the study is good, as it identifies different breeds of poultry and compares them in terms of production of morphological characteristics, egg productivity, fertility rate, at what age sexual maturity occurs, and raise them in specific areas and benefit from them by the local population.</p> <p>2. The title is suitable for the article.</p> <p>3. The summary of the article is brief and comprehensive for the topic of research and displays all points in the research.</p> <p>4. The structure of the article and the sub -headlines were set in a sequential manner, and the topic was listed in a way in which all ideas are presented in which all the studied points.</p> <p>5. The article is good in terms of the scientific aspect that raises all scientific points and was explained scientifically.</p> <p>6. The references are considered sufficient for the subject of the study. We suggest some articles that enrich the topic in that.</p> <p>- Alabdallah, Z. A., Nikishov, A. A., Jhonn Lenon, C. J., & Ortiz Manzano, M. L. (2023). Influence of using probiotic on the productivity and morphometry of the organs in the visceral cavity of broiler chicks. <i>Caspian Journal of Environmental Sciences</i>, 21(2), 431-437.</p> <p>- ALABDALLAH, Ziad Ahmad, Aleksandr Alekseevich NIKISHOV, and Arfenia Semyonovna KARAMYAN. "Sex-related of some haematological and serum biochemical changes, fed high-protein diet in Japanese quail (<i>Coturnix japonica</i>)." <i>Iranian Journal of Ichthyology</i> 8 (2021): 150-154.</p> <p>- Alabdallah, Z., Nikishov, A., Vatnikov, Y., Al-Ragawi, A., & Seleznev, S. (2021). The Effect of High Protein in the Feed Mixture on the Morphological Changes in the Kidneys of Quail Birds. <i>Journal of Chemical Health Risks</i>, 11(4).</p> <p>- Alabdallah, Z. A., Nikishov, A. A., Danielle Dorcas, S. N. S., Rystsova, E. O., Drukovsky, S. G., & Simonova, E. I. (2024). Comparative evaluation of the quality indicators of chicken and quail food eggs during storage in various conditions. <i>Caspian Journal of Environmental Sciences</i>, 22(1), 189-195.</p> <p>- Angel Daniel, P. U., Jhonn Lenon, C. J., Laura Candelaria, C. H., Alabdallah, Z., & Nikishov, A. A. (2022). Alterations in the mass of quail eggs of different densities during storage. <i>Caspian Journal of Environmental Sciences</i>, 20(5), 1163-1166.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The English language is good, appropriate and well formulated.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. The weight reached by all types should be mentioned.</p> <p>2. It is preferable to add a comparison between the morphological changes in terms of length in the legs, wings, etc.</p> <p>3. It is preferable to mention the amount of feed consumed and the conversion rate for each type.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ziad Ahmad Alabdallah
Department, University & Country	Al-Furat University, Syria