

ReviewForm 1.7

JournalName:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_IJECC_116648
Title of the Manuscript:	A Comprehensive Review of Greenhouse Gas Emissions in Agriculture and Evolving Agricultural Practices for Climate Resilience
Type of the Article	Research article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? (Please write a few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not, please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript is important for the scientific community, because the subject is current and concerns the world of research.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is appropriate and precise.</p> <p>3. The summary is comprehensive and well structured.</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are acceptable.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. The references are sufficient and recent, but this does not make it necessary to add some more recent references, namely: Greenhouse gas emission from agricultural soil: A review, 2023 Agricultural subsidies and global greenhouse gas emissions, 2021</p> <p>I suggest the author add some references from 2021 to 2023.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. The linguistic quality of the article is adapted to scientific communication.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[ReviewForm 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yacouba Camara
Department, University & Country	Higher Institute of Technology of Mamou, Guinea