

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_117606
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of microbial quality of fufu samples from conventionally and mechanically prepared in Kumasi Metropolis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes. The manuscript has been able to highlight the problems associated with use of the 2 methods of fufu preparation and proffer solutions to the problem using analytical methods.</p> <p>NO- I suggest this: Evaluation of Microbial Quality of Fufu Samples Prepared Using Conventional and Mechanical Methods in Kumasi Metropolis</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES- the subsections of the manuscript: Introduction, study area, methods, results , conclusion, references are adequate</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct since the author(s) subjected the study to analysis to arrive at results which either confirmed or rejected what other scientists wrote about the work</p> <p>Majority of the references are old</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Apart from the few corrections made, the language of the manuscript is suitable for scholarly communications</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The author(s) have done a great work on the microbial analysis but subjecting the isolates to antibiotics test (ANTIBIOGRAM) would be an added advantage to the research</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nsikan Samuel Udoekong
Department, University & Country	Akwa Ibom State Polytechnic, Nigeria