

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_117570
Title of the Manuscript:	Psycho-sociocultural Determinants of Code-switching among Igbo- speaking English Language Undergraduates in Southeastern Region, Nigeria: The Perspectives of Students from Two Public Universities
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, it is suitable</p> <p>Yes, sufficiently written.</p> <p>Yes, well structured</p> <p>No. I have serious doubts about the hypotheses and the statistical tools applied to them. However, the questionnaire and data files are not provided for me to review, but as per the information in the section on data collection methods, I anticipate the nature of the data and hence, accordingly putting my concern here.</p> <p>First, the data is collected on the Likert scale from 1 to 4 and therefore, the data is on a nominal scale. In the case of nominal data, the t-test of the difference of mean is not applied. The test is applied in the case the data is on a ratio scale. For categorical or nominal data, the Chi-square test for association is used. To check the association, spearman's rank correlation is also used. This is a strong argument for the use of appropriate tests in the given data set. (However, forget about the other assumptions of the t-test such as the normality of data etc). For the nominal data, statistically median and mode are considered as a measure of central tendency, although the mean is also loosely used so I am not commenting on it. You may use mean in descriptive statistics.</p> <p>In addition, if you closely read your hypotheses, you will find that you have used the terms "affect" and "influenced". It means you want to test the cause-effect relationship and therefore, for such tests, the ordinal regression model (if the variables are on an ordinal scale) is best suitable.</p> <p>Therefore, I would suggest you revise the analysis part of the solution.</p> <p>In addition, provide a distribution of socioeconomic and demographic profiles of respondents so one may know the characteristics of the data used in the study. It may be either in the form of table or graph.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Nothing.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shakeel Ahmed
Department, University & Country	A N Sinha Institute of Social Studies, India