

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_116539
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF THE FEEDING HABITS AND NUTRITIONAL STATUS OF ADOLESCENTS IN PRIVATE NON BOARDING SECONDARY SCHOOLS IN BAMENDA II MUNICIPALITY, CAMEROON.
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Manuscript important for scientific community, its title is suitable, the abstract is comprehensive, structure of the manuscript is appropriate, the manuscript is scientifically correct, and the references of the manuscript are sufficient and recent.</li> <li>➤ Add research hypotheses or research questions to the research paper.</li> <li>➤ Add comments to the tables of results , because there are tables without comments of the researchers.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ English quality of this article is suitable for scholarly communications.</li> <li>➤ The researchers should add their opinion in the discussion of the results.</li> <li>➤ The researchers should add research design.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Procedure or steps of data collection of the study needs to be more explained.</li> </ul>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Heba Ahmed Mohammed Ahmed</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Cairo University, Egypt</b>