

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Food Science Journal |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AFSJ_116539 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | ASSESSMENT OF THE FEEDING HABITS AND NUTRITIONAL STATUS OF ADOLESCENTS IN PRIVATE NON BOARDING SECONDARY SCHOOLS IN BAMENDA II MUNICIPALITY, CAMEROON. |
| Type of the Article | |

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This manuscript is needed for scientific communities as a basis for efforts to find eating habits for teenagers 2. ANALYSIS OF THE FEEDING HABITS AND NUTRITIONAL STATUS OF ADOLESCENTS IN PRIVATE NON BOARDING SECONDARY SCHOOLS IN BAMENDA II MUNICIPALITY, CAMEROON. 3. It needs to be adjusted according to the results of the revision 4. needs to be adjusted to the template 5. Discussions must be directed according to the objectives and must be supported by references, so that they can be scientifically appropriate 6. References must be revised to the current year <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Abstract adjusted for revision, • The discussion leads in accordance with the objectives and results and supporting references | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | The quality of the language is in accordance with the scientific community | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | The manuscript needs to be revised according to the objectives, results and discussions carried out per variable so that conclusions and recommendations can be formulated. | |

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|
| Name: | Desri Suryani |
| Department, University & Country | Indonesia |