

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JEAI_118945</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Study on the Evaluation Genetic Variability of Gladiolus (Gladiolus grandiflorus) Cultivars Under Agro –Climatic conditions of Prayagraj”</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• If properly written, it may be useful. The style and content need massive improvement.</li> <li>• The explanation of heritability, genetic variability, and correlation coefficient analysis is not concise and is difficult to understand.</li> </ul> <p>I have made corrections on this title</p> <p>The abstract is poorly written. Only the title and clumsy results were seen in this section of the manuscript, the objective(s), methodology, scientific implications of the research results was grossly missing. This section needs a total re-write.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The article lacks a clear structure. It jumps from one topic to another without proper transitions, making it difficult to follow.</li> <li>• There is no clear introduction or conclusion, which leaves the reader without a sense of what the main points are.</li> <li>• How was the data analysed? Which statistical packages was used?</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• There are several grammatical errors.</li> <li>• Incorrect punctuation, such as the misuse of commas and periods, makes sentences awkward and hard to read.</li> <li>• The article does not flow logically from one point to the next. For instance, jumping from the significance of gladiolus to heritability without a clear connection disrupts the narrative.</li> <li>• Transitions between sections are abrupt, making it hard for the reader to follow the argument or narrative.</li> <li>• Proofread the text for grammatical errors and improve sentence structure for better readability</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The concept of heritability and genetic variability is explained multiple times, leading to unnecessary repetition.</li> <li>• Avoid redundancy. Each section should have a clear focus and logical flow.</li> </ul>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	A.O. Olayinka (Kolawole)
Department, University & Country	Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, Nigeria