

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_119266
Title of the Manuscript:	IN-VITRO EVALUATION OF ENTOMOPATHOGENIC FUNGI FOR THE MANAGEMENT OF BROAD MITE, POLYPHAGOTARSONEMUS LATUS OCCURRENCE ON MULBERRY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. This manuscript is found to be important for the scientific community because it addresses the management of Broad mites' infestation in mulberry through the biological method. This finding has proven to be novel for publication in your journal.</p> <p>2. The article title is suitable according to their experiment.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive.</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>5. Manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. The references are sufficient and recent.</p> <p>The have made all necessary corrections to this manuscript</p> <p>The reference format needs to be checked</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. The manuscript was written technically as well as grammatically, which will meet your journal standard.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>This article can be accepted after thorough revision only</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ravi Kumara R
Department, University & Country	India