

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_118702
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION TRIAL OF IVY GOURD (<i>Coccinia grandis</i> L.) VARIETIES IN PRAYAGRAJ AGRO CLIMATIC CONDITION
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript is important for scientific community because the evaluation trial helps to identify productive varieties, varieties that are resistant to external aggression, to have an idea of which varieties that would be rich in secondary metabolites and would be useful pharmacologically in a given area, and also to plan the conservation and preservation methods for each variety.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is suitable and comprehensive.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive.</p> <p>4. The structure and subsections of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>5. The article is scientifically right and will be useful for mankind.</p> <p>6. The references are sufficient and most are recent.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. The English language is appropriate and well formulated.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>See the attachment</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kporvie Atsu Kodjo George
Department, University & Country	University of Lome, Togo