

**Field efficacy and economics
of certain chemicals and botanicals against fall armyworm, [*Spodoptera frugiperda* (J.E. Smith.)] on maize (*Zea mays*)**

ABSTRACT

The current study evaluated the field efficacy of specific chemicals and botanicals against fall armyworm (*Spodoptera frugiperda*) on maize (*Zea mays* Linn.) during the Kharif season of 2023. It was carried out in a RBD with 3 replications and 8 treatments (seven insecticides and one control). Chlorantraniliprole 18.5% SC (3.25) > Spinosad 45 SC (3.46) was the study's most effective treatment. Lambda-cyhalothrin 5% EC + Chlorantraniliprole 18.5% SC (3.99) was the next best treatment, followed by Emamectin benzoate 5% SG (4.16) > Lambda-cyhalothrin 5% EC (4.44) > Flubendiamid 39.35% SC (4.87) > Neem oil 1500 ppm (5.18), which was the least effective but still significantly better than the control. In comparison to the untreated Control plot (1:1.52), the most cost-effective treatment was Chlorantraniliprole 18.5% SC (1:2.96), followed by Spinosad 45 SC (1:2.82), Lambda-cyhalothrin 5% EC + Chlorantraniliprole 18.5% SC (1:2.65), Emamectin benzoate 5% SG (1:2.53), Lambda-cyhalothrin 5% EC (1:2.36), Flubendiamide 39.35% SC (1:1.81), and Neem oil 1500 ppm (1:1.74).

Keywords: -*Spodoptera frugiperda*, Chlorantraniliprole, Spinosad, neem oils.

INTRODUCTION

Maize (*Zea mays* L.) is a significant cereal crop cultivated worldwide for human consumption, animal feed, fodder, and industrial purposes. It is recognized for having the highest genetic yield potential among cereals. Globally, maize is often referred to as the "queen of cereals" due to its remarkable genetic yield potential. It is the "Miracle Crop" because of its high solar use efficiency and the immense potential it holds for increased production (Panigrahi et al., 2023).

India produces the sixth most maize worldwide and ranks fifteenth in terms of productivity; it contributes 2.4% of global production and over 5% of the world's harvested land. About 160 countries cultivate about 150 million

hectares of maize, which demonstrates the great diversity of soil types, temperatures, biodiversity, and management techniques. India contributes 36% (782 million tonnes) of the world's grain production, or maize, making it a major player in the industry (Sangle et al., 2020).

In specific reference to India, maize production was recorded at 31.51 million tonnes over an area of 9.9 million hectares in 2020-2021. During the kharif season of 2021-2022, maize production was estimated at 21.24 mtonnes (as per the first advance estimates) over an area of 8.15 million ha (Panigrahi et al., 2023).

Maize cultivation in India, covering an area of 9.47 million hectares and producing 28.72 million tonnes with an average yield of 3032 kg per hectare in 2017-18, faces various pest challenges. Among the numerous pests attacking maize, stem borers, armyworms like *Mythimnaseparata*, and *Helicoverpaarmigera* are notable. The fall armyworm, scientifically known as *Spodopterafrugiperda*, is particularly destructive and is now a major concern for maize cultivation in India. This invasive pest, known for its rapid spread and ability to feed on a wide range of host plants, poses a significant threat. Despite maize being susceptible to 141 insect pests, only a few are considered major in India, including shoot fly, stem borers, armyworms, and *Helicoverpaarmigera*. The recent infestation of the fall armyworm has escalated the challenge for maize farmers due to its high mobility, reproductive rate, and ability to thrive on various host crops available year-round.

Additionally, it is said to seriously harm economically significant In the absence of any control measures, damage from this pest attack has the potential to lower corn grain output by up to 34% in Brazil and 20–50% in Africa, resulting in losses in maize yield of 8.3–20.6 million tons annually (Day et al., 2017).

Due to their numerous inhibitory effects on insect physiology and behavior, biopesticides are useful in the management of insect pests. They provide the greatest substitute for chemical insecticides when controlling fall armyworms in maize. They are readily available locally, reasonably priced, biodegradable, and simple to handle. They are restoring the ecosystem's equilibrium. As organic

farming gains ground,

Materials and Methods

This study was carried out in the Kharif season of 2023 at the Department of Entomology's experimental research plot at the SHUATS. It used a RBD with eight treatments and three replications, utilizing Komal seeds of the variety. The plot measured 2 m by 1 m and was spaced 60 cm apart by 20 cm. The recommended package of practices was followed, with the exception of plant protection. The experimental site's soil was medium high and well-drained. By randomly selecting five plants from each treatment and checking for the presence of larvae one day before and three, seven, and fourteen days after each insecticide application, the population of the pest was assessed. The overcontrol of the larval population against the autumn armyworm

Data collection

LARVAL POPULATION

The number of maize fall armyworm larvae and the injured plants were observed one day prior to spraying and again on the third, seventh, and fourteenth day following the application of insecticidal treatment. Five plants will be chosen at random from each plot to record the observation. The grain yield per plot was measured during harvest and translated to Q/ha for comparative purposes. The following formula is used to convert the results into a percentage of plant damage.

$$\text{Mean larval population} = \frac{\text{Number of larvae}}{5 \text{ randomly plant selected}}$$

Economics

The gross returns and net returns for each treatment were computed using the yield data. The market price of the produce was multiplied by the total yield to determine the gross returns. The benefit-cost ratio (BCR), which was used to compare the effectiveness of various treatments, is the ratio of gross return to cultivation costs. This ratio will be worked for each therapy. The following formula was used to get the benefit cost ratio.

$$\text{Grossreturn} = \text{Marketableyield} \times \text{Marketprice}$$

$$\text{Netreturn} = \text{Grossreturn} - \text{Totalcost}$$

$$B:C \text{ Ratio} = \frac{\text{Gross returns}}{\text{Total Cost}}$$

Results and Discussion

All treatments are effective, with the exception of the untreated control, according to data on the mean (3rd, 7th, and 14th DAS) population of *Spodopterafrugiperda* following the initial spray. The lowest population was seen in Chlorantraniliprole @ 18.5% EC (4.4) out of all the treatments. The least effective but still significantly better than the control group included Spinosad 45 SC (4.63), Lambda cyhalothrin @ 2.5% EC + Chlorantraniliprole @ 18.5% EC (4.95), Emamectin benzoate @ 5% SG (5.1), Lambda cyhalothrin @ 2.5% EC (5.23), Flubendiamid 39.35% EC (5.23), and Neem oil 1500 ppm (5.8). Data on the *Spodopterafrugiperda* population on the second spray showed that all of the treatments outperformed the control by a substantial margin. For all treatments combined, the value of chlorantraniliprole at 18.5% EC (2.09). The least effective but still significantly better than the control group included Spinosad 45 SC (2.29), Lambda cyhalothrin @ 2.5% EC + Chlorantraniliprole @ 18.5% EC (3.02), Emamectin benzoate @ 5% SG (3.22), Lambda cyhalothrin @ 2.5% EC (3.64), Flubendamide 39.35% EC (4.27), and Neem oil 1500 ppm (4.55).

The differences in yields between the various treatments were noteworthy. Chlorantraniliprole at 18.5% EC (51.52 q/ha) had the maximum yield. In comparison to the control plot (22.26 q/ha), Spinosad 45 SC (45.40 q/ha) was found to be the next best treatment, followed by Lambda cyhalothrin @ 2.5% EC + Chlorantraniliprole @ 18.5% EC (46 q/ha), Emamectin benzoate @ 5% SG (40 q/ha), Lambda cyhalothrin @ 2.5% EC (37 q/ha), Flubendiamide 39.35% EC (35.18 q/ha), and Neem oil 1500 ppm (28.82 q/ha).

An intriguing outcome was obtained when the cost-benefit ratio was calculated. The most effective and cost-effective treatment among those examined was chlorantraniliprole @ 18.5% EC (1:2.96). In comparison to the control plot (1:1.52), Spinosad 45 SC (1:2.82) was found to be the next best treatment, followed by Lambda cyhalothrin @ 2.5% EC + Chlorantraniliprole @ 18.5% EC (1:2.60), Emamectin benzoate @ 5% SG (1:2.53), Lambda cyhalothrin @ 2.5% EC (1:2.36), Flubendiamide 39.35% EC (1:1.81), and Neem oil 1500 ppm (1:1.74). (Table 3).

Table.1

Efficacy of certain chemicals and biopesticides against larval population of *Spodoptera frugiperda* on maize after (1st spray).

Number of larva <i>Spodoptera frugiperda</i> / five plants						
Treatments no.	Treatments	1 st DBS	3 rd DAS	7 th DAS	14 th DAS	Overall mean
T ₁	Spinosad 45 SC	5.93	4.6	3.8	4.2	4.63
T ₂	Chlorantraniliprole 18.5% SC	5.86	4.26	3.46	4	4.40
T ₃	Emamectin benzoate 5% SG	6.33	5.06	4.33	4.66	5.10
T ₄	Lambda-cyhalothrin 5% EC + Chlorantraniliprole 18.5% SC	5.8	4.8	4.73	4.46	4.95
T ₅	Flubendiamide 39.35% SC	5.8	5.6	5.13	5.33	5.47
T ₆	Lambda-cyhalothrin 5% EC	6.13	5.26	4.53	5	5.23
T ₇	Neem oil 1500 ppm	5.93	6.2	5.4	5.66	5.80

T₀	Control	6	6.26	6.6	5.77	6.16
F-test		NS	S	S	S	S
S.Ed(±)			0.22	0.18	0.16	0.21
C.D.(P=0.05)			0.46	0.390	0.347	0.367




Fig.1 Effect of different treatment on maize fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* after first spray (Larval population).

Table.2 Efficacy of certain chemicals and biopesticides against larval population of *Spodoptera frugiperda* on maize after (2nd spray).

Number of larva <i>Spodoptera frugiperda</i> / five plants					
No.oft.	Treatments	3rd DAS	7th DAS	14th DAS	Overall mean
T₁	Spinosad 45SC	2.86	1.8	2.2	2.29
T₂	Chlorantraniliprole 18.5%SC	2.8	1.6	1.86	2.09
T₃	Emamectin benzoate 5%SG	3.73	2.73	3.2	3.22
T₄	Lambda- cyhalothrin 5% EC + Chlorantraniliprol 18.5%SC	3.53	2.53	3	3.02
T₅	Flubendiamide 39.35%SC	4.6	4	4.2	4.27
T₆	Lambda-cyhalothrin 5%EC	4.13	3.26	3.53	3.64
T₇	Neem oil 1500ppm	4.93	4.26	4.46	4.55
T₀	Control	7	7.13	7.33	7.15
F-test		S	S	S	S
S.Ed(±)		1.03	1.22	0.19	1.17
C.D.(P=0.05)		0.398	0.366	0.413	0.406




Fig.2 Effect of different treatment on maize fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* after second spray (Larval population).

Table.3 Economics of Cultivation

S.N	Treatments	Yield (q/ha)	Cost of yield / (₹)	Total cost of yield (₹)	Common cost (₹)	Treatment cost (₹)	Net Return (₹)	Total cost (₹)	C:Bratio
T ₁	Spinosad 45 SC	45.40	1800	81720	26222	2737	52761	28959	1:2.82
T ₂	Chlorantraniliprole 18.5% SC	51.52	1800	92736	26222	5040	61474	31262	1:2.96
T ₃	Emamectin benzoate 5% SG	40	1800	72000	26222	2236	43542	28458	1:2.53

T₄	Lambda-cyhalothrin5%EC+Chlorantraniliprol18.5%SC	46	1800	82800	26222	5525	51053	31747	1:2.60
T₅	Flubendiamide39.35%SC	35.18	1800	63324	26222	8645	28457	34867	1:1.81
T₆	Lambda-cyhalothrin5%EC	37	1800	66600	26222	1885	38493	28107	1:2.36
T₇	Neem oil1500ppm	28.82	1800	51876	26222	3500	22154	29722	1:1.74
T₀	Control	22.26	1800	40068	26222	-	13846	26222	1:1.52

Discussion

In the present research work lowest percent of maize fall armyworm population was recorded in T2 Chlorantraniliprole @18.5% EC (3.25%) had the lowest percentage of maize fall armyworm population in the current study. Similar conclusions were reached by Baurtet al. (2017), Triboni et al. (2019), and Viteri et al. (2019), who found that the most successful treatment was T2 Chlorantraniliprole @ 18.5%EC, which resulted in the lowest population of fall armyworms (*Spodopterafrugiperda*) ever recorded. The most successful treatment for decreasing the *S. frugiperda* population was T1 Spinosad 45% SC (3.46), which is consistent with the results of Belay et al. (2012), Sharma et al. (2018), and Rebeca et al. (2018).

An intriguing outcome was obtained when the cost-benefit ratio was calculated. T2 Chlorantraniliprole @ 18.5% EC (1:2.96) was the most effective and cost-effective treatment out of all the ones that were investigated. Next best treatment, as compared to control plot (1:1.52), was T1 Spinosad 45 SC (1:2.82), followed by T4 Lambda cyhalothrin @ 2.5% EC + Chlorantraniliprole @ 18.5% EC (1:2.60), T3 Emamectin benzoate @ 5% SG (1:2.53), T6 Lambda cyhalothrin @ 2.5% EC (1:2.36), T5

Flubendiamide 39.35% EC (1:1.81), and T7 Neem oil 1500 ppm (1:1.74).

Conclusion

Based on an examination of current research, several pesticides and plants have been found to be effective in the field in combating autumn armyworms, or *Spodoptera frugiperda*, on zea maize (Linn.). T2 chlorantraniliprole @ 18.5% EC is more effective than T1 Spinosad @ 45% SC, T4 Lambda cyhalothrin @ 2.5% EC + Chlorantraniliprole @ 18.5% EC, T3 Emamectin benzoate @ 5% SG, T6 Lambda cyhalothrin @ 2.5% EC, T5 Flubendiamide @ 480% SC, and T7 Neem oil 1500 ppm in managing *Spodoptera frugiperda*, it can be concluded. Treatment T2 Chlorantraniliprole @ 18.5% EC (1:2.96 and 51.52 q/ha) was one of the ones examined. In comparison to the control plot (1:1.52 and 22.26 q/ha.), T1 Spinosad 45 SC (1:2.82 and 45.40 q/ha.) was found to be the next best treatment, followed by T4 Lambda cyhalothrin @ 2.5% EC + Chlorantraniliprole @ 18.5% EC (1:2.60 and 46 q/ha.), T3 Emamectin benzoate @ 5% SG (1:2.53 and 40 q/ha.), T6 Lambda cyhalothrin @ 2.5% EC (1:2.36 and 37 q/ha.), T5 Flubendiamide 39.35% EC (1:1.81 and 35.18 q/ha), and T7 Neem oil 1500 ppm (1:1.74 and 28.82 q/ha.). Therefore, additional studies will be needed in the future to confirm the results.

Disclaimer (Artificial intelligence)

Option 1:

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

Option 2:

Author(s) hereby declare that generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models, etc have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts. This explanation will include the name, version, model, and source of the generative AI technology and as well as all input prompts provided to the generative AI technology

Details of the AI usage are given below:

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.

REFERENCES

1. **Bharadwaj, G. S., Mutkule, D. S., Thakre, B.A. and Jadhav, A. S. (2020).** Bio-
efficacy of different insecticides against fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J.E. Smith) on Maize.
Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry, **9**(5): 603-607.
2. **Chandar, A. S., and Tayde, A. R. (2023).** Field Efficacy of Insecticides against
Fall Army
Worm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J.E. Smith) on Maize (*Zea mays* L.). *International Journal
of Environment and Climate Change*, **13**(11), 3010-3020.
3. **Divya, J., Kalleshwaraswamy, C. M., Deshmukh, S., Ambarish, S. and Sunil,
C. (2021).** Evaluation of whorl application of insecticides mixed with sand against
fall army worm
Spodoptera frugiperda in maize. *Indian Journal of Entomology*, **84**(3): 617-621.
4. **Deshmukh, S., Pavithra, A. H. B., Kalleshwaraswamy, C. M., Shivanna, B. K. and Mar
uth, M. S. (2018).** Field efficacy of insecticides for management of invasive fall
armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J.E. Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) on maize
in India. *Florida Entomological Society*, **103**(2): 221-227.
5. **Dileep, N. T., Murali K., Prabhu C., Ganiger. and Mahesh, H. M. (2020).** Safe
and cost-effective management of the fall armyworm,
Spodoptera frugiperda (Lepidoptera:
Noctuidae) using green insecticide baits. *International Journal of Chemical Studies*, **8**(5):
106-110.
6. **Ingavale, D. (2021).** Control of Fall Armyworm (*Spodoptera frugiperda*) by Using
Some
Selective Chemical Insecticides. *International Journal of Innovative Science and Research
Technology*, **6**(2): 2456-2165.
7. **Kachave, S. B. and Tayde, A. R. (2023).** Efficacy and economics of selected
chemicals and biopesticides against fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J.E.
Smith) on maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Journal of Experimental Zoology India*, **26**(2).
8. **Kalleshwaraswamy, C. M., Asokan, R., Swamy, H. M., Maruthi, M. S.,
Pavithra, H. B., Kavita, H. and Goergen, G. (2018).** First report of the fall

armyworm, *Spodopterafrugiperda*(JE Smith)(Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), an alien invasive pest on maize inIndia. *Pest Management in Horticultural Ecosystems*, **24**(1), 23-29.

9. **Mallapur, C.P., Naik, A.K.S., Hagari, T., Praveen. and Naik, M. (2019).** Laboratory and field evaluation of new insecticide molecules against fall armyworm, *Spodopterafrugiperda*(J. E. Smith) on maize. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*, **7**(5): 729-733.
10. **Nagesh, C. and Tayde, A. R (2023).** Efficacy of different Chemicals and Neem Products against Fall Army worm, [*Spodopterafrugiperda*(JE Smith)] in Maize (*Zea mays* L.) *Biological Forum – An International Journal* **15**(6): 432-436.
11. **Panigrahi, C. K., Yadav, U. and Sarangi, D. (2023).** Field Efficacy of Certain Insecticides against Fall Armyworm, *Spodopterafrugiperda*(JE Smith) on Maize (*Zea mays* L.) under Prayagraj Region of India. *International Journal of Environment and Climate Change*, **13**(9), 1207-1215.
12. **Patidar, S., Das, S. B., Vishwakarma, R., Kumari, P., Mohanta, S. and Paradkar, V. K. (2022).** Field evaluation of insecticides against fall armyworm infesting maize. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, **11**(4): 892-895.
13. **Ramesh, M. and Tayde, A. R. (2022).** Comparative efficacy of selected chemicals and biopesticides against fall armyworm, *Spodopterafrugiperda*(J.E. Smith) on maize (*Zea mays* L.). *International Journal of Plant and Soil Science*, **34**(23): 466-470.
14. **Sangle. S. V., Jayewar, N. E. and Kadam, D. R. (2020).** Efficacy of insecticides on larval population of fall armyworm, *Spodopterafrugiperda* on maize. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*, **8**(6): 1831-1834.
15. **Suthar, M., Zala, M. B., Varma, H. S., Lunagariya, M., Patel, M. B. and Borad, B. P. (2020).** Bioefficacy of granular insecticides against fall armyworm, *Spodopterafrugiperda* (JE Smith) in maize. *International Journal of Chemical Studies*, **8**(4): 174-179.

16. **Viteri, D. M., Linares, A. M., Cabrera, L. and Sarmiento, L. (2019).** Presence of corn earworm and fall armyworm (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) populations in sweet corn and their susceptibility to insecticides in Puerto Rico. *Florida Entomology*, **102**(2):451-454.
17. **Zhao, Y.X., Jing Mei, Huang, Huan, Ni, Guo D.I., Feng, Yang, Xin, Wang Shun, Fan Wu. and Cong, Fen Gao. (2020).** Susceptibility of fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J.E. Smith), to eight insecticides in China, with special reference to lambda-cyhalothrin. *National Center for Biotechnological Information*, **168**:104-623.