

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_117266
Title of the Manuscript:	Field Efficacy and Economics Certain Chemicals and Botanical against Fall Armyworm, [(Spodoptera frugiperda (J.E. smith.)] on Maize (Zea mays)
Type of the Article	Research article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? (Please write a few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Yes, as the fall armyworm is one of the major invasive pests of the maize eco-system and the damage is highly injurious to the crop yield all over the world.</li> <li>Suitable to the manuscript defined.</li> <li>Yes, the abstract is completely comprehensive, but at the end, some future lines of work should be mentioned in a single sentence.</li> <li>Yes, but in the result and discussion a few subheadings can be added.</li> <li>All the tables and figures are well-analysed and statistically correct. Also, the manuscript is precise and well-described according to the objective.</li> <li>While writing the methodologies, please mention the standard references in that. So that the reader will easily find the methods previously undertaken to carry out the research.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Yes, the English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Satyabrata Sarangi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Odisha University of Agriculture and Technology, India</b>