

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_ACRI_118169
Title of the Manuscript:	Millets: Superfood of the Century: Importance, challenges and opportunities: A review
Type of the Article	Review paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalacri.com/index.php/ACRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The topic sits well in contemporary discussions to improve the health of people and the climate 2. Suggestion: A Critical Review of Millets as Superfood of All Time: Importance, challenges and opportunities 3. Comprehensive but perhaps a little too long 4. Some formatting will be useful. See suggestions in track changes 5. Reasonably satisfactory. The author could have improved the science by indicating how he sampled the papers for the review. 6. The references are sufficient but needs more recent publications. <p>The following publication may be useful: Abazaami, J., Santuah, N. F., Amikuzuno, J., & Santuabu, T. (2022). Drivers of Food Choice and Implications for Building Immunity against COVID-19 in Ghana and Burkina Faso. <i>Direct Research Journal of Agriculture and Food Science</i>: Vol. 10(9), pp. 221-228.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The language is simple and straightforward. It is well proof read.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Niagia Santuah
Department, University & Country	Millar Institute for Transdisciplinary and Development Studies, Ghana