

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_IJECC_117862
Title of the Manuscript:	Emerging Innovations in Aquaculture: Navigating Towards Sustainable Solutions
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The article provided is still a bit confusing, so perhaps it could be improved.</p> <p>2. The title given is very interesting, but the content given is still unclear. This article is like a literature review, so perhaps a clearer explanation of several things in it could be provided.</p> <p>3. The abstract is still unclear. The description of the aquaculture innovation outlined is still unclear and somewhat different from the conclusions given.</p> <p>4. The subsections in the article are well explained according to aquaculture innovation. However, it seems that the contents described are still a bit confusing</p> <p>5. I think the explanation in the article provided still needs further study or literature study. One example is that the correct use of energy can be used in aquaculture activities, but please examine further what direct energy has been used so far.</p> <p>6. The references you provide may need improvement. Please use references from at least the last 10 years.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Already well</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	I Made Aditya Nugraha
Department, University & Country	Marine and Fisheries Polytechnic of Kupang, Indonesia