

**Review Form1.7**

JournalName:	<b>InternationalJournalofBiochemistryResearch &amp;Review</b>
ManuscriptNumber:	<b>Ms_IJBCRR_118504</b>
TitleoftheManuscript:	<b>Prospectsofsea anemonepeptidesforpharmacology</b>
TypeoftheArticle	<b>Mini-review</b>

**Review Form1.7**

**PART1:Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Isthe manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Isthe title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Isthe abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. <b>Substantial Work is required for getting acceptance in any Journal. Discussion that you have shown in this article is already there.</b></p> <p>2. <b>No.</b></p> <p>3. <b>No. Abstract need to be redefined.</b></p> <p>4. <b>No.</b></p> <p>5. <b>Correct. But Substantial Work is required.</b></p> <p>6. <b>No. A better more guided review of the literature is needed, after including recent References</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>1. Authors need to find a native speaker of English to review it</p> <p>2. <b>No standard keywords. Refer IEEE taxonomy 2024.</b></p> <p>3. Introduction and Literature need to be compressed. It should be in crisp manner.</p> <p>4. Motivation can be improved</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>1. Inference from this paper is not clear.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>S. Palanivel Rajan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Sri Eshwar College of Engineering, India</b>