Anti-Cancer Therapies In Adults And Cardiovascular System: A Review

ABSTRACT

The cardio-oncology as a field has been expanded by the rapid development of innovative cancer therapies. While these treatments had significantly improved the overall survival rates for cancer patients, they also carried the risk of cardiovascular and metabolic toxicities. A comprehensive and accurate diagnosis of cancer was paramount to initiate appropriate and effective treatment strategies. It was essential to recognize that each type of cancer presents unique characteristics and complexities, necessitating personalized treatment approaches tailored to individual patients. These treatment regimens often encompassed a combination of modalities such as surgery, radiotherapy, systemic therapy, including chemotherapy, antineoplastic hormonal agents, targeted therapies, and supportive care interventions. By implementing a tailored treatment plan based on the specific nature of the cancer, healthcare professionals might optimize therapeutic outcomes while minimizing adverse effects, ultimately improving the overall prognosis and quality of life for cancer patients. The purpose of this concise review is to underscore the effects of cancer and its treatments on cardiovascular health, drawing insights from prior research. By synthesizing findings from existing studies, we aim to elucidate the intricate relationship between cancer therapies and cardiovascular outcomes. Consequently, there is a pressing need for an updated summary to inform contemporary clinical practice, ensuring that healthcare professionals are equipped with the latest knowledge to provide optimal care for cancer patients while safeguarding their cardiovascular well-being.

Keywords: Cardio-oncology, Cardiotoxicity, neoplasms, heart disease, cardiovascular system.

1.INTRODUCTION:

A thorough diagnosis of cancer is crucial for administering tolerated and efficient treatment. Each type of cancer requires a tailored treatment plan. Typically, treatment comprises surgery, radiotherapy, and/or systemic therapy (chemotherapy, antineoplastic hormonal drugs, targeted therapies, supportive care).

According to the latest World Health Organization (WHO) data, cardiovascular diseases (CVD) were the leading cause of death globally. An estimated 17.9 million people died from CVD in 2019, representing 32% of all global deaths. The exact ranking of cancer among causes of death might vary depending on factors such as region, age, and socioeconomic status. However, cancer ranked among the top causes of death globally with almost 10 million deaths in 2020(1).

Several common lifestyle factors contribute to the risk of developing both cancer and cardiovascular disease. Among these, smoking stands out as one of the most significant. Alcohol consumption is another lifestyle factor that affects both cancer and cardiovascular health. A sedentary lifestyle, exposure to pollution, whether outdoor air pollution or indoor pollutants such as secondhand smoke are shared risk factors for cancer and CVD.The most common in 2020, in terms of new cases of cancer, were breast with 2.26 million cases, then lung with 2.21 million cases, followed by colon and rectum with almost 1.93 million cases.(2)

The aim of this mini review is to highlight, based on previous studies, the impact of cancer and its therapies on the cardiovascular system. Hence, an updated summary is needed for guiding today's clinical practice.

2.METHODOLOGY

To conduct a targeted search on PubMed focusing on adults and articles published within the last five years, we employed MeSH terms and Boolean operators. Specifically, our search strategy included the following terms: (("Cardiotoxicity"[Mesh]) AND "Neoplasms"[Mesh]) NOT "Hematologic Neoplasms"[Mesh]. By incorporating MeSH terms and limiting the search to articles published within the past five years, we aimed to retrieve the most current and relevant literature. Additionally, to ensure relevance to our study population, we specified adults in our search criteria. Hematologic neoplasms were explicitly excluded from the search to maintain focus on non-hematologic malignancies.

3.RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The different therapies discussed in this paper are for indicative purposes only. The list is not exhaustive. Other treatments might have a significant impact on the cardiovascular system.

3.1 Multidisciplinary management

Cancer managementwas certainly multidisciplinary including, but not limited to, radiologists, biologists, surgeons, pathologists, oncologists, radiation oncologists, cardiologists, internists. The most suitable approach was based on discussion in multidisciplinary team according to each patient characteristics(3). Several therapies and procedures might be proposed, e.g.:

- Chemotherapy: Anthracycline, fluoropyrimidine,cyclophosphamide, taxane,
- Targeted therapies: Trastuzumab (anti-Human Epidermal Growth HER 2), bevacizumab (anti-Vascular endothelial growth factor inhibitor), tyrosine kinase inhibitors (Sunitinib, Osimertinib), cyclin-dependent kinase 4/6 inhibitors (Ribociclib)
- Antineoplastic hormonal agents:
    - Tamoxifen, aromatase inhibitors
    - Ovarian function suppression, Androgen deprivation therapy
- Immune checkpoint inhibitors
- Radiotherapy (mediastinal, left-sided breast cancer)
- Supportive care: corticosteroids, other medications(They weretakeninto account for drug-drug interaction)

Polypharmacy in cancer patients was limiting the use of medications that might interfere with cancer treatments to essential ones and closely monitoring their cardiovascular side effects and potential drug interactions. Additionally, electrolyte imbalances such as hypokalemia, and hypomagnesemia were frequently corrected. Indeed, during chemotherapy, there was often a volume increase due to intravenous fluids, which might affect cardiac function(4).

3.2 Patient characteristics and risk stratification

Generally, cancer patients were of advanced age, with pre-existing comorbidities. Natural or induced menopause led to changes in lipid profiles, increased blood pressure, and alterations in vascular function, and was considered a cardiovascular risk factor(5). In addition to sharing several risk factors with cardiovascular diseases, cancer predisposed individuals to thromboembolic disease due to production of pro-inflammatory cytokines and hypercoagulability in addition to endothelial dysfunction. Nevertheless, cancer predisposed to major bleeding especially in advanced tumors or during thrombocytopenia due to bone marrow invasion. Baseline risk stratification remained a crucial step before initiating any therapy, as it helped to determine the balance benefit/risk, as well as providing a reference for follow-up(6).

Several scores allowed physicians to assess the cardiovascular risk in general population, and a limited number of these were extrapolated to cancer patients. It helped to identify a mild, moderate, or high/very high-risk patients (e.g, Heart Failure Association-International Cardio-Oncology Society Risk Score(HFA-ICOS)) (7).

A baseline cardiovascular assessment has been performed via cardiac history, cancer treatment history, physical examination, blood pressure, electrocardiogram (ECG). Supplementary targeted exams, including an echocardiogram , blood tests (glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c), lipid profile, cardiac troponin, brain natriuretic peptide (BNP), or N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP)), were required for initial risk stratification based on the planned therapy (6).

3.3 Chemotherapy

3.3.1 Anthracycline

Chemotherapy was widely used in cancer management. Anthracycline-based regimens were commonly employed in breast cancer, which represented the most prevalent cancer in women worldwide(8).The most known Anthracyclines wasDoxorubicin and Epirubicin, acknowledged as a DNA intercalating agent. DNA was identified as the principal target for their pharmacological activity. No analog to date have shown an activity clearly superior to that of doxorubicin, which remained the best anthracycline in terms of efficiency(9). A retrospective analysis of various clinical studies revealed that the onset of chronic cardiomyopathy was linked to the peak plasma concentration of Doxorubicin (Cmax). Similarly, in laboratory animals, this aligned with the ventricular peak following Doxorubicin administration and its major metabolites(9) . Anthracycline-induced cardiotoxicity has been understood to be a continuum that begins with subclinical myocardial injury and develops into asymptomatic left ventricular (LV) dysfunction and subsequent heart failure(10). Further evidence that the cardiotoxicity of Doxorobucin correlated with its Cmax and diffusion into the heart came from the successful strategy of encapsulating the anthracycline molecule in liposomal delivery systems. Liposomal Doxorobucin reached high Cmax values and diffused through the discontinuous “leaky” endothelium of tumors; nevertheless, the liposomes were too large to permeate through the normal microvasculature of the heart (9).

Doxorubicinmight damage the heart and its substructures in a dose-dependent manner, increasing the incidence of congestive heart failure by 4.7 %, 26 %, and 48 % at cumulative doses of 400, 550, and 700 mg/m2 of Doxorubicin, respectively(11).

In addition to a baseline cardiovascular risk assessment before initiating anthracycline treatment, a special attentionwas required to the kinetics of various biological tests as well as the variability of clinical and paraclinical examinations during cycles administration of the treatment. Monitoring varied according to the determined risk level, scheduled every 3 cycles in mild/moderate risk and before each cycle if high/very high risk. Treatment discontinuationwas unavoidable in certain situations, and rediscussion of the overall management was performed within multidisciplinary team(4).

3.3.2 Fluoropyrimidine

5-Fluorouracil (5-FU) and its oral prodrug Capecitabine belong to fluoropyrimidines. This chemotherapy was considered an anti-metabolite chemotherapy used to treat solid cancers, including gastrointestinal cancers, pancreatic cancer, breast cancer, and head and neck cancer. First, capecitabine was converted to 5′-deoxy-5-fluorocytidine by carboxylesterase, which was an enzyme located mainly in the liver. Second, 5′-deoxy-5-fluorocytidine was converted to 5′-deoxy-5-fluorouridine (5′-dFUR) by cytidine deaminase, which was mainly located in the liver and tumor tissue. Third, 5′-dFUR was converted to 5-FU by thymidine phosphorylase. Finally 80–90% of 5-FU was catabolized by dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase (DPD) into metabolite 5-dihydrofluorouracil (5-FUH2), which was neither cytotoxic to the tumor cells nor toxic to normal cells(12).The DPD dosage was necessary, before each fluoropyrimidine administration, to predict potential toxicity.

The main observed cardiac event was chest pain. The theory suggesting vasospasm as the cause of myocardial ischemia has been proposed, as coronary angiography often didn't reveal stenoses in patients experiencing acute 5-FU-induced cardiotoxicity(13–15). Toxic myocarditis has been proposed by Sasson et al. as they found biventricular dilation and diffusely areas of cell necrosis associated with an inflammatory infiltrate, on autopsy of a case of 5-FU-induced fatal cardiogenic shock (16).SCORE2/ SCORE2-OP or equivalent were recommended scores before starting fluoropyrimidines. The recommendation from the 2021 ESC Guidelines on cardiovascular disease prevention in clinical practice advocated for aggressive management of modifiable cardiovascular risk factors both during and after treatment(17).

3.3.3 Cyclophosphamide

Cyclophosphamide demonstrated a bimodal mechanism of antitumor action, along with cardiotoxic and immunomodulatory effects. The variation in pharmacological effects was contingent upon the drug's metabolism and the dosing (18). Cyclophosphamide and its metabolites, such as aldophosphamide, 4-hydroxy phosphamide, and acrolein, were recognized as cardiotoxic. Among these metabolites, acrolein was identified as the most cardiotoxic. Cardiomyocytes were highly sensitive to acrolein, a highly reactive aldehyde (19). Another cardiotoxic mechanism of cyclophosphamide or its metabolite involved oxidative stress, nitrative stress, disrupted calcium homeostasis, which induced inflammation, apoptosis, swelling, nuclear splitting of cardiomyocytes, and alterations in signaling pathways such as NFkB, p53, and p38 MAPKs. These processes yielded cardiomyopathy, atrial fibrillation and heart failure (20).

3.3.4 Taxane

Taxanes were antineoplastic drugs that stabilize cellular microtubules. Paclitaxel and docetaxel were the most widely used in the carcinoma treatment. Signs of Taxane-induced cardiotoxicity encompassed left ventricular dysfunction, bradycardia, arrhythmias, and conduction disorders(21). The risk of cardiotoxicity andprobable allergic reactions imposed a close monitoring within and after taxane based chemotherapy(22). In 5% of patients treated with paclitaxel, atrioventricular block, left bundle branch block, ventricular tachycardia, and ischemic cardiac events were observed (23).The incidence of docetaxel-associated heart failure has been documented to range from 1.6 to 2% (24).

As the number of long-term survivors, elderly patients, and those with pre-existing cardiac risk factors increases, the toxicity profile of anthracyclines becomes a crucial factor in selecting adjuvant treatments. This concern is reflected in the significant use (47.8%) of anthracycline-free regimens, such as the combination of docetaxel and cyclophosphamide (TC), among older patients aged 67 to 94 years, as reported in a 2010 analysis of the SEER-Medicare database(25). In the West German Study Group PlanB trial, six cycles of TC proved to be an effective and safe option for patients with human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2)–negative early breast cancer who had node negative (pN0) high genomic risk or pN1early breast cancer with intermediate- to high-risk genomic profiles (26).

3.4 Targeted therapies

Targeted oncology included monoclonal antibodies, small molecule inhibitors, and antibody-drug conjugates.In the past decade, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration has approved approximately 40 new targeted therapies for 12 different cancers (27).

In targeted oncology, monoclonal antibodies were predominantly employed to target antigens on cancer cells, resulting in the downregulation of oncogene signaling pathways (28).

3.4.1 Monoclonal antibodies

3.4.1.1 Trastuzumab

Monoclonal antibodies targeting the human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2), such as trastuzumab, have significantly enhanced outcomes in HER2-positive breast cancer, a subtype that comprised 15% to 25% of all breast cancer patients.It was used in some advanced gastric adenocarcinoma. Trastuzumab binds to HER2 receptors on tumor cells, initiating internalization and downregulation of HER2 (29). When anthracycline was indicated, either in localized disease or metastatic settings, it wasn't administered concurrently due to the potential additive toxicity(29). Treatment with trastuzumab led to a reduction in myocyte contractility. Consequently, trastuzumab was less likely to be linked with myocyte death and was believed to induce temporary dysfunction, primarily reversible upon treatment cessation(30).

According to a published Cochrane review, in patients with early BC with high chance for cure, trastuzumab increased the risk of heart failure (HF) 5-fold and the left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) decline 2-fold (31).

For low risk patients undergoing neoadjuvant and/or adjuvant HER2-targeted therapies, echocardiography wasperformed every 3 months, with an additional assessment within 12 months following treatment completion(32).

3.4.1.2 Pertuzumab

Pertuzumab, another anti-HER2, thatshowed on the primary analysis of APHINITY, an improved invasive disease free survivalin the adjuvant setting for early breast cancer (especially node positive) in combination with trastuzumab and chemotherapy,without an increase in cardiac events(33).

3.4.1.3 Cetuximab

Cetuximab, a chimeric mouse/human antibody against the extracellular domain of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), was used in advanced colon/ rectum wild-type RAS gene adenocarcinoma.Pondé et al. found that the predominant cardiac events associated with cetuximab were palpitations (25.8%), chest pain (8.1%), and arrhythmias necessitating treatment (4.8%). The majority of these events were mild andtemporary. Troponin dosing and ECG could be sensitive and convenient approaches for the surveillance of these adverse events.(34).

3.4.1.4 Bevacizumab

Bevacizumab, a monoclonal anti-body targeting the vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), received approval from the US Food and Drug Administration as the first anti-angiogenesis drug with fluorouracil-based chemotherapy as first-line treatment for metastatic colon/ rectal adenocarcinoma(35).Inhibition of the protective effects of VEGF on the endothelium could lead to impaired endothelial cell regeneration and subsequent endothelial damage(36).Clinical trials reported that Bevacizumab use was associated with the following severe adverse events: gastrointestinal (GI) perforations, surgery and wound-healing complications, hemorrhage, non-GI fistula formations, arterial thromboembolism, hypertension, and proteinuria(37).Al Jazairi et al. showed in their study that among 418 patients treated with bevacizumab, hypertension was the most frequent adverse event, reported in 38 patients (9.1%), followed by thromboembolism reported in 27 patients (6.5%)(38).

3.4.2 Antibody-Drug conjugate

Ado-Trastuzumab Emtansine (TDM-1)

A novel antibody-drug conjugate called Ado-Trastuzumab Emtansine has been developed. It linked a HER2-targeted monoclonal antibody to a chemotherapy molecule, Emtansine. Emtansine was internalized by the tumor cell, facilitating the delivery of chemotherapy and inducing apoptosis (39). T-DM1 was used for advanced HER2-positive breast cancer. Cardiotoxicity associated with T-DM1 was rare, typically mild, and reversible, but it had the potential to disrupt treatment continuity (40).

3.4.3 Small molecule inhibitors

Many small molecule inhibitorstarget multipletyrosine kinases (TKI)pathways; e.g.,Sorafenib, Sunitinib, Axitinib, Pazopanib, Cabozantinib that were vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) inhibitors used mainly in metastatic kidney or thyroid cancer(41). Lung cancer that contained an activating mutation in the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), might be candidate to Afatinib, Erlotinib, Gefitinib, or Osimertinib following the stage of the disease and patient performance status(42).Another targeted therapies, recently used in hormon receptor-positive/HER2-negative advanced breast cancer, wereCDK4/6 inhibitors (CDKI).

3.4.3.1 VEGF TKI

The most frequently reported adverse event during treatment with VEGF inhibitors was hypertension. It typically manifested within hours or days, correlated with dosage, and often resolved upon discontinuation of VEGF inhibitors(43). The risk was elevated in patients who had pre-existing hypertension or cardiovascular disease, had undergone previous anthracycline treatment, were of advanced age, had a history of smoking, suffered from hyperlipidemia, and/or were obese (44). Sorafenib and Sunitinib might cause atrial fibrillation(45). A study based on the Danish healthcare system data set found that CHA2DS2-VASc scores of 0 and 1 in patients with recent cancer were linked with higher risk of stroke/thromboembolism at 2 years than in patients without recent cancer(46) . Treatment with VEGF inhibitors might be complicated by acute arterial events such as aortic dissection, stroke, arterial thrombosis, acute coronary events, and vasospasm, as well as venous thromboembolism (VTE)(47). A baseline CV risk assessment includes clinical examination, blood pressure measurement, and an ECG with baseline corrected QT interval using Fridericia correction measurement(4).

3.4.3.2 EGFR TKI

In a study involving 123 patients with EGFR-mutant non-small cell lung cancer treated with Osimertinib, a 4.9% incidence of heart failure (HF) or myocardial infarction (MI) was reported. Additionally, there was a significant reduction in left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) below 53% in 11% of patients undergoing transthoracic echocardiography (TTE) surveillance (48).

3.4.3.3 Cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors

Cyclin-dependent kinase-4 and 6 (CDK4/6) were important in the process of cell proliferation. An impairment in CDK4/6-retinoblastoma pathway was involved in breast cancer (49,50). Cyclins D1, D2 and D3 regulated the CDK4 and CDK6 kinases(51). Cyclin D1 (CCND1) was a transcriptional target of the estrogen receptor and was overexpressed in about half of breast cancers(50,52). CDK4/6 inhibitors induced cell cycle arrest in retinoblastoma protein competent cells(53). Novel therapies such as CDK4/6 inhibitors (CDKI) (monotherapy in conjunction with endocrine therapy) have been the first-

line treatment option for patients with Hormon receptor-positive/HER2-negative unresecable or metastatic breast cancer(54).In randomized trials of Ribociclib, it was observed that some patients experienced QT interval prolongation. However, this was reversible and effectively managed by interrupting or reducing the dose, without any noticeable clinical consequences. it was strongly advised to avoid the concurrent administration of Ribociclib with drugs that were recognized to prolong the QT interval(55).Because of the increased risk of QT prolongation, combining Ribociclib with Tamoxifen (endocrine therapy, see chapter 3.5) was not recommended. Instead, the combination was with an aromatase inhibitor (4).

3.5 Endocrine therapy

3.5.1 Tamoxifen

The first-generation selective estrogen receptor modulator (SERM), i.e. Tamoxifen, exhibited estrogen-like actions in certain tissues while acting as an antagonist in others. It demonstrated estrogen-like effects on bone alongside antagonist effects on the breast. However, an undesired effect of tamoxifen was its estrogen-like activity on the endometrium(56). It was used for pre-menopausal hormon receptor positive breast cancer, especially in the adjuvant setting. The risk of developing thromboembolism (VTE) with Tamoxifen was two to three-fold(57).In order to determine the safe stoppage and restart times of Tamoxifen prior to and after surgery, Hussain et al. have considered the pharmacokinetics of the drug. The primary route of excretion for Tamoxifen was through feces, with approximately 65% of the administered dose excreted within a two-week period. Consequently, around 98% of the drug would be completely eliminated from the plasma within three weeks.
After major surgeries such as joint replacement, Tamoxifen was discontinued for three weeks before and after the procedure(58). This duration was determined based on the surgery's nature (which posed a high risk of VTE) and the rehabilitation duration. Typically, this interruption in Tamoxifen treatment was deemed acceptable without significant risk of cancer progression or recurrence, although conclusive evidence is still lacking(58). Webster et al. have demonstrated that,compared to patients who did not receive Tamoxifen perioperatively, those who received Tamoxifen did not have an increased risk of thrombotic flap complications after an autologous breast free flap reconstruction surgery (59).

3.5.2 Aromatase inhibitors (AI)

In postmenopausal women, estrogens are synthesized in most of the body compartments, including connective tissue, skin, the liver, and muscle (60). While circulating androstenedione as well as testosterone in postmenopausal women is considered of adrenal origin, The aromatase is able to convert testosterone into Estradiol (E2) and androstenedione into Estrone (E1)(61).Aromatase inhibitors blocked this pathway, reducing estrogen mediated cancer cell proliferation in hormone receptor-positive breast cancer in the adjuvant or metastatic setting. Aromatase inhibitors showed superiority over Tamoxifen as adjuvant therapy in postmenopausal women(62).The most common adverse events during AI therapy were menopausal symptoms, and patients were more likely to develop hyperlipidemia, hypercholesterolemia and hypertension, which were known risk factors for cardiovascular disease. Monitoring of these metabolic disorders was mandatory(63).

3.6Ovarian function suppression

Natural or induced menopause, whether intended outcome or inevitably induced by previous therapies (e.g., post-chemotherapy, ovarian ablation), was a common occurrence in women undergoing cancer treatment. In advanced hormone receptor positive breast cancer or at high risk of recurrence, it was considered among the therapeutic strategy. In this subgroup, ovarian function suppression (often with Luteinizing hormone-releasing hormone (LHRH) agonists) was performed in pre-menopausal womenin

conjunction with targeted therapies and/ or endocrine therapy(5). Also, Overproduction of free radicals such as reactive oxygen species (ROS), and decreased antioxidant levels could lead to atherosclerosis. This decline, combined with a gradual loss of estrogen in the female reproductive system, was highly associated with the various sequelae of menopause such as heart disease and vasomotor disturbances in addition to non-cardiac effects such as osteoporosis.Managing metabolic changes remained a milestone to prevent severe cardiovascular events(64).

3.7Anti androgen therapy

3.7.1 LHRH agonist

Androgen deprivation therapy (ADT)with LHRH agonist (e.g., Goserelin, Triptorelin) served as the primary treatment for hormone-sensitive prostate cancer, with indications for neoadjuvant or adjuvant treatment in the radiation therapy subgroup, particularly for intermediate and high-risk localized or advanced disease(65).Measuring serum testosterone determination during medical castration was recommended by prostate cancer guidelines to assess its efficacy and define castration resistance (66).

3.7.2 First generation antiandrogens

Bicalutamide, a non-steroidal oral antiandrogen, was approved for use in conjunction with LHRH analogues in men with hormone-treatment-naive prostate cancer, and might be used in clinical practice in metastatic setting(67). It was recommended to precede or be co-administered with LHRH agonist and be continued in combination for at least 7 days for patients in order to overcome a probable flare in testosterone with initial LHRH agonist alone(67). According to the TERRAIN, a randomized double blind phase II study, a congestive cardiac failure was reported in1% of patients treated with bicalutamide in metastatic prostate cancer patients(68).

3.7.3 Second generation antiandrogens

Enzalutamide and Apalutamide were second generation androgen deprivation therapy that might be used in prostate cancer. Enzalutamide inhibited binding of androgens to the androgen receptor, androgen-receptor nuclear translocation, and androgen-receptor-mediated DNA binding(69). A grade 3 (following the Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE)) cardiac events were reported in 2% of patients who received Enzalutamide in the TERRAIN trial(68).

3.7.4 Third generation antiandrogens

Abirateronewasan oral medication that targets 17-α hydroxylase, a pivotal enzyme involved in testosterone synthesis. It was frequently employed treatment for metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC)(70).A post hoc analysis of the COU-AA-302 trial, which established the efficacy of Abiraterone in chemotherapy-naive patients, showed an increased relative risk of developing cardiac toxicity and hypertension(71).

The correlation between ADT and an increased risk of metabolic syndrome, diabetes, and thus cardiovascular disease with the conjunction of Abiraterone has been firmly established.Abiraterone was approved only for patients who had undergone surgical orchidectomy or were receiving LHRH agonist/antagonist therapy(70).

3.8 Immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICI)

Immunotherapy encompasses monoclonal antibodies targeting cytotoxic T-lymphocyte–associated protein 4 (CTLA-4), programmed cell death protein 1 (PD-1), or programmed cell death ligand 1 (PD-L1). These antibodies activated the adaptive immune system against tumor cells by inhibiting negative immune regulation, thereby enhancing antitumor immune responses(39). The mechanisms of cardiovascular toxicities were not clear.Metabolic perturbations resulting from cytokine release syndrome could contribute to systemic toxicities (72). The most concerning complications were myocarditis and pericarditis, which have resulted in early and often permanent cessation of the used medication.Corticosteroid and the withdrawal of the culprit treatment were the milestone of events management(73).
In a recent trial involving the checkpoint inhibitor Atezolizumab, myocardial infarction (MI) was documented. In cases where troponin elevation was detected, the differential diagnosis encompassed acute coronary syndrome. The precise cause remained unclear, whether it involved increased atherosclerotic plaque rupture, ICI-triggered coronary vasculitis, or focal myocarditis mistaken for acute MI. Symptoms included chest pain, new ischemic ECG changes (e.g., ST elevation, ST depression, T wave inversion), elevated cardiac troponin levels, and typically new regional wall motion abnormalities on echocardiography or cardiac magnetic resonance imaging (CMR). Coronary angiography served as a diagnostic tool, with percutaneous coronary intervention recommended if a culprit occlusion or severe stenosis was identified(74).

3.9Radiotherapy

Radiation therapy (RT) was a comprehensive approach in cancer treatment, used in approximately more than 50% of cancer patient treatments in different stages of the disease. Nevertheless, RT administered in the thoracic region, or the left-sided breast cancer, had the potential to impact cardiac function.
Although the risk of cardiac toxicity was influenced by various factors, including the patient's baseline cardiac risk and cardiotoxic systemic therapy, the risk of heart disease and coronary events was projected to increase by 4–7% for each 1 Gy increase in mean dose received by the whole heart (Dmean)(75). Recently, there has been a notable rise in the use of Intensity-Modulated Radiation Therapy (IMRT) for treating breast carcinoma. This approach yielded a more favorable dose distribution compared to traditional three-dimensional (3D) radiation therapy. Moreover, it resulted in a decreased radiation dose to adjacent healthy organs, particularly the heart and lungs(76,77).Another technique that might be proposed to the patient undergoing radiotherapy to the left-sided breast cancer or mediastinal lymphoma, was the deep breath hold inspiration. This technique had the advantage of heart sparing from the target volume irradiation(78).

Radio-induced cardiac toxicity encompassed a range of conditions affecting the pericardium, coronary arteries, heart valves, and ventricles, alongside conduction abnormalities and arrhythmias. These issues arose from decreased capillary density, endothelial cell senescence, accelerated atherosclerosis, and fibrosis, leading to thickening of cardiac walls. Thus, the mean dose to the whole heart was less accurate tool for cardiac complications prediction, and other parameters were explored. A list of pertinent heart subregions that might be delineated for having additional dosimetric parameters (e.g., maximum dose (Dmax) or the minimum dose received by x% volume), while potentially not exhaustive, might include the following: the entire heart including the pericardium, large cavities, great vessels, aortic root encompassing the aortic valve, mitral valve, coronary arteries, interventricular septum, left ventricle wall and segments, as well as the sinoatrial and auriculoventricular nodes (79). Although to be more informative, the delineation of these elements was not frequently reported during radiotherapy planning(80). Immediate coronary angiography and percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) were advised for patients experiencing cancer and acute coronary syndrome, provided that the prognosis for cancer was at least 6 months (81).Another particular situation that might be facedduring thoracic radiotherapy planification, was patients with cardiac implantable electronic devices.It was essential to delineate the device and ensure that the total dose delivered to it didn’t exceed 5 Gy, ideally aiming for less than 2 Gy. Throughout the treatment

session, audio and video monitoring, along with the presence of an onsite emergency physician and rhythmologistwere imperative(4).

4. Conclusion

The field of cardio-oncology has seen significant expansion due to the rapid advancements in cancer therapies. While these treatments have markedly enhanced survival rates among cancer patients, they also pose risks of cardiovascular and metabolic complications, necessitating a comprehensive and collaborative approach to patient care.

By customizing treatment approaches according to the specific characteristics of the cancer, healthcare providers can optimize therapeutic outcomes while mitigating adverse effects. This integrated care model ensures that cardiovascular risks are managed alongside oncological treatments, enhancing overall patient outcomes.

Further studies are required to elucidate the optimal management of cancer patients with fewer complications, underscoring the importance of continued research and collaboration in this evolving field.

References

1. Cardiovascular diseases (CVDs) [Internet]. [cité 30 avr 2024]. Disponible sur: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/cardiovascular-diseases-(cvds)

2. Cancer [Internet]. [cité 30 avr 2024]. Disponible sur: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/cancer

3. Beavers CJ, Rodgers JE, Bagnola AJ, Beckie TM, Campia U, Di Palo KE, et al. Cardio-Oncology Drug Interactions: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association. Circulation [Internet]. 12 avr 2022 [cité 4 mai 2024];145(15). Disponible sur: https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/10.1161/CIR.0000000000001056

4. Lyon AR, López-Fernández T, Couch LS, Asteggiano R, Aznar MC, Bergler-Klein J, et al. 2022 ESC Guidelines on cardio-oncology developed in collaboration with the European Hematology Association (EHA), the European Society for Therapeutic Radiology and Oncology (ESTRO) and the International Cardio-Oncology Society (IC-OS). European Heart Journal. 1 nov 2022;43(41):4229□361.

5. Nair AR, Pillai AJ, Nair N. Cardiovascular Changes in Menopause. CCR. juill 2021;17(4):e230421187681.

6. Lyon AR, Dent S, Stanway S, Earl H, Brezden-Masley C, Cohen-Solal A, et al. Baseline cardiovascular risk assessment in cancer patients scheduled to receive cardiotoxic cancer therapies: a position statement and new risk assessment tools from the C ardio-O ncology S tudy G

roup of the H eart F ailure A ssociation of the E uropean S ociety of C ardiology in collaboration with the I nternational C ardio-O ncology S ociety. European J of Heart Fail. nov 2020;22(11):1945‑60.

7. Cronin M, Crowley A, Davey MG, Ryan P, Abdelshafy M, Elkoumy A, et al. Heart Failure Association-International Cardio-Oncology Society Risk Score Validation in HER2-Positive Breast Cancer. JCM. 6 févr 2023;12(4):1278.

8. Breast cancer [Internet]. [cité 1 mai 2024]. Disponible sur: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/breast-cancer

9. Krohn K, éditeur. Anthracycline Chemistry and Biology II [Internet]. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg; 2008 [cité 1 mai 2024]. (Topics in Current Chemistry; vol. 283). Disponible sur: http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-540-75813-6

10. Groarke JD, Nohria A. Anthracycline Cardiotoxicity: A New Paradigm for an Old Classic. Circulation. 2 juin 2015;131(22):1946‑9.

11. Kong Y, Wei X, Zhang D, Lin H, Peng M, Shang H. Prevention and treatment of anthracycline-induced cardiotoxicity: A bibliometric analysis of the years 2000–2023. Heliyon. mai 2024;10(9):e29926.

12. Knikman JE, Gelderblom H, Beijnen JH, Cats A, Guchelaar H, Henricks LM. Individualized Dosing of Fluoropyrimidine-Based Chemotherapy to Prevent Severe Fluoropyrimidine-Related Toxicity: What Are the Options? Clin Pharma and Therapeutics. mars 2021;109(3):591‑604.

13. Ozturk MA, Ozveren O, Cinar V, Erdik B, Oyan B. Takotsubo syndrome: an underdiagnosed complication of 5-fluorouracil mimicking acute myocardial infarction. Blood Coagulation & Fibrinolysis. janv 2013;24(1):90‑4.

14. Kim SM, Kwak CH, Lee B, Kim SB, Sir JJ, Cho WH, et al. A Case of Severe Coronary Spasm Associated with 5-Fluorouracil Chemotherapy. Korean J Intern Med. 2012;27(3):342.

15. Tajik R, Cardiovascular Research Center, Shaheed Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Saadat H, Cardiovascular Research Center, Shaheed Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Taherkhani M, Cardiovascular Research Center, Shaheed Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, et al. Angina Induced by 5-Fluorouracil Infusion in a Patient with Normal Coronaries. The American Heart Hospital Journal. 2010;8(2):111.

16. Polk A, Vistisen K, Vaage-Nilsen M, Nielsen DL. A systematic review of the pathophysiology of 5-fluorouracil-induced cardiotoxicity. BMC Pharmacol Toxicol. déc 2014;15(1):47.

17. Visseren FLJ, Mach F, Smulders YM, Carballo D, Koskinas KC, Bäck M, et al. 2021 ESC Guidelines on cardiovascular disease prevention in clinical practice. European Heart Journal. 7 sept 2021;42(34):3227‑337.

18. Binotto G, Trentin L, Semenzato G. Ifosfamide and Cyclophosphamide: Effects on Immunosurveillance. Oncology. 2003;65(Suppl. 2):17‑20.

19. Henning RJ, Johnson GT, Coyle JP, Harbison RD. Acrolein Can Cause Cardiovascular Disease: A Review. Cardiovasc Toxicol. juill 2017;17(3):227‑36.

20. Iqubal A, Iqubal MK, Sharma S, Ansari MohdA, Najmi AK, Ali SM, et al. Molecular mechanism involved in cyclophosphamide-induced cardiotoxicity: Old drug with a new vision. Life Sciences. févr 2019;218:112‑31.

21. Zamorano JL, Lancellotti P, Rodriguez Muñoz D, Aboyans V, Asteggiano R, Galderisi M, et al. 2016 ESC Position Paper on cancer treatments and cardiovascular toxicity developed under the auspices of the ESC Committee for Practice Guidelines: The Task Force for cancer treatments and cardiovascular toxicity of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). Eur Heart J. 21 sept 2016;37(36):2768‑801.

22. Peroukides S, Alexopoulos A, Kalofonos H, Papadaki H. Cardiovascular effects of treatment with taxanes: Journal of Cardiovascular Medicine. mai 2012;13(5):319‑24.

23. Madeddu C, Deidda M, Piras A, Cadeddu C, Demurtas L, Puzzoni M, et al. Pathophysiology of cardiotoxicity induced by nonanthracycline chemotherapy: Journal of Cardiovascular Medicine. mai 2016;17:e12‑8.

24. Martin M, Pienkowski T, Mackey J, Pawlicki M, Guastalla JP, Weaver C, et al. Adjuvant Docetaxel for Node-Positive Breast Cancer. N Engl J Med. 2 juin 2005;352(22):2302‑13.

25. Giordano SH, Duan Z, Kuo YF, Hortobagyi GN, Goodwin JS. Use and Outcomes of Adjuvant Chemotherapy in Older Women With Breast Cancer. JCO. 20 juin 2006;24(18):2750‑6.

26. Nitz U, Gluz O, Clemens M, Malter W, Reimer T, Nuding B, et al. West German Study PlanB Trial: Adjuvant Four Cycles of Epirubicin and Cyclophosphamide Plus Docetaxel Versus Six Cycles of Docetaxel and Cyclophosphamide in HER2-Negative Early Breast Cancer. JCO. 1 avr 2019;37(10):799‑808.

27. Research C for DE and. Oncology (Cancer) / Hematologic Malignancies Approval Notifications. FDA [Internet]. 29 avr 2024 [cité 1 mai 2024]; Disponible sur: https://www.fda.gov/drugs/resources-information-approved-drugs/oncology-cancer-hematologic-malignancies-approval-notifications

28. Singh S, Kumar NK, Dwiwedi P, Charan J, Kaur R, Sidhu P, et al. Monoclonal Antibodies: A Review. CCP. 9 oct 2018;13(2):85‑99.

29. Wolff AC, Hammond MEH, Allison KH, Harvey BE, Mangu PB, Bartlett JMS, et al. Human Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor 2 Testing in Breast Cancer: American Society of Clinical Oncology/College of American Pathologists Clinical Practice Guideline Focused Update. JCO. 10 juill 2018;36(20):2105‑22.

30. Tan TC, Bouras S, Sawaya H, Sebag IA, Cohen V, Picard MH, et al. Time Trends of Left Ventricular Ejection Fraction and Myocardial Deformation Indices in a Cohort of Women with Breast Cancer Treated with Anthracyclines, Taxanes, and Trastuzumab. Journal of the American Society of Echocardiography. mai 2015;28(5):509‑14.

31. Koulaouzidis G, Yung AE, Yung DE, Skonieczna-Żydecka K, Marlicz W, Koulaouzidis A, et al. Conventional cardiac risk factors associated with trastuzumab-induced cardiotoxicity in breast cancer: Systematic review and meta-analysis. Current Problems in Cancer. oct 2021;45(5):100723.

32. Cameron D, Piccart-Gebhart MJ, Gelber RD, Procter M, Goldhirsch A, De Azambuja E, et al. 11 years' follow-up of trastuzumab after adjuvant chemotherapy in HER2-positive early breast cancer: final analysis of the HERceptin Adjuvant (HERA) trial. The Lancet. mars 2017;389(10075):1195‑205.

33. Piccart M, Procter M, Fumagalli D, De Azambuja E, Clark E, Ewer MS, et al. Adjuvant Pertuzumab and Trastuzumab in Early HER2-Positive Breast Cancer in the APHINITY Trial: 6 Years' Follow-Up. JCO. 1 mai 2021;39(13):1448‑57.

34. Tang XM, Chen H, Liu Y, Huang BL, Zhang XQ, Yuan JM, et al. The cardiotoxicity of cetuximab as single therapy in Chinese chemotherapy-refractory metastatic colorectal cancer patients. Medicine. janv 2017;96(3):e5946.

35. Fu AZ, Tsai HT, Marshall JL, Freedman AN, Potosky AL. Utilization of bevacizumab in US elderly patients with colorectal cancer receiving chemotherapy. J Oncol Pharm Pract. oct 2014;20(5):332‑40.

36. Dorst DCH van, Doorn L van, Mirabito Colafella KM, Manintveld OC, Hassing HC, Danser AHJ, et al. Cardiovascular toxicity of angiogenesis inhibitors and immune checkpoint inhibitors:

synergistic anti-tumour effects at the cost of increased cardiovascular risk? Clinical Science. 30 juill 2021;135(14):1649‑68.

37. Galfrascoli E, Piva S, Cinquini M, Rossi A, La Verde N, Bramati A, et al. Risk/benefit profile of bevacizumab in metastatic colon cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Digestive and Liver Disease. avr 2011;43(4):286‑94.

38. Al-Jazairi AS, Bahammam N, Aljuaid D, Almutairi L, Alshahrani S, Albuhairan N, et al. Cardiovascular adverse events of antineoplastic monoclonal antibodies among cancer patients: real-world evidence from a tertiary healthcare system. Cardio-Oncology. 25 sept 2023;9(1):35.

39. Smith et Prasad - 2010 - Targeted Cancer Therapies.pdf [Internet]. [cité 3 mai 2024]. Disponible sur: https://www.aafp.org/pubs/afp/issues/2021/0201/p155.pdf

40. Pondé N, Ameye L, Lambertini M, Paesmans M, Piccart M, De Azambuja E. Trastuzumab emtansine (T-DM1)-associated cardiotoxicity: Pooled analysis in advanced HER2-positive breast cancer. European Journal of Cancer. févr 2020;126:65‑73.

41. Motzer RJ, Hutson TE, Cella D, Reeves J, Hawkins R, Guo J, et al. Pazopanib versus Sunitinib in Metastatic Renal-Cell Carcinoma. N Engl J Med. 22 août 2013;369(8):722‑31.

42. Ramalingam SS, Vansteenkiste J, Planchard D, Cho BC, Gray JE, Ohe Y, et al. Overall Survival with Osimertinib in Untreated, *EGFR* -Mutated Advanced NSCLC. N Engl J Med. 2 janv 2020;382(1):41‑50.

43. Moslehi JJ. Cardiovascular Toxic Effects of Targeted Cancer Therapies. Longo DL, éditeur. N Engl J Med. 13 oct 2016;375(15):1457‑67.

44. Hamnvik OR, Choueiri TK, Turchin A, McKay RR, Goyal L, Davis M, et al. Clinical risk factors for the development of hypertension in patients treated with inhibitors of the VEGF signaling pathway. Cancer. 15 janv 2015;121(2):311‑9.

45. Alexandre J, Salem JE, Moslehi J, Sassier M, Ropert C, Cautela J, et al. Identification of anticancer drugs associated with atrial fibrillation: analysis of the WHO pharmacovigilance database. European Heart Journal - Cardiovascular Pharmacotherapy. 23 juill 2021;7(4):312‑20.

46. D'Souza M, Carlson N, Fosbøl E, Lamberts M, Smedegaard L, Nielsen D, et al. CHA_2DS_2-VASc score and risk of thromboembolism and bleeding in patients with atrial fibrillation and recent cancer. Eur J Prev Cardiolog. avr 2018;25(6):651‑8.

47. Pandey AK, Singhi EK, Arroyo JP, Ikizler TA, Gould ER, Brown J, et al. Mechanisms of VEGF (Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor) Inhibitor–Associated Hypertension and Vascular Disease. Hypertension [Internet]. févr 2018 [cité 3 mai 2024];71(2). Disponible sur: https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.117.10271

48. Kunimasa K, Kamada R, Oka T, Oboshi M, Kimura M, Inoue T, et al. Cardiac Adverse Events in EGFR-Mutated Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer Treated With Osimertinib. JACC: CardioOncology. mars 2020;2(1):1‑10.

49. Cyclin D1 in Breast Cancer Pathogenesis | Journal of Clinical Oncology [Internet]. [cité 13 avr 2024]. Disponible sur: https://ascopubs.org/doi/10.1200/JCO.2005.05.064

50. Koboldt DC, Fulton RS, McLellan MD, Schmidt H, Kalicki-Veizer J, McMichael JF, et al. Comprehensive molecular portraits of human breast tumours. Nature. oct 2012;490(7418):61‑70.

51. Weinberg RA. The retinoblastoma protein and cell cycle control. Cell. 5 mai 1995;81(3):323‑30.

52. Chen P, Lee NV, Hu W, Xu M, Ferre RA, Lam H, et al. Spectrum and Degree of CDK Drug Interactions Predicts Clinical Performance. Mol Cancer Ther. oct 2016;15(10):2273‑81.

53. Clinical potential of novel therapeutic targets in breast cancer: CDK4/6, Src, JAK/STAT, PARP, HDAC, and PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathways - PubMed [Internet]. [cité 13 avr 2024]. Disponible sur: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25206307/

54. Shimoi T, Sagara Y, Hara F, Toyama T, Iwata H. First-line endocrine therapy for postmenopausal patients with hormone receptor-positive, HER2-negative metastatic breast cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Breast Cancer. mai 2020;27(3):340‑6.

55. Abdel-Razeq H, Sharaf B. Expanding the Clinical Use of CDK4/6 Inhibitors in the Treatment of Hormone Receptor-Positive, HER2-Negative Breast Cancer from Metastatic Setting to Adjuvant Setting. DDDT. mars 2022;Volume 16:727‑35.

56. Burger HG. Selective Oestrogen Receptor Modulators. Horm Res Paediatr. 2000;53(Suppl. 3):25‑9.

57. Deitcher SR, Gomes MPV. The risk of venous thromboembolic disease associated with adjuvant hormone therapy for breast carcinoma: A systematic review. Cancer. août 2004;101(3):439‑49.

58. Hussain T, Kneeshaw PJ. Stopping tamoxifen peri-operatively for VTE risk reduction: A proposed management algorithm. International Journal of Surgery. 2012;10(6):313‑6.

59. Webster TK, Roth SC, Yu D, Baltodano PA, Araya S, Elmer NA, et al. Safe perioperative tamoxifen use in autologous breast free flap reconstruction: systematic review and meta-analysis. Breast Cancer Res Treat. juin 2022;193(2):241‑51.

60. Geisler J, Lønning PE. Aromatase Inhibition: Translation into a Successful Therapeutic Approach. Clinical Cancer Research. 15 avr 2005;11(8):2809‑21.

61. Lønning PE, Eikesdal HP. Aromatase inhibition 2013: clinical state of the art and questions that remain to be solved. Endocrine-Related Cancer. août 2013;20(4):R183‑201.

62. Jordan V. New insights into the metabolism of tamoxifen and its role in the treatment and prevention of breast cancer. Steroids. nov 2007;72(13):829‑42.

63. Boszkiewicz K, Piwowar A, Petryszyn P. Aromatase Inhibitors and Risk of Metabolic and Cardiovascular Adverse Effects in Breast Cancer Patients—A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. JCM. 31 mai 2022;11(11):3133.

64. Wang Z, Chandrasena ER, Yuan Y, Peng K wei, Van Breemen RB, Thatcher GRJ, et al. Redox Cycling of Catechol Estrogens Generating Apurinic/Apyrimidinic Sites and 8-oxo-Deoxyguanosine via Reactive Oxygen Species Differentiates Equine and Human Estrogens. Chem Res Toxicol. 16 août 2010;23(8):1365‑73.

65. Kamran SC, Zietman AL. Radiation treatment in prostate cancer: covering the waterfront. BJU International. oct 2021;128(4):398‑407.

66. Aguilar A, Planas J, Trilla E, Morote J. Methods for Evaluating the Efficacy of Medical Castration: A Systematic Review. Cancers. 3 juill 2023;15(13):3479.

67. Heidenreich A, Bastian PJ, Bellmunt J, Bolla M, Joniau S, Van Der Kwast T, et al. EAU Guidelines on Prostate Cancer. Part II: Treatment of Advanced, Relapsing, and Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer. European Urology. févr 2014;65(2):467‑79.

68. Shore ND, Chowdhury S, Villers A, Klotz L, Siemens DR, Phung D, et al. Efficacy and safety of enzalutamide versus bicalutamide for patients with metastatic prostate cancer (TERRAIN): a randomised, double-blind, phase 2 study. The Lancet Oncology. févr 2016;17(2):153‑63.

69. Tran C, Ouk S, Clegg NJ, Chen Y, Watson PA, Arora V, et al. Development of a Second-Generation Antiandrogen for Treatment of Advanced Prostate Cancer. Science. 8 mai 2009;324(5928):787‑90.

70. Tsao PA, Estes JP, Griggs JJ, Smith DC, Caram MEV. Cardiovascular and Metabolic Toxicity of Abiraterone in Castration-resistant Prostate Cancer: Post-marketing Experience. Clinical Genitourinary Cancer. juin 2019;17(3):e592‑601.

71. Iacovelli R, Verri E, Cossu Rocca M, Aurilio G, Cullurà D, De Cobelli O, et al. The incidence and relative risk of cardiovascular toxicity in patients treated with new hormonal agents for castration-resistant prostate cancer. European Journal of Cancer. sept 2015;51(14):1970‑7.

72. Karlstaedt A, Moslehi J, De Boer RA. Cardio-onco-metabolism: metabolic remodelling in cardiovascular disease and cancer. Nat Rev Cardiol. juin 2022;19(6):414‑25.

73. Curigliano G, Lenihan D, Fradley M, Ganatra S, Barac A, Blaes A, et al. Management of cardiac disease in cancer patients throughout oncological treatment: ESMO consensus recommendations. Annals of Oncology. févr 2020;31(2):171‑90.

74. Lyon AR, Yousaf N, Battisti NML, Moslehi J, Larkin J. Immune checkpoint inhibitors and cardiovascular toxicity. The Lancet Oncology. sept 2018;19(9):e447‑58.

75. Bergom C, Currey A, Desai N, Tai A, Strauss JB. Deep Inspiration Breath Hold: Techniques and Advantages for Cardiac Sparing During Breast Cancer Irradiation. Front Oncol. 4 avr 2018;8:87.

76. Mukesh MB, Barnett GC, Wilkinson JS, Moody AM, Wilson C, Dorling L, et al. Randomized Controlled Trial of Intensity-Modulated Radiotherapy for Early Breast Cancer: 5-Year Results Confirm Superior Overall Cosmesis. JCO. 20 déc 2013;31(36):4488‑95.

77. Cozzi L, Fogliata A, Nicolini G, Bernier J. Clinical experience in breast irradiation with intensity modulated photon beams. Acta Oncologica. janv 2005;44(5):467‑74.

78. Sakka M, Kunzelmann L, Metzger M, Grabenbauer GG. Cardiac dose-sparing effects of deep-inspiration breath-hold in left breast irradiation: Is IMRT more beneficial than VMAT? Strahlenther Onkol. oct 2017;193(10):800‑11.

79. Banfill K, Giuliani M, Aznar M, Franks K, McWilliam A, Schmitt M, et al. Cardiac Toxicity of Thoracic Radiotherapy: Existing Evidence and Future Directions. Journal of Thoracic Oncology. févr 2021;16(2):216‑27.

80. Guzhva L, Flampouri S, Mendenhall NP, Morris CG, Hoppe BS. Intrafractional Displacement of Cardiac Substructures Among Patients With Mediastinal Lymphoma or Lung Cancer. Advances in Radiation Oncology. juill 2019;4(3):500‑6.

81. Mohamed MO, Van Spall HGC, Kontopantelis E, Alkhouli M, Barac A, Elgendy IY, et al. Effect of primary percutaneous coronary intervention on in-hospital outcomes among active cancer patients presenting with ST-elevation myocardial infarction: a propensity score matching analysis. European Heart Journal Acute Cardiovascular Care. 27 oct 2021;10(8):829‑39.