

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Soil Research Journal</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_ASRJ_118488</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Providing Assessable Soil Information: A Case of the Land Potential Knowledge System (LandPKS) in Benue State.</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p><b>Yes, it will assist the farmer to purchase fertilizers suitable for their farming.</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>Abstract should be concise by stressing on research findings, aim of the research and further study needed. Here, the researcher must avoid the jargon.</b></p> <p>The IMRAD is okay, but the result section must be rewritten by including the main findings including tables and figures; and the discussion on the findings. Here it written as methodology with less stress on results and discussion.</p> <p>If you rewrite the abstract and result then it is okay.</p> <p>Insufficient, using "et al", is better, if the magazine allows it then no problem.</p>	
<p><b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Please check the grammar and sentence construction properly, avoid jargon and complex sentences.</p>	
<p><b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ashokan Kannarath</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Shivaji University, India</b>