

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | <b>Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</b>  |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>Ms_JSRR_119196</b>  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>Evaluation of Knowledge Level of Farmers on Package of Practices for Composite Fish Culture in Papumpare District of Arunachal Pradesh, India</b> |
| Type of the Article      | <b>Original Research Article</b>   |

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

|  | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?<br/>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable?<br/>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <p>1. Yes , the manuscript present very important results of investigation</p> <p>2. Yes</p> <p>3. Yes</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. Yes but you must pay attention spelling mistakes, corrections made in yellow</p> <p>7. Yes</p> |   |
| <p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>  | <p>Please , see the corrections made by yellow</p>  |   |
| <p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>  |   |   |

### PART 2:

|   | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p> |   |

### Reviewer Details:

|                                  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name:                            | Ouissa Khadija                                   |
| Department, University & Country | Higher Institute of Maritimes Fisheries, Morocco |

Review Form 1.7