

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_119054
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing the occupational health hazards in paddy cultivation practices in the coastal region of Andhra Pradesh
Type of the Article	Manuscript for Journal of Scientific Research and Reports

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**Jack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1 Yes, but I advise it's a very good point to add your research limitations</p> <p>These traditional tools and implements cause many health hazards among women due to a lack of knowledge and information, as they take body-related pain as a normal part of work. Generally, Indian women feel more work for a long time without rest and perform many roles in society and family. This drudgery or fatigue results in feeling tired, sleepiness, physical or mental stress, exhaustion, and pain in body parts.</p> <p>Next</p> <p>Almost all cultivation practices in paddy were doing manually by the farmers. Drudgery is usually regarded as physical and psychological strain, fatigue and monotony, and hardship experienced by humans. In doing all the practices, they are adopting awkward postures as well as highly repetitive movements which results in physical strain. As a result, the productivity of the work and efficiency of the worker are greatly reduced</p> <p>2.-The title is informative, short and comprehensive. However, the words "Assessing of" are extra and could be removed.</p> <p>3- Abstract: needs modification, specifically the results section. Some changes were made in the background as an example to increase clarity.</p> <p>4- The introductions are very long. Summarization is highly recommended (first introduce what is known about the problem, the gap and what will study will add to the literature). The rationale of the work is good. However, it restricts the study at the regional level.</p> <p>5- The manuscript is scientifically correct \ Good rationale for your work</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>language needs improvement</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Results section: all results must be presented in the same section (you have some in the discussion). Unless you merged both (the results and discussions sections). Results are first described in textual format; followed by tabular and graphical representation (all must be complementary).</p> <p>The bibliography does not follow a unified criterion and, of course, does not follow the standards established by Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Khalsiah
Department, University & Country	Universitas Malikusaleh, Indonesia