

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Engineering Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JERR_118394</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Design and Analysis of a Dual-Band Microstrip Patch Antenna for Sub-6 GHz Applications</b>
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Design and Analysis of a Dual-Band Microstrip Patch Antenna for Sub-6 GHz Applications</p> <p><b>Grammar:</b> The author uses the US standard of English language instead of the British standard. On that basis, there are spelling mistakes e.g.            US: optimizing, utilized            British: optimising, utilised            Generally, there are a few grammatical errors.</p> <p><b>Rating writing score:</b> 85%  <b>Clarity:</b> 75%</p> <p><b>Abstract:</b> Well written  <b>Introduction and Problem Statement:</b> Well-stated and good.  <b>Other Sections:</b> Good  <b>Engineering Information:</b> The information in the engineering sense is well-designed and good. The values obtained from the simulation are good, analysed, and well commented on.  <b>Referencing:</b> Good and current and well placed in the paper.  <b>Acceptance:</b> Accept with few grammatical errors</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Emmanuel Kwame Mensah
Department, University & Country	Ghana Technology University College, Ghana