

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_117068
Title of the Manuscript:	SEEDING AND BLENDED NPSB WITH NITROGEN FERTILIZER COMBINED RATES EFFECT ON GROWTH, YIELD AND YIELD COMPONENTS OF BREAD WHEAT (Triticum aestivumL.) IN SOUTH ARI, SOUTHERN ETHIOPIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript well written with scientific proof and its useful for the scientific community</p> <p>Acceptable</p> <p>Acceptable</p> <p>Discussion part not satisfactory, need to improved with recent publication with relevant objectives</p> <p>Useful for the scientific and farming community</p> <p>References part should be re-look, with recent publications</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Well written manuscript</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Need to be re-look on conclusion part, its not sufficient and need to mention with national and internation point of view how the organic and inorganic farming better vis versa in wheat farming .</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ananya Mishra
Department, University & Country	Indian Agricultural Research Institute, India