

Abiotic and biotic stresses in green gram (*Vigna radiata* L. Wilczek): A Comprehensive Review

ABSTRACT

Vigna radiata L., or green gram, is a significant legume crop that is extensively grown throughout Asia. It is an excellent provider of various minerals and plant-based protein. However, a number of biotic and abiotic stress factors have a substantial impact on the production of green gram. Diseases brought on by bacteria, viruses, fungus, and insect pests are examples of biotic stressors. The main fungi that cause diseases are yellow mosaic virus, anthracnose, cercospora leaf spot, and dry root rot. Pest insects that cause harm to crops include bruchids, aphids, jassids, and whiteflies. Abiotic factors are also a major and emerging concern; salt, high temperatures, and drought are the main ones. Plant development, growth, and production are negatively impacted by these stressors. So, to generate stress-resilient green gram cultivars, it is imperative to comprehend the physiological, pharmacological, and molecular mechanisms underlying stress tolerance. To counter the detrimental impacts of various stresses and ensure sustainable green gram production, integrated crop management strategies incorporating genetic engineering, traditional breeding, marker-assisted selection, and agronomic approaches are needed.

Keywords: *Biotic stress, abiotic stress, resilient, markers, diseases, insect pests*

1. INTRODUCTION

Mungbean/Green gram (*Vigna radiata* L. Wilczek) stands as an important leguminous grain widely grown in the tropical and subtropical regions of the subcontinent of India, valued for its edible seeds and sprouts which are rich in protein (Sahoo and Jaiwal, 2008). Mungbean is favored for its easy digestibility and flatulence-producing factors are absent, making it a crucial component in the diets of poor populations (Sahoo et al., 2003). However, its seeds are deficient in sulphur amino acids, specifically methionine and tryptophan, while exhibiting high lysine content. To address this nutritional imbalance, combining mungbean with cereals in the diet is advocated to ensure a more balanced amino acid profile (Sahoo et al., 2003). Additionally, mungbean seeds are rich in Ca, K, and vitamins (riboflavin, and niacin, thiamine), and notably high in iron. The recently developed variety Pusa bold-1 (Pusa vishal) contains 6 mg of iron per 100 g raw seeds compared to traditional varieties (Vijayalakshmi et al., 2003). The bioavailability of iron is enhanced when seeds are cooked with specific vegetables such as tomato, mustard greens, and cabbage, showing potential benefits in addressing iron deficiency, especially in anemic school children (Bains et al., 2003; Weinberger, 2002). Beyond its nutritional contributions, mungbean plays a versatile role in agriculture. As a short-duration crop, it acts as a biological nitrogen fixer in the soil, which acts as a cover crop to mitigate soil erosion and is occasionally utilized as fodder and green manure (Sahoo et al., 2003).

Beyond its nutritional contributions, mungbean plays a versatile role in agriculture. As a short-duration crop, it enriches soil fertility through biological nitrogen fixation, acts as a cover crop to mitigate soil erosion, and is occasionally utilized as green manure and fodder (Sahoo et al., 2003). In the global context, mungbean's importance extends beyond the Indian subcontinent. With approximately 7.3 million hectares of cultivation worldwide, India and Myanmar jointly contribute 30% to the global production of 5.3 million tonnes. Despite India contributing approximately 75% to the world's mungbean production (Jeswani and Baldev, 1990), the crop faces challenges in yield improvement. Diseases, notably yellow mosaic virus,

powdery mildew, and cereospora leaf spot, alongside destructive insect pests like bruchid beetles (*Callosobruchus* spp.), pod borers (*Heliothis armigera* and *Maruca testulatis*), contribute to significant yield losses (Kim, 1994; Jeswani and Baldev, 1990; Chhabra and Kooner, 1980; Banto and Sanchez, 1972). Chemical control measures have proven expensive and ineffective, leading to ecological concerns and the emergence of resistant insect biotypes (Fillippone, 1993; Armes et al., 1996). Traditional breeding approaches for disease and pest resistance have faced limitations due to the low levels of resistance in wild relatives of mungbean (Sahoo et al., 2003). The crop also grapples with sensitivity to salt stress, indeterminate growth habits, and other factors limiting yield (Ashraf and Rasul, 1988).

Constraints for productivity

The main factors contributing to the low productivity of mung bean in India are the limited adoption of improved varieties and technologies, insufficient and untimely availability of high-quality seeds and other inputs, water scarcity caused by reliance on rainfall, extreme temperatures, susceptibility to pests and diseases, and cultivation on low-quality and unproductive land. The cultivation of the crop, which rely solely on rainfall (87%) and are planted on low-quality and less productive grounds, often faces challenges from both living organisms and environmental factors.

Drought and heat stress are abiotic factors that can significantly decrease seed yields, particularly in arid and semi-arid areas. This reduction can reach up to 50% as shown in Table 1. The inadequate drainage and waterlogging that occur during the rainy season result in significant damage to the crops. Another significant issue is the high levels of salinity and alkalinity found in soils, which are prevalent in both semi-arid tropical regions and the Indo-Gangetic Plains (IGP). In terms of biotic stressors, the production of pulses is negatively impacted by insect pests, diseases, and weeds. In India, Pande (2009) found that diseases alone cause a loss of 10-15% in production. This highlights the pressing requirement to develop technologically possible and economically viable agricultural technology to address these abiotic and biotic challenges, while ensuring the sustainability of increased crop yields and profitability.

Table-1: major biotic and abiotic stress in mung bean

Crop	Growth season	Biotic stress	Abiotic stress
Mung bean	Kharif	Weeds, mosaic virus, sucking insect-pests	Pre harvesting sprout, terminal drought
	Zaid	Mosaic virus, root, and stem rot	Preharvest sprouting, heat stress, insect pests
	Rabi	Weeds, powdery mildew, rust.	Terminal drought
Other Pulses			
Pigeon Pea	Kharif early	Weeds, Fusarium wilt, blight, pod-borer.	Waterlogging, nutrient stress.
	Medium late	Weeds, Fusarium wilt, mosaic, pod-borer	complex Cold, terminal drought, waterlogging.
		Weeds, wilt, leaf blight, pod-fly.	Cold, Terminal drought

	Pre rabi		
Urd bean	Kharif	Weeds, mosaic and leaf curl virus, anthracnose	Terminal drought
	Rabi	Mosaic virus, root, and stem rot	Terminal drought
	Zaid	Leaf spot	Pre-harvest sprouting, heat stress, drought.
Chickpea	Timely sown	Weeds, fusarium wilt, root rot, grey mold pod borer	Low temperature, nutrient stress
	Early sown	Fusarium wilt, root rot, blight, stunt, pod-borer	Terminal drought, salt stress
	Late sown	Weeds, fusarium wilt, pod borer	Terminal drought, cold




Fig-1: Various abiotic and biotic stress in green gram

Abiotic stresses

Abiotic stresses are primarily unavoidable and are the most harmful factor concerning the growth and productivity of crops, especially under un-irrigated areas. The ability to tolerate effectively by challenging

these stresses is a complicated phenomenon stemming out from various plant interactions occurring in specific environments. Abiotic stresses are occurring naturally, and agronomists, breeders and researchers can only think of mitigation strategies for these stresses under varied climatic conditions. They have been able to develop some promising cultivars that are tolerant to various abiotic and biotic stresses as depicted in table 2.

Salinity stress

Salt stress mostly lowers the fresh and dry biomass, shoot and root length, mungbean yield parameters, and seed germination in most crops (Ahmed, 2009). It interferes with root elongation and growth, which hinders the uptake and dispersion of nutrients. Increases in the content of sodium chloride (NaCl) result in a considerable reduction in root development. A larger decrease in seed output is seen during the reproductive stage of growth because of the metabolites' diminished partitioning. In mungbean, salinity-induced desiccation, blossom shedding, and pod breaking, together with other pests including yellow mosaic disease and stem and pod borer, resulted in an 80–100% yield loss, especially during the rainy season (Sehrawat et al., 2015).

Heat stress

Temperature extremes, both high (heat stress) and low (cold stress), is detrimental to all the developmental stages of plant which causes a significant loss in output. According to HanumanthaRao et al., (2016) and Sharma et al., (2016), mungbean exhibit variable degrees of sensitivity to high and low temperature stresses. This lowers their potential performance at various developmental stages, including germination, seedling emergence, vegetative phase, flowering, and pod/seed filling phase. The ideal temperature range for mungbean growth and development is 28–30°C, but the plant can continue to generate seed in a range of 33–35°C. According to (Sharma et al.,2016), for every degree that the temperature rises beyond the optimal range, the seed yield decreases by 35–40%.

Moisture stress

Mungbean is widely regarded as a drought-tolerant crop since it is planted on marginal terrain and can tolerate low soil moisture levels. It does, however, respond to a drop in soil moisture availability like any other plant by slowing down its development and consequently its productivity. A decline in seed yield by 50-60% was observed when the crop was subjected to moisture stress during flowering stage (El-Nakhlawy et al.,2018). Furthermore, the synthesis and partitioning of dry matter has a significant impact on the productivity of plants under drought stress (Krishnamurthy et al., 2010).

Waterlogging stress

Waterlogging has a negative impact on root and shoot growth, crop establishment, seedling emergence and growth, and germination (Toker and Mutlu, 2011). Severe rainfall at the stage of pod ripening causes the seeds to sprout prematurely, resulting in low quality. According to Singh and Singh (2011), mungbean is primarily grown in rice-fallow systems and is susceptible to waterlogging. In these types of farming methods, too much rainfall can cause waterlogging, in which the roots are totally covered in water and the shoots are occasionally partially or completely submerged. Since there is little rainfall in the spring, crops cultivated during this time are more vulnerable to water stress. Consequently, growing short-duration cultivars could aid in avoiding terminal moisture stress (Pratap et al., 2013).

Table-2: Promising cultivars for abiotic and biotic stress tolerance in India

Cultivars (Mung bean)	Abiotic stress	Cultivars	Biotic stress
-----------------------	----------------	-----------	---------------

RMG 268	Drought stress	Pusa Vishal, PM 5, HUM16, SML668, RMG492, Pusa 9531, Co 6, GG2	Yellow mosaic
JM 721, TJM3, SML668	Delayed monsoon	HUM16, SML668	Root knot nematode
Narendra Mung, IPM2-3, IPM214, LGG460, LGG410	Heat stress	BM 2002-1	Powdery mildew

(Source Pooniya et al.,2015)

Sources of resistant to major abiotic stresses in mung bean

Researchers have been examining a number of approaches to address these abiotic stresses and ensure sustainable mung bean production. These include varied agronomic practices, breeding for stress-tolerant varieties, and creating bio-fortified mung bean lines with increased stress tolerance through molecular breeding or genetic engineering. Some of the resistance sources have been identified (table 3) by their efforts towards developing resistant cultivars of mung bean.

Table-3: Major abiotic stress and their source of tolerance

S. No.	Abiotic stresses	Source of tolerance	Country	Reference
1.	Drought	VC 1163 D, VC 2570A,	Taiwan	Fernandez and Shanmugasundaram (1988)
2.	Drought	ML 267	India	Swathi <i>et al.</i> (2017)
3.	Drought	K-851	India	Dutta <i>et al.</i> (2016)
4.	Drought	TCR 20	India	Tripathy <i>et al.</i> (2016)
5.	Drought	SML-1411, SML-1136	India	Kaur <i>et al.</i> (2017)
6.	Drought	VC 2917 (seedling stage)	China	Wang <i>et al.</i> (2015)
7.	Drought (maintaining cooler canopy traits)	VC-6173-C, IC-325770, ML 2082	India	Raina <i>et al.</i> (2016)
8.	Salt	NM 19-19	Pakistan	Shakeel and Mansoor (2012)
9.	Salt	TCR86, PLM380, PLM562, WGG37, IC615, PLM891, IC2056, IC10492, PLM32, K851, and BB92R	India	Sehrawat <i>et al.</i> , (2015)
10.	Salt	BARI Mung-4	Bangladesh	Naher and Alam (2010)
11.	Salt	T-44	India	Misra and Gupta (2006)
12.	Salt	EC 693357, 58, 66, 71 and ML 1299	India	Manasa <i>et al.</i> (2017)

Biotic stresses

Damage to plants by other living things, such as weeds, insect pests, disease-causing organisms, nematodes, allelopathic chemicals, etc., results in biotic stress. The two biggest and most significant groups among them, impacting every part of the plant at every stage of crop development, are fungi and viruses (Pande, 2009). A key obstacle to raising the yield of the mungbean crop is insect pests and diseases. A number of significant diseases can affect mungbean, including bacterial leaf spot, tan spot, dry root rot, anthracnose, powdery mildew, and *Cercospora* leaf spot. Thrips, aphids, whiteflies, pod borers, bruchids, and stem flies are the main insect pests of mungbean. Breeding for resistance in mungbean plants is an innovative, cost-effective, and environmentally beneficial method of developing plant resistance to diseases and insect pests.

Weeds

The most pressing issue right now is weeds, which need a lot of scientific study. Unchecked weeds reduce production in several pulse crops by 20–90% (Pooniya et al., 2015). Since mung bean have a sluggish growth tendency, early vegetative development phases are more likely to be heavily infested by weeds. Mung bean is infested by weeds like *Cynodon dactylon*, *Cyprus rotundus*, *Amaranthus* spp, *Bedens Pilosa*, *Physalis minima* etc. These weeds cause about 50-90% yield loss in the crop. In the first three to four weeks, mungbean is comparatively sluggish and this invites more weed in the field. Weeds are a severe concern for mung bean. Additionally, weeds harbor diseases, nematodes, and insect pests that harm the crop.

Diseases

Diseases caused by viruses, bacteria, and fungi are significant economic issues in Sub-Saharan Africa, South Asia, and South East Asia (Mbeyagala et al., 2017; Pandey et al., 2018). According to Noble et al., (2019), mungbean yellow mosaic disease (MYMD) is a significant viral disease. Many begomoviruses, which are spread by the whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci* (Gennadius) (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae), are the cause of MYMD (Nair et al., 2017). The three main fungal diseases are anthracnose (*Colletotrichum acutatum*), powdery mildew (*Podosphaera fusca*) and *Cercospora* leaf spot (CLS) (*Cercospora canescens*). An emerging diseases detected in mung bean is root rot (*Macrophomina phaseolina*). MYMD accounts for 85% yield loss iin crop (Karthikeyan et al., 2014). In different parts of India yield loss due to CLS accounts for 97% (Bhat et al.,2014) while powdery mildew causes 40% yield loss (Khajudparn et al.,2007).

Table 4. Characteristic symptoms of various fungal and bacterial diseases

Fungal diseases

Diseases type	Causal organism	Symptoms
Cercospora leaf spot	<i>C. cruenta</i> , <i>C. canescens</i> , <i>C. kikuchii</i> , <i>C. dolichi</i> , <i>C. corocollae</i>	Small leaf spots (1–5 mm) with brown to greyish centres and reddish border
Powdery mildew	<i>Erysiphae polygoni</i>	White powdery coating on leaves, stems and pods
Anthracnose	<i>Colletotrichum truncatum</i>	Circular, brown, sunken spots with dark centres and bright red orange margin leaves
Dry root rot	<i>Macrophomina phaseolina</i>	Dark brown patch on stem with black dot-like sclerotia and brown pycnidia
Rhizoctonia root rot	<i>Thanatephorus cucumeris</i>	Necrotic small circular brown spots, fungal hyphae are seen spreading like spider web on the affected leaves with sclerotia
Alternaria leaf spot	<i>Alternaria alternata</i>	Leaf spots with concentric rings leading to 'shot holes'

Rust	<i>Uromyces appendiculatus</i>	Reddish brown pin head uredo pustules surrounded by yellow
------	--------------------------------	--

Bacterial diseases

Diseases type	Casual organism	Symptoms
Halo blight	<i>Pseudomonas syringae</i>	Water-soaked spots surrounded by a greenish yellow halo
Bacterial leaf spot	<i>Xanthomonas Campestris</i>	Brown raised spots on both surfaces which later become necrotic, water-soaked or with translucent border
Tan spot	<i>Curtobacterium flaccumfaciens</i>	Papery brown lesions originating on the leaf margins and spreading inwardly
Viral disease		
Mung bean yellow mosaic disease (MYMD)	Mung bean yellow mosaic virus (MYMV)	small yellowish spots appear on green lamina of young leaves which develops into a characteristics bright yellow mosaic or golden yellow mosaic symptom soon

(Source Singh et al.,2021)

Insect-pests

Mungbean is attacked by insect pests at every stage of the crop cycle, from seeding to storage, severely reducing crop production. While some insect pests cause direct crop harm, others spread illness. Stem flies, thrips, aphids, whiteflies, pod borer complex, pod bugs, and bruchids are among the economically significant insect pests in mungbean (Swaminathan et al., 2012). One of the main pests of mungbean is the stem fly, often known as the bean fly (*Ophiomyia phaseoli*, Tryon). The whitefly, *B. tabaci*, is a significant pest of mungbean. It causes damage to the crop directly by feeding on phloem sap and excreting honeydew, which develops black sooty mold on the plant, or indirectly by spreading MYMD. Thrips infest the crop in both flowering and seedling stages. However, some resistant genotypes have been successfully developed to combat these biotic stresses such as diseases, insect pests etc. which are highlighted in table 5.

Table-5: source of tolerance for various biotic stress

Insect pests	Source of tolerance	References
White fly	M92, PDM 91–249, ML 803, ML 839, and PBM 5 ML 1265 and ML 1229 ML 1774 and ML 1779 TMB 36 and RMG 1004	Khattak et al., (2004) Yadav and Dahiya 2000 Kooner and Cheema (2007) Cheema et al., (2015) Singh et al., (2014)
Thrips	PIMS 2, PIMS 3, CO 3, ML 5, and ML 337 NM 92	Chhabra 2005 Khattak et al.,2004
Stem fly	V2396, V3495, and V428 I	Talekar 1990
Pod borer	Jl, LM 11, P526, and Co3 ML 337, ML 423, and ML 428	Lal 1985 Chhabra et al., 2005
Maruca pod borer	MGG 364, MGG 365, and MGG 363	Choragudi et al., (2015).
Weevil	KM-12-5 and P-S-16	Soumia et al., (2017)

Diseases

Name of diseases	Source of tolerance	References
Mung bean yellow mosaic disease	IW 3390, EC 398897, TM-11-07, TM-11-34, PDM-139, IPM-02-03, IPM-02-14, Pusa-0672, Pusa-0871, CO-7 and MH-521	Mohan et al., (2014)
Powdery mildew	NCM 255-2, NCM 257-6, ML-267, NCM 251-1, NCM 259-2, NCM 251-13, NCM 257-2, NM-92, NCM 251-12, VC-3960-A88, NCM 257-10, NCM-209, Mung-6 C1/94-4-19, VC	Iqbal et al., (2019)
Leaf crinkle	40504, NCM 257-5, 40457, NCM 251-4, 6368-64-72 (resistant) HR: NCM 252-10 and 40536 (highly resistant)	Khan and Shuaib (2007)
Cercospora leaf spot	D 215, HPM 1, Madana 1, M 58, ML 12, T44, V 2182 and V 2294	Dubey and Singh (2018)

Management approaches for biotic and abiotic stresses

To sustain pulse production, low-cost agronomic practices need to be adopted, including crop selection, drought-resistant varieties, healthy seeds, and seed treatment. Better crop management practices, comprising nutrient, water, weed, insect-pest, and disease management, are essential. Climate-resilient crop varieties and adaptation strategies can help overcome adverse impact of climate change on yield losses (Maheswari et al.,2015). Some of the management strategies have been discussed below:

Selection of suitable cultivar

In order to maintain continuity in production and adapt to changing climatic conditions, it is imperative to identify and develop biotic and abiotic stress-resistant cultivars. The resistant cultivars to various abiotic and biotic stresses have been displayed in table 3 and 5 respectively. Plant response to biotic and abiotic stressors is often cultivar specific (Maheshwari et al., 2015). In low-rainfall and terminally drought situations selection of short-duration crops that are early on and suitable to withstand heat stress and drought is a widely employed trait. In order to produce a satisfying yield with high productivity potential under stress conditions, agronomists must prioritize the selection of stress-tolerant cultivars, whose development is under genetic control.

Agronomic practices

Crop management practices in India can increase productivity of mung bean by mitigating abiotic and biotic stresses. Tillage is essential for ideal seed germination and growth (Das et al.,2014). Conservation tillage can be opted in moisture deficit areas. Non-monetary inputs like sowing time and method significantly influence crop growth and productivity. Adjusting sowing dates, sowing methods, and spacing have a key role on crop growth, phenological development, insect-pests and weed dynamics and crop productivity. The incidence of Powdery mildew caused by *Erysiphe polygoni* DC. can be reduced by adjusting sowing dates in the crop with resistant varieties keeping wider spacing (Pande, 2009). Bed planting, ridge and furrow systems, and mulching are beneficial for water-stress management. These practices are especially beneficial in areas with saline irrigation water (Bana et al.,2014).

Nutrient management

The requirement of nutrients is quite low in this crop because of the ability to fix nitrogen. These react well to increased potassium (K), sulfur (S), and phosphorus (P) applications. Effective management of nutrients, particularly nitrogen along with biofertilizers can reduce the amount and duration of moisture stress. The use of micronutrient-rich balanced fertilizers (NPK) improves the plant's ability to absorb water and regulate its water relations in plant tissues that support higher susceptibility to heat stress and drought. Soil- test crop response (STCR) based precision nutrient management practices in crop can enhance crop productivity in India by reducing nutrient and moisture stress, reducing the need for chemical fertilizers (Suri and Choudhary 2013). Foliar application of nutrients and anti-transpirants in pulses is recommended due to low productivity, erratic rainfall and prolonged dry spells during flowering and pod-formation stages leading to low productivity. This situation requires foliar application of nutrients along with in-situ moisture-conservation practices for better crop-stand establishment and production (Sharma et al.,2010).

Integrated pest management (IPM)

In addition to applying pesticides at the lowest possible levels, integrated pest management (IPM) employs non-host crop rotation, the adoption of resistant or tolerant cultivars, and other strategies. Furthermore, the removal of some soil-borne pests, such as nematodes, and increased soil nutrient availability are additional advantages of conservation agriculture. Validation of crop-protection methodologies and their applicability to specific farming systems and socio-economic situations is crucial for the development of integrated insect-pest management modules. Cultural practices include hot water treatment of seeds at 52° C for 10 min is effective for anthracnose. Similarly, intercropping can be done with pigeon pea, marigold, and castor for insects. Destruction of disease and insect infested plant parts and use of yellow/ sticky traps @ 4–5 traps/acre is also helpful. Biological control includes seed treatment with *Trichoderma viridae* 1% WP @ 4 g/kg seeds. Chemical control comprises Quinalphos 25% EC 600 ml in 200–400 l/acre, Phenthoate 50% EC 320 ml in 200–400 l/acre (Chandrashekar et al.,2014). The aforementioned techniques are some of the IPM strategies that can be applied.

Breeding approaches for management of biotic stress

For pests

It is crucial to comprehend plant-insect interactions in order to breed for resistance to insect pests. Understanding the biology of the insect pest, the stage of infestation, and the biochemical and molecular aspects of insect-plant interactions are some of the crucial requirements for successfully breeding for insect resistance. For developing pest diseases resistant cultivars along with other target traits mutation can be induced in mung bean by the use of physical and chemical mutagens (Wongpiyasatid et al., 2000; Watanasit et al., 2001). Mass selection, recurrent selection, and pure line selection are a few methods used in conventional breeding to create cultivars resistant to insects (Burton and Widstorm, 2001). Insect resistance and other agronomic features are being developed in mungbean using techniques like bulk selection, pedigree breeding, and backcross breeding. Various markers like RAPD, RFLP, SSR, SNPs are utilized in breeding for pest and disease resistant plants. SNP markers have been used more and more recently to create plants resistant to pests and diseases. They are highly helpful because of their widespread, abundant presence in the genome and ease of availability for genotyping (Brumfield et al., 2003).

For diseases

The most promising method for developing disease-resistant cultivars is marker-assisted selection, or MAS. The understanding of genotypic variety, the identification of linked markers for the R gene, and the creation of quantitative trait loci (QTL) maps using molecular markers have enhanced the proficiency of breeding programs that confer resistance to MYMD (Sudha et al., 2013). In mungbean, (Basak et al.,2005) created a yellow mosaic virus resistance related marker called "VMYR1." QTL-linked markers will be helpful in marker-assisted breeding to create mungbean cultivars resistant to MYMD. By using linked marker-assisted genotyping, plant breeders can repeat genotyping during the growth season if disease incidence is not present. This method will save time and effort during the introgression of MYMD-resistance through molecular breeding. SSR marker-based QTLs linked to resistance to powdery mildew, such as qPMR-1 and qPMR-2, were discovered by (Kasettranon et al., 2010). Mungbean line V4718 Two minor QTLs on

linkage group 4 and one major QTL on linkage group 9 were identified on mungbean line V4718(Chankaew et al., 2013).

Breeding approaches for management of abiotic stress

Several successful attempts have been made at the plant level in mungbean to screen and identify tolerant types from physiological, biochemical, and molecular perspectives for high temperature (heat stress), salinity, waterlogging, and water stress (Kaur et al., 2015; HanumanthaRao et al., 2016; Bhandari et al., 2017; Manasa et al., 2017; Sehgal et al., 2018). The breeding lines that were chosen and recognized for the aforementioned stress would serve as a donor source for crop improvement. The various sources of tolerance have been mentioned in table 3. In order to protect them from drought stress and terminal heat, Pratap et al., (2013) recommended the development of short duration cultivars for spring/summer growing. Summer-season cultivars include those having a crop cycle of 60–65 days, a determinate growth habit, a high harvest index, less sensitivity to photoperiod changes, rapid initial development, longer pods holding more than 10 seeds each, and large seeds. Considering this, some mungbean lines with early maturities have been chosen and made available as commercial cultivars.

FUTURE PROSPECTS

An essential component for maintaining the high-yield, sustainable production of mung beans (*Vigna radiata*), a significant legume crop that is farmed all over the world, is the management of biotic and abiotic stressors. In order to effectively manage abiotic and biotic stress in mung bean cultivation, a multifaceted approach is needed, one that makes use of advanced breeding techniques like genetic engineering and marker-assisted selection to create stress-tolerant varieties. Exploring genetic diversity, adoption of precision agriculture technologies, implementation of sustainable crop management practices like integrated pest management and conservation agriculture, utilization of microbial inoculants such as plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi, exploration of nanotechnology-based formulations for targeted input delivery, integration of climate-smart agriculture practices to adapt to the effects of climate change and utilization of digital agriculture tools like decision support systems and crop monitoring for early detection and effective management of various stresses. The future of biotic and abiotic stress management in mung beans lies in the above-mentioned approach.

CONCLUSION

This review has offered a thorough examination of the ways in which several biotic and abiotic stress variables affect the growth, development, and productivity of green grams (*Vigna radiata*). Different abiotic stresses like heat, salinity, drought are damaging the crop to a greater extent declining the yield and productivity. Insect pests such as aphids, thrips, and lepidopteran larvae are major risks to plants when it comes to biotic stressors since they can cause defoliation, sap-sucking, and the transmission of viral infections. Inappropriate management of these insects can severely reduce productivity. Green Gram is also frequently infected by bacterial and fungal diseases, which can lead to rots, wilts, and blights that impair physiological processes. When several biotic and abiotic pressures coexist at the same time, the harmful effects might compound and become even more severe. Going forward, stress levels for green gram production systems will probably grow because of the continued problems posed by climate change, such as higher heat waves, droughts, and disease pressures. The development of green gram cultivars with increased stress resilience is a key to address the issue which however will depend on harnessing genetic resources through breeding and biotechnological methods, molecular approaches. To safeguard green gram yields under the various unfavorable environmental conditions, it will be essential to supplement this with enhanced agronomic management tactics that reduce abiotic stresses along with integrated pest/disease control strategies.

REFERENCES

2. Dubey SC, Singh B, Tripathi A. Integrated management of wet root rot, yellow mosaic, and leaf crinkle diseases of urdbean by seed treatment and foliar spray of insecticide, fungicide, and biocontrol agent. *Crop Protection*. 2018 Oct 1;112:269-73.
3. Mohan S, Sheeba A, Murugan E, Ibrahim SM. Screening of mungbean germplasm for resistance to mungbean yellow mosaic virus under natural condition. *Indian Journal of Science and Technology*. 2014 Jul 10:891-6.
4. Iqbal J, Yousaf U, Zia S, Asgher A, Afzal R, Ali M, Sheikh AU, Sher A. Pulses Diseases “Important limiting factor in yield” and their Managements. *Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science*. 2019;3(2):1-21.
5. Khan SH, Muhammad Shuaib MS. Identification of sources of resistance in Mung bean (*Vigna radiata* L.) against Charcoal Rot *Macrophomina phaseolina* (Tassi) Goid.
6. Soumia PS, Srivastava C, Dikshit HK, Guru Pirasanna Pandi G. Screening for resistance against pulse beetle, *Callosobruchus analis* (F.) in greengram (*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek) accessions. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, India Section B: Biological Sciences*. 2017 Jun;87:551-8.
7. Khattak GS, Ashraf M, Khan MS. Assessment of genetic variation for yield and yield components in mungbean (*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek) using generation mean analysis. *Pakistan journal of Botany*. 2004 Sep 1;36(3):583-8.
8. Yadav GS, Dahiya B. Screening of some mung bean genotypes against major insect-pests and yellow mosaic virus. *Annals of Agri Bio Research*. 2000;5(1):71-3.
9. Kooner BS, Cheema HK. Screening of black gram germplasm for resistance to whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci* (Gennadius) and MYMV. *JOURNAL OF INSECT SCIENCE-LUDHIANA*. 2007;20(1):124.
10. Cheema S, Durrani AB, Pasha AT, Javed F. Green human resource practices: Implementations and hurdles of SMEs in Pakistan. *Journal of Business Studies Quarterly*. 2015 Dec 1;7(2):231.
11. Singh SK, Singh PS. Screening of mungbean (*Vigna radiata*) genotypes against major insects. *Current Advances in Agricultural Sciences (An International Journal)*. 2014;6(1):85-7.
12. Chhabra KS. BEAN APHID, *APHIS CRACCIVORA KOCH* (HOMOPTERA: APHIDIDAE) IN GRAIN LEGUME CROPS: A GLOBAL OVERHAUL. *JOURNAL OF APHIDOLOGY*. 2005;19:17-24.
13. Talekar NS, Lin CP. Characterization of *Callosobruchus chinensis* (Coleoptera: Bruchidae) resistance in mungbean. *Journal of economic entomology*. 1992 Aug 1;85(4):1150-3.
14. Lal SS. A review of insect pests of mungbean and their control in India. *International Journal of Pest Management*. 1985 Jan 1;31(2):105-14.
15. Choragudi SR, Rao GR, Chalam MS, Kumar PA, Rao VS. Efficacy of leaf extracts and newer insecticides against *Maruca vitrata* in greengram. *Indian journal of plant protection*. 2015;43(1):12-6.
16. Ahmed S. Effect of soil salinity on the yield and yield components of mungbean. *Pak. J. Bot*. 2009 Feb 1;41(1):263-8.
17. Bains K, Yang RY, Shanmugasundaram S. High-iron mungbean recipes for North India. AVRDC-WorldVegetableCenter; 2003.
18. Bana RS, Pooniya V, Choudhary AK, Rana KS. Agronomic interventions for sustainability of major cropping systems of India. *Technical Bulletin (ICN: 137/2014)*, Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi. 2014;34.
19. Basak J, Kundagrami S, Ghose TK, Pal A. Development of Yellow Mosaic Virus (YMV) resistance linked DNA marker in *Vigna mungo* from populations segregating for YMV-reaction. *Molecular Breeding*. 2005 Jan;14:375-83.
20. Bhandari K, Sharma KD, Hanumantha Rao B, Siddique KH, Gaur P, Agrawal SK, Nair RM, Nayyar H. Temperature sensitivity of food legumes: a physiological insight. *Acta Physiologiae Plantarum*. 2017 Mar;39:1-22.
21. Brumfield RT, Beerli P, Nickerson DA, Edwards SV. The utility of single nucleotide polymorphisms in inferences of population history. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*. 2003 May 1;18(5):249-56.
22. Chandrashekar K, Gupta O, Yelshetty S, Sharma OP, Bhagat S, Chattopadhyay C, Sehgal M, Kumari A, Amaesan N, Sushil SN, Sinha AK. Integrated pest management for chickpea. *National Centre for Integrated Pest Management, New Delhi, India*. 2014:43.

23. Chandrashekar K, Gupta O, Yelshetty S, Sharma OP, Bhagat S, Chattopadhyay C, Sehgal M, Kumari A, Amaesan N, Sushil SN, Sinha AK. Integrated pest management for chickpea. National Centre for Integrated Pest Management, New Delhi, India. 2014:43.
24. Chankaew S, Somta P, Isemura T, Tomooka N, Kaga A, Vaughan DA, Srinives P. Quantitative trait locus mapping reveals conservation of major and minor loci for powdery mildew resistance in four sources of resistance in mungbean [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek]. *Molecular breeding*. 2013 Jun;32:121-30.
25. Das TK, Choudhary AK, Sepat S, Vyas AK, Das A, Bana RS, Pooniya V. Conservation agriculture: A sustainable alternative to enhance agricultural productivity and resources use-efficiency. Technical Extension Folder, IARI, New Delhi. 2014.
26. El-Nakhlawy FS, Ismail SM, Basahi JM. Optimizing mungbean productivity and irrigation water use efficiency through the use of low water-consumption during plant growth stages. *Legume Research-An International Journal*. 2018;41(1):108-13.
27. Gupta J, Singh UN, Akram M, Mishra RK. Potential of biological control agents for the management of soil-borne pathogens in pulse crops. *Journal of Food Legumes*. 2021;34(3):149-65.
28. HanumanthaRao B, Nair RM, Nayyar H. Salinity and high temperature tolerance in mungbean [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek] from a physiological perspective. *Frontiers in Plant Science*. 2016 Jun 29;7:186318.
29. Karthikeyan A, Shobhana VG, Sudha M, Raveendran M, Senthil N, Pandiyan M, Nagarajan P. Mungbean yellow mosaic virus (MYMV): a threat to green gram (*Vigna radiata*) production in Asia. *International journal of pest management*. 2014 Oct 2;60(4):314-24.
30. Kasettranon W, Somta P, Srinives P. Mapping of quantitative trait loci controlling powdery mildew resistance in mungbean (*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek). *Journal of crop science and biotechnology*. 2010 Sep;13:155-61.
31. Kaur R, Bains TS, Bindumadhava H, Nayyar H. Responses of mungbean (*Vigna radiata* (L.) genotypes to heat stress: effects on reproductive biology, leaf function and yield traits. *Scientia Horticulturae*. 2015 Dec 14;197:527-41.
32. Khajudpan P, Wongkaew S, Thipyapong P. Mungbean powdery mildew resistance. Identification of genes for resistance to powdery mildew in mungbean.
33. Krishnamurthy L, Kashiwagi J, Gaur PM, Upadhyaya HD, Vadez V. Sources of tolerance to terminal drought in the chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) minicore germplasm. *Field Crops Research*. 2010 Nov 1;119(2-3):322-30.
34. Maheswari M, Sarkar B, Vanaja M, Rao MS, Rao CS, Venkateswarlu B, Sikka AK. Climate resilient crop varieties for sustainable food production under aberrant weather conditions.
35. Manasa R, Bindumadhava H, Nair RM, Prasad TG, Shankar AG. Screening mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L.) lines for salinity tolerance using salinity induction response technique at seedling and physiological growth assay at whole plant level. 2017.
36. Mbeyagala KE, Amayo R, Obuo JP, Pandey AK, War AR, Nair RM. A manual for mungbean (greengram) production in Uganda. National Agricultural Research Organization (NARO). 2017;32.
37. Nair RM, Götze M, Winter S, Giri RR, Boddepalli VN, Sirari A, Bains TS, Taggar GK, Dikshit HK, Aski M, Boopathi M. Identification of mungbean lines with tolerance or resistance to yellow mosaic in fields in India where different begomovirus species and different *Bemisia tabaci* cryptic species predominate. *European journal of plant pathology*. 2017 Oct;149:349-65.
38. Noble TJ, Young AJ, Douglas CA, Williams B, Mundree S. Diagnosis and management of halo blight in Australian mungbeans: a review. *Crop and Pasture Science*. 2019 Mar 18;70(3):195-203.
39. Pande S. Integrated foliar diseases management of legumes.
40. Pandey AK, Burlakoti RR, Kenyon L, Nair RM. Perspectives and challenges for sustainable management of fungal diseases of mungbean [*Vigna radiata* (L.) R. Wilczek var. *radiata*]: a review. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*. 2018 Jun 19;6:53.
41. Pandey AK, Burlakoti RR, Kenyon L, Nair RM. Perspectives and challenges for sustainable management of fungal diseases of mungbean [*Vigna radiata* (L.) R. Wilczek var. *radiata*]: a review. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*. 2018 Jun 19;6:53.

42. Pooniya V, Choudhary AK, Dass A, Bana RS, Rana KS, Rana DS, Tyagi VK, Puniya MM. Improved crop management practices for sustainable pulse production: An Indian perspective. *The Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 2015 Jun 1;85(6):747-458.
43. Pratap A, Sen Gupta D, Singh BB, Kumar S. Development of super early genotypes in greengram [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek]. *Legume Research: An International Journal*. 2013 Apr 1;36(2).
44. Pratap A, Sen Gupta D, Singh BB, Kumar S. Development of super early genotypes in greengram [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek]. *Legume Research: An International Journal*. 2013 Apr 1;36(2).
45. Sahoo L, Sugla T, Jaiwal PK. In vitro regeneration and genetic transformation of cowpea, mungbean, urdbean and azuki bean. *Applied genetics of leguminosae biotechnology*. 2003:89-120.
46. Sehgal A, Sita K, Siddique KH, Kumar R, Bhogireddy S, Varshney RK, HanumanthaRao B, Nair RM, Prasad PV, Nayyar H. Drought or/and heat-stress effects on seed filling in food crops: impacts on functional biochemistry, seed yields, and nutritional quality. *Frontiers in plant science*. 2018 Nov 27;9:1705.
47. Sehrawat N, Yadav M, Bhat KV, Sairam RK, Jaiwal PK. Effect of salinity stress on mungbean [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek] during consecutive summer and spring seasons. *Journal of Agricultural Sciences, Belgrade*. 2015;60(1):23-32.
48. Sharma A, Rathod PS, Chavan M. Response of pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan*) to drought management practices under rainfed conditions. *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 2010;23(5):693-700.
49. Sharma A, Rathod PS, Chavan M. Response of pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan*) to drought management practices under rainfed conditions. *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 2010;23(5):693-700.
50. Sharma L, Priya M, Bindumadhava H, Nair RM, Nayyar H. Influence of high temperature stress on growth, phenology and yield performance of mungbean [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek] under managed growth conditions. *Scientia Horticulturae*. 2016 Dec 14;213:379-91.
51. Singh DP, Singh BB. Breeding for tolerance to abiotic stresses in mungbean. *Journal of food legumes*. 2011;24(2):83-90.
52. Sudha M, Karthikeyan A, Anusuya P, Ganesh NM, Pandiyan M, Senthil N, Raveendran M, Nagarajan P, Angappan K. Inheritance of resistance to mungbean yellow mosaic virus (MYMV) in inter and intra specific crosses of mungbean (*Vigna radiata*). *American Journal of Plant Sciences*. 2013 Oct 1;4(10):1924.
53. Suri VK, Choudhary AK. Effect of Vesicular Arbuscular–Mycorrhizal Fungi and Phosphorus Application through Soil-Test Crop Response Precision Model on Crop Productivity, Nutrient Dynamics, and Soil Fertility in Soybean–Wheat–Soybean Crop Sequence in an Acidic Alfisol. *Communications in soil science and plant analysis*. 2013 Jul 20;44(13):2032-41.
54. Suri VK, Choudhary AK. Effect of Vesicular Arbuscular–Mycorrhizal Fungi and Phosphorus Application through Soil-Test Crop Response Precision Model on Crop Productivity, Nutrient Dynamics, and Soil Fertility in Soybean–Wheat–Soybean Crop Sequence in an Acidic Alfisol. *Communications in soil science and plant analysis*. 2013 Jul 20;44(13):2032-41.
55. Swaminathan R, Singh K, Nepalia V. Insect-pests of green gram *Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek and their management. *Agricultural science*. 2012 Apr 27;10:197-222.
56. Toker C, Mutlu N. 16 Breeding for Abiotic Stresses. *Biology and breeding of food legumes*. 2011 Mar 1:241.
57. Vijayalakshmi P, Amirthaveni S, Devadas RP, Weinberger K, Tsou SC, Shanmugasundaram S. Enhanced bioavailability of iron from mungbeans and its effects on health of schoolchildren. *AVRDC-WorldVegetableCenter*; 2003 Dec 1.
58. Watanasit A, Ngampongsai S, Thanomsub W. The use of induced mutations for mungbean improvement. Report of an FAO/IAEA Seminar on Mutation Techniques and Molecular Genetics for Tropical and Subtropical Plant Improvement in Asia and the Pacific Region. October 11-15, 1999. The Philippines. 2001:11-2.
59. Weinberger K. The impact of iron bioavailability-enhanced diets on health and nutrition of school children: evidence from a mungbean feeding trial in Tamil Nadu. *Proceedings, Why has impact assessment research not made more of a difference*. 2002 Feb 4:4-7.

60. Wongpiyasatid A, Chotechuen S, Hormchan P, Ngampongsai S, Promcham W. Induced mutations in mungbean breeding: regional yield trial of mungbean mutant lines. *Agriculture and Natural Resources*. 2000 Dec 31;34(4):443-9.
61. Fernandez GC, Shanmugasundaram S. The AVRDC mungbean improvement program: the past, present and future. In *Mungbean. Proceedings of the Second International Symposium held at Bangkok, Thailand, 16-20 November 1987*. 1988 (pp. 58-70). AVRDC.
62. Swathi L, Reddy DM, Sudhakar P, Vineela V. Screening of Mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L. Wilczek) genotypes against water stress mediated through polyethylene glycol. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*. 2017;6(10):2524-31.
63. Dutta P, Bandopadhyay P, Bera AK. Identification of leaf based physiological markers for drought susceptibility during early seedling development of mungbean. *American Journal of Plant Sciences*. 2016 Sep 29;7(14):1921-36.
64. Tripathy SK, Mohanty P, Jena M, Dash S, Lenka D, Mishra D, Nayak PK, Swain D, Ranjan R, Pradhan K, Senapati N. Identification of seed storage protein markers for drought tolerance in mungbean. *Research in Biotechnology*. 2016 Mar 23;7.
65. Kaur R, Kaur J, Bains TS. Screening of mungbean genotypes for drought tolerance using different water potential levels. *J Adv Agric Technol*. 2017 Jun;4(2).
66. Wang LX, Elbaidouri M, Abernathy B, Chen HL, Wang SH, Lee SH, Jackson SA, Cheng XZ. Distribution and analysis of SSR in mung bean (*Vigna radiata* L.) genome based on an SSR-enriched library. *Molecular breeding*. 2015 Jan;35:1-0.
67. Raina SK, Govindasamy V, Kumar M, Singh AK, Rane J, Minhas PS. Genetic variation in physiological responses of mungbeans (*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek) to drought. *Acta Physiologiae Plantarum*. 2016 Nov;38:1-2.
68. Shakeel SA, Mansoor S. Salicylic acid prevents the damaging action of salt in mungbean [(*Vigna radiata* L.) Wilczek] seedlings. *Pak. J. Bot.* 2012 Apr 1;44(2):559-62.
69. Sehrawat N, Yadav M, Bhat KV, Sairam RK, Jaiwal PK. Effect of salinity stress on mungbean [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek] during consecutive summer and spring seasons. *Journal of Agricultural Sciences, Belgrade*. 2015;60(1):23-32.
70. Naher N, Alam AK. Germination, growth and nodulation of mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L.) as affected by sodium chloride. *Int. J. Sustain. Crop Prod*. 2010 May;5(2):8-11.
71. Manasa R, Bindumadhava H, Nair RM, Prasad TG, Shankar AG. Screening mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L.) lines for salinity tolerance using salinity induction response technique at seedling and physiological growth assay at whole plant level. 2017.
72. Misra N, Gupta AK. Effect of salinity and different nitrogen sources on the activity of antioxidant enzymes and indole alkaloid content in *Catharanthus roseus* seedlings. *Journal of plant physiology*. 2006 Jan 4;163(1):11-8.